

Notes

A brief introduction to spatial point processes

Jean-François Coeurjolly http://www-ljk.imag.fr/membres/Jean-Francois.Coeurjolly/

Laboratoire Jean Kuntzmann (LJK), Grenoble University



Examples	Definitions, Poisson	Summary statistics	Modelling and inference
Preliminary			

Files which can de downloaded

http://www-ljk.imag.fr/membres/Jean-Francois.Coeurjolly/documents/Lille/ or more simply on the workshop webpage, program page http://math.univ-lille1.fr/ heinrich/geostoch2014/

- introductionSPP_cours.pdf : pdf file of the slides. Beamer version.
- introductionSPP_print.pdf : pdf file of the printed version.
- Short R code used to illustrate the talks.
- The code is using the **excellent** R package spatstat which can be downloaded from the R CRAN website.

Examples	Definitions, Poisson	Summary statistics	Modelling and inference	
				Notes
1 Exa	mples			
2 Def	initions. Poisson			
Current Contraction				
Sun				
(4) Mo	delling and inference			

Notes

Examples	Definitions, Poisson	Summary statistics	Modelling and inference
Spatial data			

... can be roughly and mainly classified into three categories :

- Geostatistical data.
- 2 Lattice data.
- Spatial point pattern



Y Coord 100 150 200

20

50

200

ĝ

Jata 300

- sic.100 dataset (R package geoR)
- Cumulative rainfall in Switzerlan the 8th May.
- The observation consists in the discretization of a random field, X = (X_u, u ∈ ℝ²)



Notes

Notes





Percentage with blood group A in Eire

- Eire dataset (R package spdep)
- % of people with group A in eire, observed in 26 regions.
- The data are aggregated on the region ⇒ random field on a network.



Examples Definitions, Poisson Summary statistics Modelling and inference Lattice data (2)

- Lennon dataset (R package fields)
- Real-valued random field (gray scale image with values in [0, 1]).
- Defined on the network $\{1, \ldots, 256\}^2$.



Examples Definitions, Poisson Summary statistics Modelling and inference Spatial point pattern (1)

- Japanesepines dataset (R package spatstat)
- Locations of 65 trees on a bounded domain.
- $S = \mathbb{R}^2$ (equipped with $\|\cdot\|$).



Notes

Spatial point pattern (2)

Definitions F

Examples

- Longleaf dataset (R package spatstat)
- Locations of 584 trees observed with their diameter at breast height.
- $S = \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ (equipped with max($|| \cdot ||, | \cdot |$)).



Modelling and in

Summary statistics



- Ants dataset (R package spatstat)
- Locations of 97 ants categorised into two species.
- $S = \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0, 1\}$ (equipped with the metric max($|| \cdot ||, d_M$) for any distance d_M on the mark space).



Notes

Spatial point pattern (3)

Definitions P

Examples

- chorley dataset (R package spatstat)
- Cases of larynx and lung cancers and position of an industrial incinerator.
- $S = \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0, 1\}$ (equipped with the metric max($|| \cdot ||, d_M$) for any distance d_M on the mark space).



Modelling and

160

150

140

130

120

Summary statistics



- Beischmedia dataset (R package spatstat)
- 3604 locations of trees observed with spatial covariates (here the elevation field).
- $S = \mathbb{R}^2$ (equipped with the metric $\|\cdot\|$), $z(\cdot) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.



Notes



- Spatio-temporal point process on a complex space
- Daily observation of sunspots at the surface of the sun.
- can be viewed as the realization of a marked spatio-temporal point process on the sphere.
- $S = S_2 \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$ (state, time, and mark)





Examples	Definitions, Poisson	Summary statistics	Modelling and inference
Spatial point	t pattern (6)		

- Towards stochastic geometry
- Planar section of the pseudo-stratified epithelium of a drosophila wing marked with antibodies to highlight cell borders.
- The centers form of the tessellation form a point process.



Notes



Mathematical definition of a spatial point process?

Definitions. Poisson

- S : Polish state space of the point process (equipped with the σ-algebra of Borel sets B).
- A configuration of points is denoted $x = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n, \ldots\}$. For $B \subseteq S : x_B = x \cap B$.
- N_{lf} : space of **locally finite configurations**, i.e.

 $\{x, n(x_B) = |x_B| < \infty, \forall B \text{ bounded } \subseteq S\}$

equipped with $N_{lf} = \sigma(\{x \in N_{lf}, n(x_B) = m\}, B \in \mathcal{B}, B \text{ bounded}, m \ge 1).$

Definition

Examples

A point process X defined on S is a measurable application defined on some probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) with values on N_{lf} .

Measurability of $X \Leftrightarrow N(B) = |X_B|$ is a r.v. for any bounded $B \in \mathcal{B}$.

Theoretical characterization of the distribution of X

Proposition

The distribution of a point process X

Definitions Poisson

● is determined by the finite dimensional distributions of its counting function, i.e. the joint distribution of $N(B_1), ..., N(B_m)$ for any bounded $B_1, ..., B_m \in \mathcal{B}$ and any $m \ge 1$.

Summary statistics

Modelling and inferen

② is uniquely determined by its void probabilities, i.e. by

P(N(B) = 0), for bounded $B \in \mathcal{B}$.

- From now on, we assume that S = R^d (and even d = 2) or a bounded domain of R².
- Everything can de extended to marked spatial point processes and/or to more complex domains.

Examples Definitions, Poisson Summary statistics Modelling and inference Model

- Moments play an important role in the modelling of classical inference.
- For point processes = moments of counting variables.

Definition : for $n \ge 1$ we define

• the *n*-th order moment measure (defined on S^n) by

$$\mu^{(n)} = \mathbb{E} \sum_{u_1,\ldots,u_n} \mathbf{1}(\{u_1,\ldots,u_n\} \in D), \ D \subseteq S^n.$$

• the *n*-th order reduced moment measure (defined on S^n) by

$$\alpha^{(n)}(D) = \operatorname{E}\sum_{u_1,\ldots,u_n}^{\neq} \mathbf{1}(\{u_1,\ldots,u_n\} \in D), \ D \subseteq S^n.$$

where the \neq sign means that the *n* points are pairwise distinct.

Notes

Examples Definitions, Poisson Summary statistics Modelling and inference Intensity functions Intensity functions Intensity functions Intensity functions

Assume $\mu^{(1)}$ and $\alpha^{(2)}$ are absolutely continuous w.r.t. Lebesgue measure, and denote by ρ and $\rho^{(2)}$ the densities.

Campbell Theorems

① For any measurable function $h: S \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\operatorname{E}\sum_{u\in X}h(u)=\int_{S}h(u)\rho(u)\mathrm{d} u.$$

2 For any measurable function $h: S \times S \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\operatorname{E}\sum_{u,v\in X}^{\neq} h(u,v) = \int_{S} \int_{S} h(u,v) \rho^{(2)}(u,v) \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v.$$

 $\rho(u)du \simeq \text{Probability of the occurence of } u \text{ in } B(u, du)$ $\rho^{(2)}(u, v) \simeq \text{Probability of the occurence of } u \text{ in } B(u, du) \text{ and } v \text{ in } B(v, dv).$

Examples Definitions, Poisson Summary statistics Modelling and infer Poisson point processes

Classical definition : $X \sim \text{Poisson}(S, \rho)$

- $\forall m \ge 1$, \forall bounded and disjoint $B_1, \ldots, B_m \subset S$, the r.v. X_{B_1}, \ldots, X_{B_m} are **independent**.
- $N(B) \sim \mathcal{P}\left(\int_{B} \rho(u) du\right)$ for any bounded $A \subset S$.
- $\forall B \subset S, \forall F \in N_{lf}$

$$P(X_B \in F) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{e^{-\int_B \rho(u) \mathrm{d}u}}{n!} \int_B \dots \int_B \mathbf{1}(\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \in F) \prod_{i=1}^n \rho(x_i) \mathrm{d}x_i.$$

• If $\rho(\cdot) = \rho$, X is said to be homogeneous which implies

$$EN(B) = \rho|B|, \quad VarN(B) = \rho|B|.$$

• and if $S = \mathbb{R}^d$, X is stationary and isotropic.

