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## ABSTRACT

We discuss a concrete moment problem, stated in the framework of an algebra of rational functions on a hemisphere, whose specificity imposes some constraints on the existence of a representing measure. Trying to illustrate how to overtake some inherent difficulties, we exhibit the most significant arguments by inserting definitions and techniques related to a quaternionic Cayley transform.

## The Moments of a Measure

In what follows, we restrict our discussion in the euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.

Let $\Sigma$ be a Borel measurable subset in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, and let $\mu$ be a positive Borel measure on $\Sigma$. Let also ( $s, t, u$ ) denote the variable in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Assuming the integrability of all monomials in $(s, t, u)$ on $\Sigma$, the real numbers

are the moments of the measure $\mu$.
The numbers $\left(\gamma_{j k 1}\right)_{j, k, 1 \in \mathbb{Z}}$ may or may not determine the measure $\mu$.
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## Formulation of the Problem

Some measurements in physics (or even in practice) may lead to a 3 -sequence of real numbers $\gamma:=\left(\gamma_{j k l}\right)_{j, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}}$. The moment problem for such a sequence means to find a finite positive Borel measure (initially on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ ) having these numbers as moments.

When such a measure exists, it is called a representing measure for $\gamma$.

If, moreover, we ask the support of a representing measure to be in a given Borel measurable subset $\Sigma$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, the moment problem is said to be a $\sum$-moment problem.
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## The Riesz Functional

The general moment problem, especially in several variables, is still a difficult mathematical problem, generating numerous open questions. To fix our particular framework in a more suitable context, we shall use an equivalent formulation.

Let $\mathcal{P}^{3}$ be the algebra of all polynomials in $s, t$, $u$, with complex
coefficients. Let also $\gamma:=\left(\gamma_{j k l}\right)_{j, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}}$be a 3-sequence of real
numbers. The assignment
extended by linearity, leads to a (linear) functional $\Lambda_{\gamma}: \mathcal{P}^{3} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$, called the Riesz functional (associated to $\gamma$ ).
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## Continuation

When the 3-sequence $\gamma:=\left(\gamma_{j k l}\right)_{j, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}}$has a representing measure, it is easy to see that the associated Riesz functional $\Lambda: \mathcal{P}^{3} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ has the properties
(1) $\Lambda_{\gamma}(\bar{p})=\overline{\Lambda_{\gamma}(p)}$,
(2) $\Lambda_{\gamma}\left(|p|^{2}\right) \geq 0$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}^{3}$, and
(3) $\Lambda_{\gamma}(1)>0$.

Note that if $\Lambda_{\gamma}(1)=0$, then $\gamma=0$ because of the positivity of
the representing measure, which is a trivial case to be, in
general, avoided.
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## Square Positive Functionals

Inspired by the properties of the Riesz functional in the presence of a representing measure, we give the following:

Definition A linear map $\wedge: \mathcal{P}^{3} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ with the properties (a) $\Lambda(\bar{p})=\bar{\Lambda}(p)$,

(c) $\wedge(1)>1$
is said to be a square positive functional (briefly, a spf)
If moreover,
(d) $\wedge(1)=1$
we say that $\Lambda$ is also unital (briefly, a uspf).

## Square Positive Functionals

Inspired by the properties of the Riesz functional in the presence of a representing measure, we give the following:

Definition A linear map $\wedge: \mathcal{P}^{3} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ with the properties (a) $\Lambda(\bar{p})=\overline{\Lambda(p)}$,
(b) $\Lambda\left(|p|^{2}\right) \geq 0$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}^{3}$,
(c) $\Lambda(1)>1$.
is said to be a square positive functional (briefly, a spf).
If, moreover,
(d) $\Lambda(1)=1$,
we say that $\Lambda$ is also unital (briefly, a uspf).

Formulation of the Problem
A Necessary and Sufficient Condition

## Representing Measures
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Finding a representing measure for such a $\wedge$ means to solve a $\Sigma$-moment problem.

## A Hemisphere as a Semi-Algebraic Set

Let $\mathbb{S}^{3}$ be the unit sphere of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, and consider the hemisphere

$$
\mathbb{S}_{+}^{3}=\left\{(s, t, u) \in \mathbb{S}^{3} ; 0 \leq s \leq 1\right\}
$$

As we have

$$
\mathbb{S}_{+}^{3}=\left\{(s, t, u) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} ; \theta(s, t, u)=0, \sigma(s) \geq 0,(1-\sigma)(s) \geq 0\right\}
$$

where $\theta(s, t, u)=1-s^{2}-t^{2}-u^{2}$ and $\sigma(s)=s$, it follows that $\mathbb{S}_{+}^{3}$ is a compact semi-algebraic set.