Notes

A few realizations on $S = [-1, 1]^2$

Definitions. Poisson

•
$$\rho(u) = \beta e^{-u_1 - u_1^2 - .5u_1^3}$$

•
$$\rho = 200$$
.

•
$$\rho(u) = \beta e^{2\sin(4\pi u_1 u_2)}$$
.

(β is adjusted s.t. the mean number of points in S, $\int_{S} \rho(u) du = 200$.)



Summary statistics

Modelling and inference

Examples Definitions, Poisson Summary statistics Modelling and i A few properties of Poisson point processes

Proposition : if $X \sim \text{Poisson}(S, \rho)$

- Void probabilities : $v(B) = P(N(B) = 0) = e^{-\int_{B} (\rho(u) du)}$.
- For any $u, v \in S$, $\rho^{(2)}(u, v) = \rho(u)\rho(v)$ (also valid for $\rho^{(k)}, k \ge 1$)
- and if $|S| < \infty$, X admits a density w.r.t. Poisson(S, 1) given by

$$f(x) = e^{|S| - \int_S \rho(u) \mathrm{d}u} \prod_{u \in x} \rho(u)$$

• Slivnyak-Mecke Theorem : for any non-negative function $h: S \times N_{lf} \to \mathbb{R}^+$, then

$$\operatorname{E}\sum_{u\in X}h(u,X\setminus u)=\int_{S}\operatorname{E}h(u,X)\rho(u)\mathrm{d} u.$$

 $\underline{\mathsf{Example}} : \mathsf{if } \rho(\cdot) = \rho, \ \mathrm{E} \sum_{u \in X \cap [0,1]^2} \mathbf{1}(d(u, X \setminus u) \le R) = \rho \left(1 - e^{-\rho \pi R^2}\right)$

Notes



Examples Definitions, Poisson Summary statistics Modelling and infe Statistical inference for a Poisson point process Inference for a Poisson point process Inference for a Poisson point process

- Simulation :
 - homogeneous case : very simple
 - inhomogeneous case : a **thinning** procedure can be efficiently done if $\rho(u) \le c$: simulate Poisson(c,W) and delete a point u with prob. $1 \rho(u)/c$.
- Inference :
 - consists in estimating $\rho,\,\rho(\cdot;\theta)$ or $\rho(u)$ depending on the context.
 - All these estimates can be used even if the spatial point process is not Poisson (wait for a few slides)
 - Asymptotic properties very simple to derive under the Poisson assumption.
- <u>Goodness-of-fit tests</u> : tests based on quadrats counting, based on the void probability, . . .





- We consider here the problem of estimating the parameter ρ of a homogeneous Poisson point process defined on S and observed on a window W ⊆ S.
- Since $N(W) \sim \mathcal{P}(\rho|W|)$, the natural estimator of ρ is

 $\widehat{\rho} = N(W)/|W|$

Properties

- (i) $\widehat{\rho}$ corresponds to the maximum likelihood estimate.
- (ii) $\widehat{\rho}$ is unbiased.
- (iii) $\operatorname{Var} \widehat{\rho} = \frac{\rho}{|W|}$.

 \underline{Proof} : (i) follows from the definition of the density (ii-iii) can be checked using the Campbell formulae.

Examples Definitions, Poisson Summary statistics Modelling and infer Homogeneous case (2)

Asymptotic results

• For large N(W), $\widehat{\rho}|W| \simeq N(\rho|W|, \rho|W|)$ and so

$$|W|^{1/2}(\widehat{\rho}-\rho)\simeq \mathcal{N}(0,\rho).$$

- (the approximation is actually a convergence as $W \to \mathbb{R}^d$)
- Variance stabilizing transform :

$$2|W|^{1/2}(\sqrt{\widehat{\rho}}-\sqrt{\rho})\simeq \mathcal{N}(0,1)$$

• We deduce a $1 - \alpha$ ($\alpha \in (0, 1)$) confidence interval for ρ

$$\mathrm{IC}_{1-\alpha}(\rho) = \left(\sqrt{\widehat{\rho}} \pm \frac{z_{\alpha/2}}{2|W|^{1/2}}\right)^2.$$

Notes

A simulation example

Examples

Definitions. Poisson

We generated m = 10000 replications of homogeneous Poisson point processes with intensity $\rho = 100$ on $[0, 1]^2$ (blcak plots) and on $[0, 2]^2$ (red plots).





We generated m = 10000 replications of homogeneous Poisson point processes with intensity $\rho = 100$ on $[0, 1]^2$ (black plots) and on $[0, 2]^2$ (red plots).

	$W = [0, 1]^2$	$W = [0, 2]^2$
Emp. Mean of $\widehat{ ho}$	100.17	100.07
Emp. Var. of $\widehat{ ho}$	98.57	25.69
Emp. Coverage rate		
of 95% confidence intervals	95.31%	94.78%

Notes

Modelling and inference



Application : pines datasets

Definitions. Poisson

- We consider three unmarked datasets : japanesepines, swedishpines, finpines.
- Plot the data, estimate the intensity parameter.
- Construct a confidence interval for each of them. Which one is significantly more abundant?
- Judge the assumption of the Poisson model using a GoF test based on quadrats.

Inhomogeneous case : parametric estimation

Definitions. Poisson

• Assume that ρ is parametrized by a vector $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^p$ $(p \ge 1)$. The most well-known model is the log-linear one :

$$\rho(u) = \rho(u; \theta) = \exp(\theta^{\top} z(u))$$

Summary statistics

where $z(u) = (z_1(u), z_2(u), \dots, z_p(u))$ correspond to known spatial functions or spatial covariates.

• θ can be estimated by maximizing the log-likelihood on W

$$l_{W}(X,\theta) = \sum_{u \in X_{W}} \log \rho(u;\theta) + \int_{W} (1 - \rho(u;\theta)) du$$
$$= |W| + \underbrace{\sum_{u \in X_{W}} \theta^{\top} z(u) - \int_{W} \exp(\theta^{\top} z(u)) du}_{:=\ell_{W}(X,\theta)}.$$

In other words

Examples

Notes

Modelling and infere

Modelling and inference

Inhomogeneous case : parametric estimation (2)

Why would θ be a good estimate?
 Compute the score function

Definitions. Poisson

$$s_W(X,\theta) = \nabla \ell_W(X,\theta) = \sum_{u \in X_W} z(u) - \int_W z(u) \underbrace{\exp(\theta^{\mathsf{T}} z(u))}_{:=\rho(u)} \mathrm{d}u$$

Summary statistics

Modelling and inferen

The true parameter θ_0 (i.e. $X \sim P_{\theta_0}$) minimizes the expectation of the score function. Indeed from Campbell formula

$$\mathrm{E}s_{W}(X,\theta) = \int_{W} z(u) \left(\exp(\theta_{0}^{\mathsf{T}} z(u)) - \exp(\theta^{\mathsf{T}} z(u)) \right) \mathrm{d}u = 0$$

when $\theta = \theta_0$.

• Rathbun and Cressie (1994) showed the strong consistency and the asymptotic normality of $\hat{\theta}$ as $W \to \mathbb{R}^d$.

Examples Definitions, Poisson Summary statistics Modelling and inference Data example : dataset bei

A point pattern giving the locations of 3605 trees in a tropical rain forest. Accompanied by covariate data giving the elevation (altitude) (z_1) and slope of elevation (z_2) in the study region.



Assume an inhomogeneous Poisson point process (which is not true, see the next chapter) with intensity

$$\log \rho(u) = \beta + \theta_1 z_1(u) + \theta_2 z_2(u).$$

 $\underline{Question}$: how can we prove that each covariate has a significant influence ?

Notes

Inhomogeneous case : nonparametric estimation

(Diggle 2003)

• Idea is to mimic the kernel density estimation to define a nonparametric estimator of the spatial function ρ .

Summary statistics

Modelling and inferen

- Let k : ℝ^d → ℝ⁺ a symmetric kernel with intensity one.
 Examples of kernels
 - Gaussian kernel : $(2\pi)^{-d/2} \exp(-||y||^2/2)$.
 - Cylindric kernel : $\frac{1}{\pi} \mathbf{1}(||y|| \le 1)$.

Definitions, Poisson

- Epanecnikov kernel : $\frac{3}{4}\mathbf{1}(|y| < 1)(1 |y|^2)$.
- Let *h* be a positive real number (which will play the role of a bandwidth window), then the nonparametric estimate (with border correction) at the location *v* is defined as

$$\widehat{\rho}_h(v) = K_h(v)^{-1} \sum_{u \in X_W} \frac{1}{h^d} k\left(\frac{\|v-u\|}{h}\right)$$

Examples Definitions, Poisson Summary statistics Modelling and infe Intuitively, this works . . .

Indeed, using the Campbell formula and a change of variables we can obtain

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{E}\widehat{\rho}_{h}(v) &= K_{h}(v)^{-1}\operatorname{E}\sum_{u \in X_{W}} \frac{1}{h^{d}} k\left(\frac{||v-u||}{h}\right) \\ &= K_{h}(v)^{-1} \int_{W} \frac{1}{h^{d}} k\left(\frac{||v-u||}{h}\right) \rho(u) \mathrm{d}u \\ &= K_{h}(v)^{-1} \int_{\frac{W-v}{h}} k\left(||\omega||\right) \rho(\omega h + v) \mathrm{d}\omega \\ &\stackrel{h \text{ small}}{\cong} K_{h}(v)^{-1} \int_{\frac{W-v}{h}} k\left(||\omega||\right) \rho(v) \mathrm{d}\omega \\ &\simeq \rho(v). \end{split}$$

More theoretical justifications and properties and a discussion on the bandwidth parameter and edge corrections can be found in Diggle (2003).

Notes

Summary statistics

Modelling and inferen

Notes

Notes

Objective and classification

Definitions Poisso

Objective :

- Define some descriptive statistics for s.p.p. (independently on any model so).
- Measure the abundance of points, the clustering or the repulsiveness of a spatial point pattern w.r.t. the Poisson point process.

Classification :

Examples

- First-order type based on the intensity function.
- \bullet Second-order type statistics : pair correlation function, Ripley's K function.
- Statistics based on distances : empy space function *F*, nearest-neigbour *G*, *J* function.

(We assume that ρ and $\rho^{(2)}$ exist in the rest of the talk)

Summary statistics based on the intensity function

Thanks to **Campbell formulae**, the estimates of the intensity for a Poisson point process can be used to estimate the intensity of a general spatial point process X. In particular

Summary statistics

- if X is stationary $\widehat{\rho} = N(W)/|W|$ is an estimate of ρ .
- **2** Non-stationary, parametric estimation of the intensity : if $\rho(u) = \rho(u; \theta)$ can be used using the "Poisson likelihood", i.e.

$$I_W(X, \theta) = \sum_{u \in X_W} \log \rho(u; \theta) - \int_W \rho(u; \theta) \mathrm{d}u.$$

Non stationary, non-parametric estimation of the intensity (see previous chapter for notation) :

$$\widehat{\rho}_h(u) = K_h(u)^{-1} \sum_{v \in X_W} \frac{1}{h^d} k\left(\frac{||v-u||}{h}\right).$$

A simulation example in the stationary case

Definitions Poisso

We generated m = 10000 replications of a stationary log-Gaussian Cox processes (Thomas process, $\kappa = 50$, $\sigma = .005$) with intensity $\rho = 400$.

Summary statistics

Modelling and inferen



• A survey of the estimation of the asymptotic variance of $\hat{\rho}$ can be found in Prokesova and Heinrich (2010) and references therein.

ExamplesDefinitions, PoissonSummary statisticsModelling and inferenceParametric intensity estimation for non Poisson models

We generated B=1000 replications of Thomas process with parameters $\kappa=50$, $\sigma=.005$ and with intensity function

$$\rho(u) = \exp(\beta - \theta u_1^2 u_2^2)$$

with $\theta = -2$ and β adjusted s.t. EN(W) = 200 for $W = [0, 1]^2$ and 800 for $W = [0, 2]^2$.

Then for each replication, θ is	
estimated using the "Poisson	
likelihood"	



Poisson		$W = [0, 1]^2$	$W = [0, 2]^2$
	Emp. Mean of $\widehat{\theta}$	-2.03	-2.01
	Emp. Var. of $\widehat{\theta}$	0.13	0.03

• Asymptotic results are more awkward to derive and depend on mixing coefficients of the spatial point process *X*.

• See Guan (2006), Guan and Loh (2008), Waagepetersen, Guan and Jalilian (2012) and Coeurjolly and Møller (2012) for details and refinements.

Notes



We assume (for simplicity) the stationarity and isotropy of X.

Definition

The Ripley's K function is literally defined for $r \ge 0$ by

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{K}(r) &= \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{E}(\text{number of extra events within distance r of a randomly chosen event}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{E}(\mathcal{N}(B(0, r) \setminus 0) \mid 0 \in X) \end{split}$$

We define the *L* function as $L(r) = (K(r)/\pi)^{1/2}$.

Properties :

- Under the Poisson case, $K(r) = \pi r^2$; L(r) = r.
- If $K(r) > \pi r^2$ or L(r) > r (resp. $K(r) < \pi r^2$ or L(r) < r) we suspect clustering (regularity) at distances lower than r.

Summary statistics

Pair correlation function

Definitions, Poisson

Definition

Examples

If ρ and $\rho^{(2)}$ exist, then the pair correlation function is defined by

$$g(u,v) = \frac{\rho^{(2)}(u,v)}{\rho(u)\rho(v)}$$

where we set for convention a/0 = 0 for $a \ge 0$.

 $g(u,v) \begin{cases} = 1 & \text{if } X \sim \text{Poisson}(S,\rho). \\ > 1 & \text{for attractive point pattern.} \\ < 1 & \text{for repulsive point pattern.} \end{cases}$

If $S = \mathbb{R}^d$ and X is stationary and isotropic, then

$$g(u,v) = \frac{\rho^{(2)}(||v-u||)}{\rho^2} = \overline{g}(||v-u|)$$

Notes

Examples Definitions, Poisson Summary statistics Modelling and inference Particular case for stationary and isotropic processes

Notes

Theorem

For stationary and isotropic processes in $S = \mathbb{R}^d$

$$g(r) = \frac{K'(r)}{\sigma_d r^{d-1}}$$

where $\sigma_d = d\omega_d$ is the surface area of unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{d-1} in \mathbb{R}^d .