## A Consequence of Schmüdgen's Theorem

For a given polynomial $q \in \mathcal{P}^{3}$ and a map $\Lambda: \mathcal{P}^{3} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$, we put $\Lambda_{q}(p)=\Lambda(q p)$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}^{3}$.

A well-known theorm by K. Schmüdgen implies that a unital square positive functional $\Lambda: \mathcal{P}^{3} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ has a representing
measure with support in $\mathbb{S}_{+}^{3}$ if and only if

$$
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## Let
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which is measurable, but noncompact.

> Problem. Characterize those unital square positive functionals $\Lambda$ on $\mathcal{P}^{3}$, having a representing measure with support in the set $\Sigma$, such that all functions $(1-s)^{-m}(m \geq 1$ an integer) are integrable.

Of course, the requirement on the integrability of the functions $(1-s)^{-m}(m \geq 1)$, makes Schmüdgen's theorem invalid, in the actual form. Nevertheless, this theorem remains a useful tool, as an auxiliary result.
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## Necessity of Condition (P)
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## A Useful Formula

To give a solution to the Problem, we should first extend the map $\Lambda_{+}$to the algebra $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$ generated by the rational functions $s^{j} t^{k} u^{\prime}(1-s)^{-m}$ restricted to $\Sigma$, where $j, k, I, m$ are nonnegative integers.
First of all, we note the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{(1-s)^{m+1}}=\sum_{r \geq m}\binom{r}{m} s^{r-m} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

valid for all integers $m \geq 0$, where the series is convergent at each point $s \in[0,1)$.

## A Necessary Condition

The series (1) suggests the following supplementary hypothesis on $\wedge$ :

Condition. Setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{m, n}(s)=\sum_{r=m}^{n}\binom{r}{m} s^{r-m} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all nonnegative integers $m, n(n \geq m)$ and $s \in[0,1)$, we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n_{1}, n_{2} \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda\left(\left|p_{m, n_{1}}-p_{m, n_{2}}\right|^{2}\right)=0 \tag{L}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $m \geq 0$.

## Remark

Condition (L), expressed only in terms of the given map $\Lambda$, is necessary via the Lebesgue theorem of dominated convergence.

## Sufficiency of Condition (L): Step 1

We shall prove in the following that condition (L) is also sufficient.
Using (L), for each element $p \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{S}_{+}^{3}\right)$ and every integer $m \geq 0$, we may define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\Lambda}\left(p r_{m}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda\left(p p_{m, n}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{m}(s)=(1-s)^{-m}$. Note that the limit exists via the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\Lambda}\left(p r_{m_{1}}\right)=\tilde{\Lambda}\left((1-\sigma)^{m_{2}-m_{1}} p r_{m_{2}}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $m_{2} \geq m_{1}$.

## Step 2

Let now $p_{1}, p_{2} \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{S}_{+}^{3}\right)$, and let $m_{1}, m_{2}$ be nonnegative integers such that $r_{m_{2}}^{-1} p_{1}-r_{m_{1}}^{-1} p_{2}=q$, where $q \mid \mathbb{S}_{+}^{3}=0$. Assuming, with no loss of generality, that $m_{2} \geq m_{1}$, we infer $p_{2}=(1-\sigma)^{m_{2}-m_{1}} p_{1}-q r_{m_{1}}$. This relation also shows that $q r_{m_{1}}$ is a polynomial, which is null on $\mathbb{S}_{+}^{3}$. Therefore, via (4),

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda\left(p_{2} p_{m_{2}, n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda\left(p_{1} p_{m_{1}, n}\right)
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\Lambda}\left(p_{2} r_{m_{2}}\right)=\tilde{\Lambda}\left(p_{1} r_{m_{1}}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad\left(r_{m_{2}}^{-1} p_{1}-r_{m_{1}}^{-1} p_{2}\right) \mid \mathbb{S}_{+}^{3}=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Step 3

Relation (5) shows that $\tilde{\Lambda}$ induces a map on the algebra of fractions $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$ build from the algebra $\mathcal{P}^{3}\left(\mathbb{S}_{+}^{3}\right)$, with denominators in the set $\mathcal{S}=\left\{(1-s)^{m} ; m \geq 0\right\}$. This map, denoted by $\tilde{\Lambda}_{+}$, is given by

$$
\tilde{\Lambda}_{+}\left(p(1-\sigma)^{-m} \mid \Sigma\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda\left(p r_{m, n}\right), p \in \mathcal{P}^{3}, m \geq 0,
$$

which clearly extends the map $\Lambda_{+}$.