<u>Proof</u> : Using polar decomposition we obtain

$$\mathcal{K}(r) = \int_{B(0,r)} g(||u||) \mathrm{d}u = \int_0^r \int_{S^{d-1}} t^{d-1} g(t) \mathrm{d}t = \sigma_d \int_0^r t^{d-1} g(t) \mathrm{d}t.$$

Edge corrected estimation of the K function

Definition

We define

• the border-corrected estimate as

$$\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_{BC}(r) = \frac{1}{\widehat{\rho}} \sum_{u \in X_{W_{\Theta r}}, v \in X_W}^{\neq} \frac{\mathbf{1}(v \in B(u, R))}{N(W_{\Theta r})}$$

Summary statistics

where $W_{\ominus r} = \{u \in W : B(u, r) \subseteq W\}$ is the erosion of W by r.

• the translation-corrected estimate as

$$\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_{TC}(r) = \frac{1}{\widehat{\rho}^2} \sum_{u,v \in X_W}^{\neq} \frac{\mathbf{1}(v - u \in B(0, r))}{|W \cap W_{v-u}|}$$

where $W_u = W + u = \{u + v : v \in W\}.$

<u>Remark</u> : everything extends to 2nd-order reweighted stationary point processes ; asymptotic properties depend on mixing conditions,...

Estimation of the pair correlation function

Definitions Poiss

For convenience, we consider only stationary and isotropic point processes.

• Then, the pair correlation function g(u, v) = g(||u - v||) can be estimated using the following edge corrected kernel estimate

$$\widehat{g}(r) = \frac{1}{\widehat{\rho^2}} \sum_{u,v \in X_W}^{\neq} \frac{k_h(||v-u||-r)}{\sigma_d r^{d-1} |W \cap W_{v-u}|}$$

Summary statistics

Aodelling and infere

where $k_h(t) = h^{-d}k(t/h)$.

• Alternatively, we can estimate estimate the derivative of the *K* function (after smooting using e.g. spline techniques) and define

$$\widehat{g}(r) = \frac{\widehat{K'}(r)}{\sigma_d r^{d-1}}.$$

 Examples
 Definitions, Poisson
 Summary statistics
 Modelling and inference

 Example of L function for a Poisson point pattern



- The enveloppes are constructed using a Monte-Carlo approach under the Poisson assumption.
- \Rightarrow we don't reject the Poisson assumption.

Notes

ExamplesDefinitions, PoissonSummary statisticsModelling and inferExample of L function for a repulsive point pattern



- $\bullet \Rightarrow$ the point pattern does not come from the realization of a homogeneous Poisson point process.
- exhibits repulsion at short distances $(r \le .05)$

 Examples
 Definitions, Poisson
 Summary statistics
 Modelling and inference

 Example of L function for a clustered point pattern



- ⇒ the point pattern does not come from the realization of a homogeneous Poisson point process.
- exhibits attraction at short distances ($r \le .08$).

Notes



Assume X is stationary (definitions can be extended in the general case)

Definition

• The empty space function is defined by

$$F(r) = P(d(0, X) \le r) = P(N(B(0, r)) > 0), \qquad r > 0$$

• The nearest-neighbour distribution function is

$$G(r) = P(d(0, X \setminus 0) \le r | 0 \in X)$$

- J-function : J(r) = (1 G(r))/(1 F(r)), r > 0.
- Poisson case : $\forall r > 0$, $F(r) = G(r) = 1 e^{-\pi r^2}$, J(r) = 1.
- $F(r) < F_{pois}(r)$, $G(r) > G_{pois}(r)$, J(r) < 1: attraction at dist. < r.
- $F(r) > F_{pois}(r)$, $G(r) < G_{pois}(r)$, J(r) > 1: repulsion at dist. < r.

Summary statistics

Non-parametric estimation of F, G and J

Definitions, Poisson

As for the K and L functions, several edge corrections exist. We focus here only on the border correction. We assume that X is observed on a bounded window W with positive volume.

Definition

Examples

 Let *I* ⊆ *W* be a finite regular grid of points and *n*(*I*) its cardinality. Then, the (border corrected) estimator of *F* is

$$\widehat{F}(r) = \frac{1}{n(I_r)} \sum_{u \in I_r} \mathbf{1}(d(u, X) \le r)$$

where $I_r = I \cap W_{\ominus r}$.

• The (border corrected) estimator of G is

$$\widehat{G}(r) = \frac{1}{N(W_{\ominus r})} \sum_{u \in X \cap W_{\ominus r}} \mathbf{1}(d(u, X \setminus u) \leq r)$$

Notes



Application to a clustered point pattern data



Examples Definitions, Poisson Summary statistics Modelling and inference Objective

The main objectives of this section are

- to present more realistic models than the too simple Poisson point process to take into account the spatial dependence between points.
- to present statistical methodologies to infer these models.

We can distinguish several classes of models for spatial point processes

- on the superimposition of Poisson point processes. [sometimes hard to relate the stochastic process producing the realization and the physical phenomenon producing the data]
- Ocx point processes (which include Cluster point processes,...).
- Gibbs point processes.
- Oterminental point processes.



Examples Definitions, Poisson Summary statistics Modelling and inference

An attempt to classify these models ...

Model	Allows to model	Are moments expressible in a closed form ?	Density w.r.t. Poisson ?
Сох	attraction	yes	no
Gibbs	repulsion but also attraction	no	yes
Determinental	repulsion	yes	yes

This course only focuses on the two first classes of point processes, i.e. on Cox and Gibbs point processes.

Examples Definitions, Poisson Summary statistics Modelling and inference Definition

We let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ throughout this section. *B* denotes any bounded domain $\subseteq S$.

Definition

Suppose that $Z = \{Z(u) : u \in S\}$ is a nonnegative random field so that with probability one, $u \to Z(u)$ is a locally integrable function. If the conditional distribution of X given Z is a Poisson process on S with intensity function Z, then X is said to be a *Cox process* driven by Z.

Remarks :

- Z is a random field means that Z(u) is a random variable $\forall u \in S$.
- if EZ(u) exists and is locally integrable then w.p. 1, Z(u) is a locally integrable function.

Notes



Proposition

1 Provided Z(u) has finite expectation and variance for any $u \in S$

$$\rho(u) = \mathrm{E}Z(u), \ \rho^{(2)}(u,v) = \mathrm{E}[Z(u)Z(v)], \ g(u,v) = \frac{\mathrm{E}[Z(u)Z(v)]}{\rho(u)\rho(v)}$$

O The void probabilities are given by

$$v(B) = \operatorname{E} \exp\left(-\int_{B} Z(u) \mathrm{d} u\right)$$

Modelling and inference

for bounded $B \subseteq S$.

<u>Proof</u> : direct consequence of the fact that X|Z is a Poisson point process with intensity function Z.

Over-dispersion of Cox processes

Proposition

Let A, B bounded sets of S, then

$$\operatorname{Cov}(N(A), N(B)) = \int_{A} \int_{B} \operatorname{Cov}(Z(u), Z(v)) \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v + \int_{A \cap B} \mathrm{E}Z(u) \mathrm{d}u$$

Consequence :

- In particular, $VarN(A) \ge EN(A)$ with equality only when X is a Poisson process.
- \Rightarrow over-dispersion of the counting variables.

Other remarks :

- Most of models have pcf such that $g \ge 1$ (but a few exceptions \exists).
- If $S = \mathbb{R}^d$ and X is stationary and/or isotropic then X is stationary and/or isotropic.
- Explicit expressions of the *F*, *G* and *J* functions in the stationary case are in general difficult to derive.

Notes

Definition

A mixed Poisson process is a Cox process where $Z(u) = Z_0$ is given by a positive random variable for any $u \in S$, i.e. $X|Z_0$ follows a homogeneous Poisson process with intensity Z_0 .