## Step 4

The map $\tilde{\Lambda}_{+}: \mathcal{F}(\Sigma) \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ is a uspf. Indeed, fixing $f=p /(1-\sigma)^{m} \mid \Sigma$, we have, via the properties of $\Lambda$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{\Lambda}_{+}(\bar{f})=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda\left(\bar{p} p_{m, n}\right)=\overline{\Lambda(f)}, \Lambda\left(|f|^{2}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda\left(|f|^{2} p_{2 m, n}\right) \geq 0 \\
\tilde{\Lambda}_{\sigma}\left(|f|^{2}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda\left(\sigma|f|^{2} p_{2 m, n}\right) \geq 0,  \tag{6}\\
\tilde{\Lambda}_{1-\sigma}\left(|f|^{2}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda\left((1-\sigma)|f|^{2} p_{2 m, n}\right) \geq 0,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\tilde{\Lambda}_{\sigma}(f)=\tilde{\Lambda}_{+}(\sigma f)$, and similar relations for $\tilde{\Lambda}_{1-\sigma}$.

## Step 5

In particular, the map $\tilde{\Lambda}_{+}: \mathcal{F}(\Sigma) \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the
Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, and so the set

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda}=\left\{f \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma) ; \Lambda\left(|f|^{2}\right)=0\right\}
$$

is an ideal in the algebra $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$.
Moreover, the assignment $(f, g) \mapsto, \tilde{\Lambda}_{+}(f \bar{g})$ induces an inner product on the quotient $D_{0}=\mathcal{F}(\Sigma) / \mathcal{I}_{\Lambda}$.
The completion of the quotient $D_{0}=\mathcal{F}(\Sigma) / I_{\Lambda}$ with respect to the inner product $(f, g) \mapsto, \tilde{\Lambda}_{+}(f \bar{g})$ is a Hilbert space denoted by
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In particular, the map $\tilde{\Lambda}_{+}: \mathcal{F}(\Sigma) \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the
Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, and so the set

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda}=\left\{f \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma) ; \Lambda\left(|f|^{2}\right)=0\right\}
$$

is an ideal in the algebra $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$.
Moreover, the assignment $(f, g) \mapsto, \tilde{\Lambda}_{+}(f \bar{g})$ induces an inner product on the quotient $D_{0}=\mathcal{F}(\Sigma) / \mathcal{I}_{\Lambda}$.

The completion of the quotient $D_{0}=\mathcal{F}(\Sigma) / \mathcal{I}_{\Lambda}$ with respect to the inner product $(f, g) \mapsto, \tilde{\Lambda}_{+}(f \bar{g})$ is a Hilbert space denoted by $\mathcal{H}$.

## Step 6

We now consider in $\mathcal{H}$ the multiplication operators $B_{0}, C_{0}$ induced by the functions $-t /(1-s)$ and $u /(1-s)$, respectively, defined on $D_{0}$. In other words,

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{0} f=\left(\frac{-t}{1-s}\right) f, \\
C_{0} f=\frac{u}{1-s} f,
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $f \in D_{0}$. Clearly, $B_{0}, C_{0}$ are densely defined, leave invariant the space $D_{0}$ and commute.

## A Matricial Notation

To continue our investigation, we need some ingredients fro the theory of quaterninic Cayley transform.
We use the notation

$$
\mathbf{J}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right), \mathbf{K}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \mathbf{L}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

which act as operators on $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$.
We also set $\mathbf{E}=\boldsymbol{i} \mathbf{J}$, and denote by $\mathbf{I}$ the identity on $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$.

## E-Cayley Transform

We denote by $R(T)$ the range of a given operator $T$.
Definition Let $S: D(S) \subset \mathcal{H}^{2} \mapsto \mathcal{H}^{2}$ be such that $\mathbf{J} S$ is symmetric. Then we may correctly define the operator
$V: R(S+\mathbf{E}) \mapsto R(S-\mathbf{E}), \quad V(S+\mathbf{E}) x=(S-\mathbf{E}) x, \quad x \in D(S)$,
which is a partial isometry.
In other words, $V=(S-\mathbf{E})(S+\mathbf{E})^{-1}$, defined on $D(V)=R(S+\mathbf{E})$.
The operator $V$ is be called the E-Cayley transform of $S$.