- Limited interest ...
- X is stationary and (provided Z_0 has first two moments)

$$ho = \mathrm{E} Z_0$$
 and $g(u, v) = rac{\mathrm{E} [Z_0^2]}{\mathrm{E} [Z_0]^2} \ge 1.$

Summary statistics

Modelling and inference

• The K and L functions are given by

$$K(r) = \beta \omega_d r^d$$
 and $L(r) = \beta^{1/d} r \ge r$
where $\omega_d = |B(0,1)|$ and $\beta = \frac{E[Z_0^2]}{E[Z_0]^2}$.
(recall that $K'(r) = d\omega_d g(r)r^{d-1}$).

Neymann-Scott processes

Definition

Examples

Let *C* be a stationary Poisson process on \mathbb{R}^d with intensity $\kappa > 0$. Conditional on *C*, let $X_c, c \in C$ be independent Poisson processes on \mathbb{R}^d where X_c has intensity function

$$\rho_c(u) = \alpha k(u-c)$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is a parameter and k is a kernel (i.e. for all $c \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $u \to k(u-c)$ is a density function). Then $X = \bigcup_{c \in C} X_c$ is a Neymann-Scott process with cluster centres C and clusters $X_c, c \in C$.

- X is also a Cox process on \mathbb{R}^d driven by $Z(u) = \sum_{c \in C} \alpha k(u-c)$.
- Simulating a Neymann-Scott process (on W) is very simple (if k has compact support T < ∞)

2 For each
$$c \in C$$
, generate $X_c \sim \text{Poisson}(W, \rho_c)$

3 Concatenate all the
$$X_c$$
's

• If k has unbounded support, an exact simulation is still possible.

Notes



We obtain specific models by choosing specific kernel densities.

• the *Matérn cluster process* where

$$k(u) = \mathbf{1}(||u|| \le R) \frac{1}{\omega_d R^d}$$

- is the uniform density on the B(0, R).
- ② the *Thomas process* where

$$k(u) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\right)^{d/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\|u\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

is the density of $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I_d)$.

When R is small or when σ is small, then point pattern exhibit strong attraction.

Examples	Definitions, Poisson	Summary statistics	Modelling and inference
Basic prop	perties of NS pp		

- κ is the mean number of cluster centres per unit square, α is the mean number of daughters points per cluster.
- X is stationary (since Z is stationary) and is isotropic if k(u) = k(||u||).
- Intensity of $X : \rho(u) = \alpha \kappa$.
- The (stationary) pair correlation function is given by

$$g(u,v) = 1 + \frac{k * k(v-u)}{\kappa} \ge 1$$
 where $k * k(u) = \int k(c)k(v-u+c) dc$.

• The *F*, *G* and *J* functions are also expressible in terms of *k*. In particular

$$J(r) = \int k(u) \exp\left(-\alpha \int_{\|v\| \le r} k(u+v) \mathrm{d}v\right) \mathrm{d}u$$

whereby we deduce that $\exp(-\alpha) \leq J(r) \leq 1$.

Notes

Examples Definitions, Poisson Summary statistics Modelling and inference Back to the Thomas process

Recall that k is the density of a $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I_d)$. Applying the previous results, we get (for the pcf)



(similar developments can be done for the K, L, J functions and with more work for the Matérn process).



Notes





Examples	Definitions, Poisson	Summary statistics	Modelling and inference
Correpondin	g J estimates		



Notes





- Inhomogeneous Neymann-Scott processes can be obtained by replacing the intensity parameter κ by a spatial function κ(u).
- The natural extension of NS processes is given by shot-noise Cox processes which is a Cox process driven by

$$Z(u) = \sum_{(c,\gamma)\in\Phi} \gamma k(c,u)$$

where $k(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a kernel and Φ is a Poisson point process on $\mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty)$ with a locally integrable intensity function ζ . (see e.g. Møller and Waagepetersen 2004 for complements).

Summary statistics

Modelling and inference

Log-Gaussian Cox processes

Definition

Examples

Let X be a Cox process on \mathbb{R}^d driven by $Z = \exp Y$ where Y is a Gaussian random field. Then, X is said to be a *log Gaussian Cox process* (LGCP).

Remarks :

- we could consider Z = h(Y) for some non-negative function h, but the exp leads to tractable calculations.
- another possibility : using a χ^2 field, i.e. $Z(u) = Y_1(u)^2 + \ldots + Y_m(u)^2$ are the Y_i 's are independent Gaussian fields with zero mean.
- LGCP are easy to simulate since the problem is transfered to generate a Gaussian field (which can be handled by several methods).
- The mean and covariance function of Y determine the distribution of X.

Notes

Examples Definitions, Poisson Summary statistics Modelling and inference Particular cases

• In the following we let

$$m(u) = \operatorname{E} Y(u)$$
 and $c(u, v) = \operatorname{Cov}(Y(u), Y(v))$

and we focus on the case where c(u, v) depends only on ||v - u|| (covariance function invariant by translation and by rotation).

- Conditions on *c* are needed to get a covariance function. Among functions satisfying these properties we find :
 - the power exponential family satisfies these conditions

$$c(u, v) = \sigma^2 r(||v - u||/\alpha)$$
 with $r(t) = \exp(-t^{\delta}), t \ge 0$

with $\alpha, \sigma > 0$. $\delta = 1$ is the exponential correlation function; $\delta = 1/2$ is the stable correlation function; $\delta = 2$ is the Gaussian correlation function.

• the cardinal sine correlation :

$$c(u, v) = \sigma^2 r(||v - u||/\alpha)$$
 with $r(t) = \frac{\sin(t)}{t}, t \ge 0$

Summary statistics

Modelling and inference

Summary statistics for the LGCP

Proposition

Examples

Let X be a LGCP then under the previous notation

() the intensition function of X is

$$p(u) = \exp\left(m(u) + c(u, u)/2\right)$$

2 The pair correlation function g of X is

A

$$g(u,v) = \exp(c(u,v))$$

<u>Proof</u>: based on the fact that for $U \sim \mathcal{N}(\zeta, \sigma^2)$, the Laplace transform of U is $\operatorname{Eexp}(tU) = \exp(\zeta + \sigma^2 t/2)$.

- one to one correspondendce between (m, c) and (ρ, g) .
- If c is translation invariant then X is second order reweighted stationary (stationary if m is constant, and isotropic if in addition c(u, v) depends only on ||v u||).

Notes

Examples Definitions, Poisson Summary statistics Modelling and inference A few plots of pair correlation function

- pcf for the power exponential family : $\log g(r) = \sigma^2 \exp\left(-\left(\frac{r}{\alpha}\right)^{\delta}\right), \quad \alpha, \sigma, \delta > 0$
- pcf for the cardinal sine correlation : $\log g(r) = \sigma^2 \frac{\sin(r/\alpha)}{r/\alpha}, \quad \alpha, \sigma > 0$





Notes





Examples	Definitions, Poisson	Summary statistics	Modelling and inference
Correpondin	g J estimates		



Notes



Is likelihood available?

Definitions Poisso

Definitions, Poisson

Examples

• Assume (only here) that S is a bounded domain, then the density of X_S w.r.t a Poisson processes with unit rate is given by

$$f(x) = \mathrm{E}\left[\exp\left(|S| - \int_{S} Z(u) \mathrm{d}u\right) \prod_{u \in x} Z(u)\right]$$

for finite point configurations $x \subset S$. Explicit expression of the expectation is usually unknown and the integral may be difficult to calculate.

 \Rightarrow MLE is usually impossible to calculate (approximations or Bayesian should be used)

In most of applications, we only observe the realization of X.
 ⇒ Z should be considered as a latent process generating the point process, which is not observed.

General method based on minimum contrast estimation

• Assume we observe the realization of a stationary Cox point process which belongs to a parametric family with parameter θ (ex : $\theta = (\alpha, \kappa, \sigma^2)$ for the Thomas process, $\theta = (\mu, \alpha, \sigma^2)$ for a LGCP with exponential correlation function).

Summary statistics

- For most of Cox point processes, ρ = ρ_θ, K = K_θ or g = g_θ functions are expressible in a closed form, for instance :
 - for a planar (d = 2) Thomas process (NS process with Gaussian kernel) : $\rho = \alpha \kappa$ and

$$g_{\theta}(r) = 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-r^2/(4\sigma^2)\right)/\kappa \quad \text{and} \quad K_{\theta}(r) = \pi r^2 + \left(1 - \exp\left(-r^2/(4\sigma^2)\right)\right)/\kappa$$

• for a LGCP with exponential correlation function

$$\rho = \exp(m + \sigma^2/2) \text{ and } \log g_{\theta}(r) = \sigma^2 \exp(-r/alpha).$$

Notes

Notes

Modelling and inference

General method based on minimum contrast estimation (2)

Summary statistics

Modelling and inference

Then the idea is then to estimate θ using a minimum contrast approach : i.e. define θ as the minimizer of

$$\int_{r_1}^{r_2} \left| \widehat{K}(r)^q - K_{\theta}(r)^q \right|^2 \mathrm{d}r \quad \text{or} \quad \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \left| \widehat{g}(r)^q - g_{\theta}(r)^q \right|^2 \mathrm{d}r$$

where

- $\widehat{K}(r)$ and $\widehat{g}(r)$ are the nonparametric estimates of K(r) and g(r).
- where $[r_1, r_2]$ is a set of r fixed values.

Definitions Poisso

• q is a power parameter (adviced in the literature to be set to q = 1/4 or 1/2).

Examples	Definitions, Poisson	Summary statistics	Modelling and inference
A short simu	ulation		

- we generated 200 replications of a Thomas process with parameters $\kappa=100,~\sigma^2=10^{-4}$ and $\alpha=5$
- we estimated the parameters σ^2 and κ using the minimimum contrast estimat based on the K function.
- Then α is estimated using $\widehat{\alpha} = \widehat{\rho}/\widehat{\kappa}$

	Parameter <i>k</i>			Parameter α	
	$W = [0, 1]^2$	$W = [0, 2]^2$		$W = [0, 1]^2$	$W = [0, 2]^2$
Emp. mean	98.9	102.4	Emp. mean	4.9	4.9
Emp. var.	251.9	78.1	Emp. var.	40.1	6.1

	$W = [0, 1]^2$	$W = [0, 2]^2$
Emp. mean	$1.01 imes10^{-4}$	$9.7 imes10^{-5}$
Emp. var.	1.5×10^{-5}	8.2×10 ⁻⁶

Notes

Examples Definitions, Poisson Summary statistics Modelling and inference

- the objective of this section is to introduce a new class of point processes : the class of Gibbs point processes.
- Gibbs point process :
 - are mainly used to model **repulsion** between point (but a few models allows also to produce **aggregated models**). That's why this kind of models are widely used in statistical physics to model particles systems.
 - are defined (in a bounded domain) by a **density** w.r.t. a Poisson point process
 - \Rightarrow very easy to interpret the model and the parameters.
 - their main drawback : moments are not expressible in a closed form and density known up to a scalar
 ⇒ specific inference methods are required.

Examples Definitions, Poisson Summary statistics Modelling and inference Important restriction of this section Important restriction Important Important

- Throughout this chapter : we assume that the point process X is defined in a bounded domain S ⊂ ℝ^d (|S| < ∞).
- Gibbs point processes defined on \mathbb{R}^d are of particular interest :
 - in statistical physics because they can model **phase** transition .
 - $\bullet\,$ in asymptotic statistics : if for instance we want to prove the convergence of an estimator as the window expands to \mathbb{R}^d

However, the formalism is more complicated and technical and this is not considered here.

 \Rightarrow from now, X is a **finite point process in** S **(bounded)** taking values in N_f (space of finite configurations of points)

$$N_f = \{x \subset S : n(x) < \infty\}$$

Most of the results presented here have an extension to $S = \mathbb{R}^d$.

Notes

Definition of Gibbs point processes

Definitions Poisson

Definition

A finite point process X on a bounded domain S ($0 < |S| < \infty$) is said to be a Gibbs point process if it admits a density f w.r.t. a Poisson point process with unit rate, i.e. for any $F \subseteq N_f$

Summary statistics

$$P(X \in F) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{\exp(-|S|)}{n!} \times \int_{S} \dots \int_{S} \mathbf{1}(\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \in F) f(\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}) dx_1 \dots dx_n$$

where the term n = 0 is read as $\exp(-|S|)\mathbf{1}(\emptyset \in F)f(\emptyset)$.

- Gpp can be viewed as a perturbation of a Poisson point process.
- *f* is easily interpretable since it is in some sense a weight w.r.t. a Poisson process.

Examples	Definitions, Poisson	Summary statistics	Modelling and inference
The simplest	example		

is the inhomogeneous Poisson point process. Indeed for $X \sim$ Poisson (S, ρ) (such that $\mu(S) < \infty$), we recall that X admits a density w.r.t. to a Poisson point process with unit rate given for any $x \in N_f$ by

$$f(x) = \exp(|S| - \mu(S)) \prod_{u \in x} \rho(u).$$

In most of cases, f is specified up to a proportionality $f = c^{-1}h$ where $h: N_f \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is a known function. $\Rightarrow c$ is given by

$$c = \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{\exp(-|S|)}{n!} \int_{S} \dots \int_{S} h(\{x_1,\dots,x_n\}) \mathrm{d}x_1 \dots \mathrm{d}x_n = \mathrm{E}[h(Y)]$$

where $Y \sim \text{Poisson}(S, 1)$.

Notes

Notes

Papangelou conditional intensity

Definitions, Poissor

Definition

The Papangelou conditional intensity for a point process X with density f is defined by

$$\lambda(u,x) = \frac{f(x \cup u)}{f(x)}$$

for any $x \in N_f$ and $u \in S$ $(u \notin x)$, taking a/0 = 0 for $a \ge 0$.

- λ does not depend on c.
- for Poisson(S, ρ), $\lambda(u, x) = \rho(u)$ does not depend on x !
- λ(u, x)du can be interpreted as the conditional probability of observing a point in an infinitesimal region containing u of size du given the rest of X is x.

Examples Definitions, Poisson Summary statistics Modelling and inference Attraction, repulsion, heredity Image: Comparison of the state of the



• if f is hereditary, then $f \Leftrightarrow \lambda$ (one-to-one correspondence).

Notes

Notes

Existence of a Gpp in $S(|S| < \infty)$

Definitions Poisso

Proposition

Let $\phi^* : S \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a function so that $c^* = \int_S \phi^*(u) du < \infty$. Let h = cf, we say that X (or f) satisfies the

• local stability property if for any $x \in N_f$, $u \in S$

$$h(x \cup u) \leq \phi^{\star}(u)h(x) \Leftrightarrow \lambda(u, x) \leq \phi^{\star}(u).$$

• the Ruelle stability property if for any $x \in N_f$ and for $\alpha > 0$

$$h(x) \le \alpha \prod_{u \in x} \phi^{\star}(u)$$

local stability condition \Rightarrow Ruelle stability condition (and that f is hereditary) \Rightarrow existence of point process in S.

<u>Proof</u> : the first implication is obvious; for the last one it consists in checking that $c < \infty$.

Pairwise interaction point processes

For simplicity, we focus on the isotropic case.

Definition

Examples

A istotropic parwise interaction point process (PIPP) has a density of the form (for any $x \in N_f$)

$$f(x) \propto \prod_{u \in x} \phi(u) \prod_{\{u,v\} \subseteq x} \phi_2(||v-u||)$$

where $\phi : S \to \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\phi_2 : \mathbb{R}^+_* \to \mathbb{R}+$.

- If φ is constant (equal to β) then the Gpp is said to be homogeneous (note that ∏_{u∈x} φ(u) = β^{n(x)}).
- ϕ_2 is called the interaction function.
- this class of models is hereditary
- f is repulsive if $\phi_2 \leq 1$, in which case the process is locally stable if $\int_S \phi(u) du$.

Notes

Notes

Modelling and inference

Examples Definitions, Poisson Summary statistics Modelling and inference Strauss point process

Among the class of PIPP, the main example is the Strauss point process defined by

$$f(x) \propto \beta^{n(x)} \gamma^{s_R(x)}$$
 $\lambda(u, x) = \beta \gamma^{t_R(u, x)}$

where $\beta > 0$, $R < \infty$, where $s_R(x)$ is the number of *R*-close pairs of points in x and $t_R(u, x) = s_R(x \cup u) - s_R(x)$ is the number of *R*-close neighbours of *u* in x

$$s_R(x) = \sum_{\{u,v\}\in x} \mathbf{1}(\|v-u\| \le R) \text{ and } t_R(u,x) = \sum_{v\in x} \mathbf{1}(\|v-u\| \le R).$$

The parameter γ is called the **interaction parameter** :

- $\gamma = 1$: homogeneous Poisson point process with intensity β .
- $0 < \gamma < 1$: repulsive point process.
- γ = 0 : hard-core process with hard-core R; the points are
 prohibited from being closer han R.
- $\gamma > 1$: the model is not well-defined (if there exists a set $A \subset S$ with |A| > 0 and $diam(A) \le R$, then $c > \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{(\beta |A|)^n}{n!} \gamma^{n(n-1)/2} = \infty$).



Notes

Examples Definitions, Poisson Summary statistics Modelling and inference Corresponding L estimates



Examples	Definitions, Poisson	Summary statistics	Modelling and inference
Correspondi	ng J estimates		



Notes

Finite range property (spatial Markov property)

Definitions Poiss

Definition

Examples

A Gibbs point process X has a finite range R if the Papangelou conditional intensity satisfies

 $\lambda(u,x) = \lambda(u,x \cap B(u,R)).$

Summary statistics

Modelling and inference

Modelling and inference

- the probability to insert a point *u* into *x* depends only on some neighborhood of *u*.
- this definition is actually more general and leads to the definition of Markov point process (omitted here to save time).
- interesting property when we want to deal with edge effects.
- Finite range of the Strauss point process = R.

Other pairwise interaction point processes

- Strauss point process : $\phi_2(r) = \gamma^{1(r \le R)}$.
- Piecewise Strauss point process :

$$\phi_2(r) = \gamma_1^{\mathbf{1}(r \le R_1)} \gamma_2^{\mathbf{1}(R_1 < r \le R_2)} \dots \gamma_p^{\mathbf{1}(R_{p-1} < r \le R)}$$

- with $\gamma_i \in [0,1]$ and $0 \le R_1 < \ldots < R_p = R < \infty$ (finite range R).
- Overlap area process :

$$\phi_2(r) = \gamma^{|B(u,R/2) \cap B(v,R/2)|},$$

- with r = ||v u|| with $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ (finite range R).
- Lennard-Jones process :

$$\phi_2(r) = \exp(\alpha_1(\sigma/r)^6 - \alpha_2(\sigma/r)^{12})$$

with $\alpha \ge 0$, $\alpha_2 > 0$, $\sigma > 0$ (well-known example used in statistical physics, not locally stable but Ruelle stable) (infinite range).

Notes

Examples Definitions, Poisson Summary statistics Modelling and inference Non pairwise interaction point processes

Notes

Notes

• Geyer's triplet point process :

$$f(x) \propto \beta^{n(x)} \gamma^{s_R(x)} \delta^{u_R(x)}$$

 $\beta > 0$, $s_R(x)$ is defined as in the Strauss case and

$$u_R(x) = \sum_{\{u,v,w\}} \mathbf{1}(\|v-u\| \le R, \|w-v\| \le R, \|w-u\| \le R)$$

- (i) $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ and $\delta \in [0, 1]$: locally stable, repulsive, finite range R.
- (ii) γ > 1 and δ ∈ (0, 1) : locally stable, neither attractive nor repulsive, finite range R.

 Examples
 Definitions, Poisson
 Summary statistics
 Modelling and inference

 Non pairwise interaction point processes (2)

• Area-interaction point process :

$$f(x) \propto \beta^{n(x)} \gamma^{-|U_{x,R}|}$$

where $U_{x,R} = \bigcup_{u \in x} B(u, R)$, $\beta > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$. It is attractive for $\gamma \ge 1$ and repulsive for $0 < \gamma \le 1$. In both cases, it is locally stable since

$$\lambda(u,x) = \beta \gamma^{-|B(u,R) \setminus \bigcup_{v \in x: ||v-u|| \le 2R} B(v,R)|}$$

satisfies $\lambda(u, x) \leq \beta$ when $\gamma \geq 1$ and $\lambda(u, x) \leq \beta \gamma^{-\omega_d R^d}$ in the other case. (finite range 2R)



The following result is also a characterization of a Gibbs point process.

Georgii-Nguyen-Zeissin Formula

Let X be a finite and hereditary Gibbs point process defined on S. Then, for any function $h: S \times N_f \to \mathbb{R}^+$, we have

$$\operatorname{E}\left[\sum_{u\in X}h(u,X\setminus u)\right]=\int_{S}\operatorname{E}[h(u,X)\lambda(u,X)]\mathrm{d} u.$$

<u>Proof</u>: we know that Eg(X) = E[g(Y)f(Y)] where f is the density of a Poisson point process with unit rate Y. Apply this to the function $g(X) = \sum_{u \in X} h(u, X \setminus u)$

$$E[g(X)] = E\Big[\sum_{u \in Y} h(u, Y \setminus u)f(Y)\Big]$$

= $\int_{S} E[h(u, Y)f(Y \cup u)]du$ from the Slivnyak-Mecke Theorem
= $\int_{S} E[h(u, Y)f(Y)\lambda(u, Y)]du$ since X is hereditary
= $\int_{S} E[h(u, X)\lambda(u, X)]du$.

Examples Definitions, Poisson Summary statistics Modelling and inference First and second order intensities

Proposition

① The intensity function is given by

$$\rho(u) = \mathrm{E}[\lambda(u, X)].$$

2 The second order intensity function is given by

 $\rho^{(2)}(u,v) = \mathrm{E}[\lambda(u,X)\lambda(v,X)]$

- can be deduced from the GNZ formula.
- Except for the Poissonian case, moments are not expressible in a closed form, e.g.

$$\rho(u) = \frac{1}{c} \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{\exp(-|S|)}{n!} \int_{S} \dots \int_{S} \lambda(u, \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}) h(\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}) dx_1 \dots dx_n.$$

• Approximations can be obtained using a Monte-Carlo approach or using a saddle-point approximation (very recent).

Notes

Position of the problem

Definitions Poisson

we observe a realization of X on W = S (|S| < ∞; edge effects occur when W ⊂ S) of a parametric Gibbs point process with density which belongs to a parametric family of densities (f_θ = h_θ/c_θ)_{θ∈Θ} for Θ ⊂ ℝ^p.

Summary statistics

Modelling and inference

- Problem : estimate the parameter θ based on a single realization.
- *MLE* approach : the log-likelihood is $\ell_W(x; \theta) = \log h_{\theta} \log c_{\theta}$. **Pbm** : Given a model h_{θ} can be computed but c_{θ} cannot be evaluated even for a single value of θ ; asymptotic properties are only partial.

 \Rightarrow several solutions exist

- **(1)** Approximate c_{θ} using a Monte-Carlo approach.
- Bayesian approach, importance sampling method (to estimate a ratio of normalizing constants).
- **③** Combine the MLE with the Ogata-Tanemura approximation.
- **(**) Find another method which does not involve c_{θ} .

Examples	Definitions, Poisson	Summary statistics	Modelling and inference
Pseudo-li	kelihood		

• To avoid the computation of the normalizing constant, the idea is to compute a likelihood based on conditional densities

$$PL_W(x; \theta) = \exp(-|W|) \lim_{i \to \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{m_i} f(x_{A_{ij}}|x_{W \setminus A_{ij}}; \theta)$$

where $\{A_{ij} : j = 1, \dots, m_i\}$ $i = 1, 2, \dots$ are nested subdivisions of W.

• By letting $m_i \to \infty$ and $m_i \max |A_{ij}|^2 \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$ and taking the log, Jensen and Møller (91) obtained

$$LPL_W(x;\theta) = \sum_{u \in x_W} \lambda(u, x \setminus u; \theta) - \int_W \lambda(u, x; \theta) du$$

Notes

Comments on the Pseudo-likelihood

Definitions Poisso

The MPLE is the estimate $\widehat{\theta}$ maximizing

$$LPL_W(x; \theta) = \sum_{u \in x_W} \log \lambda(u, x \setminus u; \theta) - \int_W \lambda(u, x; \theta) du$$

Summary statistics

Modelling and inference

- **Independent on** c_{θ} , so the *LPL* is up to an integral discretization and up to edge effects very to compute.
- ② If X has a finite range R, then since x is observed in W, we can replace W by $W_{\ominus R}$ so that for instance $\lambda(u, x; \theta)$ can always be computed for any $u \in W_{\ominus R}$ (border correction).
- So If $\log \lambda(u, x; \theta) = \theta^{\top} v(u, x)$ (exponential family class of all examples presented before), then *LPL* is a **concave** function of θ .
- Inder suitable conditions *θ* is a **consistent** estimate and satisfies a **CLT** (and a fast covariance estimate is available) as the window *W* expands to ℝ^d. [Jensen and Künsch'94, Billiot Coeurjolly and Drouilhet'08-'10, Coeurjolly and Rubak'12].

Examples Definitions, Poisson Summary statistics Modelling and inference Simulation example

We generated 100 replications of Strauss point processes (a border correction was applied) :

1 mod1 : $\beta = 100$, $\gamma = 0.2$, R = .05. 2 mod2 : $\beta = 100$, $\gamma = 0.5$, R = .05.

Estimates of β				Estimates of γ						
	$W = [0, 1]^2$		$W = [0, 2]^2$				W =	= [0, 1] ²	W =	= [0, 2] ²
mod1	99.52	(17.84)	97.98	(9.24)		mod1	0.20	(0.09)	0.21	(0.06)
mod2	99.28	(20.48)	98.21	(8.53)		mod2	0.52	(0.19)	0.51	(0.09)





Notes



• Denote for any function h (eventually depending on θ)

$$L_W(X,h;\theta) = \sum_{u \in X_W} h(u,X \setminus u;\theta) \text{ and } R_W(X,h;\theta) = \int_W h(u,X;\theta)\lambda(u,X;\theta) \mathrm{d}u$$

- The GNZ formula states : $E[L_W(X, h; \theta)] = E[R_W(X, h; \theta)].$
- Idea : if θ is a *p*-dimensional vector,
 - choose p test function h_i and define the contrast

$$U_W(X,\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^p \left(L_W(X,h;\theta) - R_W(X,h;\theta) \right)^2$$

2 Define
$$\widehat{\theta}^{TF} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} U_W(X, \theta)$$
.

Examples	Definitions, Poisson	Summary statistics	Modelling and inference
Takacs-Fikse	l (2)		

General comments :

- like the MPLE :
 - independent of c_{θ} , border correction possible in case of X has a finite range
 - consistent and asymptotically Gaussian estimate (Coeurjolly et al.'12).
- **Another advantage** : interesting choices of test functions cal least to a decreasing of computation time.

 $\mathsf{Ex}: h_i(u, X) = n(B(u, r_i))\lambda^{-1}(u, X; \theta) \Rightarrow R_W \text{ independent of } \theta.$

• Actually : **MPLE** = **TFE** with $h = (h_1, ..., h_p)^{\top} = \lambda^{(1)}(\cdot, \cdot; \theta)$. Indeed (assume log $\lambda(u, X; \theta) = \theta^{\top} v(u, X)$ (for simplicity)

$$abla LPL_W(X; \theta) = \sum_{u \in X_W} v(u, X \setminus u) - \int_W v(u, X) \lambda(u, X; \theta) \mathrm{d}u.$$

Notes

A funny example for the Strauss point process

Recall that the Papangelou conditional intensity of a Strauss point process is

$$\lambda(u,X) = \beta \gamma^{t_R(u,X)} \text{ with } t_R(u,X) = \sum_{v \in X} \mathbf{1}(\|v-u\| \le R).$$

Summary statistics

Modelling and inference

Choose $h_1(u, X) = \mathbf{1}(n(B(u, R) = 0))$ and $h_2(u, X) = \mathbf{1}(n(B(u, R) = 1))$, then

Definitions, Poissor

•
$$L_W(X, h_1) = L_1$$
 and $R_W(X, h_1) = \beta \int_W \mathbf{1}(n(B(u, R) = 0)) = \beta I_1$

•
$$L_W(X, h_2) = L_2$$
 and $R_W(X, h_2) = \beta \gamma \int_W \mathbf{1}(n(B(u, R) = 1)) = \beta I_2$.

Then, the contrast function rewrites

$$U_W(X) = (L_1 - \beta I_1)^2 + (L_2 - \beta \gamma I_2)^2$$

which leads to the explicit solution

$$\widehat{\beta} = \frac{L_1}{l_1}$$
 and $\widehat{\gamma} = \frac{L_2}{l_2} \times \frac{l_1}{L_1}$.

Examples	Definitions, Poisson	Summary statistics	Modelling and inference	
Complement	S			

Other parametric approaches :

- Variational approach : (Baddeley and Dereudre'12).
- Method based on a logistic regression likelihood (Baddeley, Coeurjolly, Rubak, Waagepetersen'13).

Model fitting :

• Monte-Carlo approach : we can compare a summary statistic e.g. L with $L_{\widehat{\theta}}$.

Pbm : L_{θ} not expressible in a closed form and must be approximated.

• We can still use the GNZ formula : given a test function h, we can construct

$$L_W(X,h;\widehat{\theta}) - R_W(X,h;\widehat{\theta}) =: \text{Residuals}(X,h).$$

If the model is correct, then ${\rm Residuals}({\rm X},{\rm h})$ should be close to zero. (Baddeley et al.'05,08', Coeurjolly and Lavancier'12).

Notes



The anaysis of spatial point pattern

- very large domain of research including probability, mathematical statistics, applied statistics
- own specific models, methodologies and software(s) to deal with.
- is involved in more and more applied fields : economy, biology, physics, hydrology, environmetrics, . . .

Still a lot of challenges

- Modelling : the "true model", problems of existence, phase transition.
- Many classical statistical methodologies need to be adapted (and proved) to s.p.p. : robust methods, resampling techniques, multiple hypothesis testing.
- High-dimensional problems : $S = \mathbb{R}^d$ with *d* large, selection of variables, regularization methods,...
- Space-time point processes.

Notes