## E-Cayley Transform

We denote by $R(T)$ the range of a given operator $T$.
Definition Let $S: D(S) \subset \mathcal{H}^{2} \mapsto \mathcal{H}^{2}$ be such that $J S$ is symmetric. Then we may correctly define the operator
$V: R(S+\mathbf{E}) \mapsto R(S-\mathbf{E}), \quad V(S+\mathbf{E}) x=(S-\mathbf{E}) x, \quad x \in D(S)$,
which is a partial isometry.
In other words, $V=(S-\mathbf{E})(S+\mathbf{E})^{-1}$, defined on $D(V)=R(S+\mathbf{E})$.
The operator $V$ is be called the E-Cayley transform of $S$.

## Properties of the E-Cayley Transform

We recall the properties of the E-Cayley Transform.

## Theorem 1

The E-Cayley transform is an order preserving bijective map assigning to each operator $S$ with $S: D(S) \subset \mathcal{H}^{2} \mapsto \mathcal{H}^{2}$ and $\mathbf{J} S$ symmetric a partial isometry $V$ in in $\mathcal{H}^{2}$ with $\mathbf{I}-V$ injective. Moreover:
(1) $V$ is closed if and only if $S$ is closed;
(2) the equality $V^{-1}=-\mathbf{K} V \mathbf{K}$ holds if and only if the equality SK = KS holds;
(3) $\mathrm{J} S$ is self-adjoint if and only if $V$ is unitary on $\mathcal{H}^{2}$.

## Step 7

Coming back to our notation, we set

$$
S_{0}=B_{0} \mathbf{I}+C_{0} \mathbf{K}
$$

defined on $D_{0} \oplus D_{0}$. In fact,

$$
S_{0}=\frac{1}{1-s}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-t & u \\
-u & -t
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then $\mathbf{J} S_{0}$, givn by

$$
\mathbf{J} S_{0}=\frac{1}{1-s}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-t-u & -u \\
-u & -t+u
\end{array}\right)
$$

is symmetric on $D_{0} \oplus D_{0}$.

## E-Cayley Transform of some Matrices

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $S=a \mathbf{l}+b \mathbf{K}$. A direct calculation shows that the E -Cayley transform of $S$ is given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
U=\left(a^{2}+b^{2}+1\right)^{-1}\left(\left(a^{2}+b^{2}-1\right) \mathbf{I}-2 a i \mathbf{J}+2 b i \mathbf{L}\right)= \\
\frac{1}{a^{2}+b^{2}+1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a^{2}+b^{2}-1-2 a i & 2 b i \\
2 b i & a^{2}+b^{2}-1+2 a i
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Step 8

We apply the previous formula to

$$
S_{0}=B_{0} \mathbf{I}+C_{0} \mathbf{K}
$$

with $a=-t(1-s)^{-1}$, and $b=u(1-s)^{-1}$. Hence, denoting by $U_{0}$ the E-Cayley transform of $S_{0}$, a direct computation shows that $U_{0}$ is the matrix multiplication operator

$$
U_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
s+i t & i u \\
i u & s-i t
\end{array}\right)
$$

defined on $R\left(S_{0}+\mathbf{E}\right)$.

## Step 9

Note that, for every pair $g_{1}, g_{2} \in D_{0}$, the system

$$
\left(\frac{-t}{1-s}+i\right) f_{1}+\frac{u}{1-s} f_{2}=g_{1}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{-u}{1-s} f_{1}+\left(\frac{-t}{1-s}-i\right) f_{2}=g_{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

has the solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=-2^{-1}\left((t+i-i s) g_{1}+u g_{2}\right), \\
& f_{2}=2^{-1}\left(u g_{1}-(t-i+i s) g_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

via the equality $s^{2}+t^{2}+u^{2}=1$.
Consequently $f_{1}, f_{2} \in D_{0}$.

## Step 10

Then the system (7) is precisely the equation

$$
\left(S_{0}+\mathbf{E}\right)\left(f_{1} \oplus f_{2}\right)=g_{1} \oplus g_{2}
$$

showing that $R\left(S_{0}+\mathbf{E}\right)$ is equal to $D_{0} \oplus D_{0}$. Hence, if $U_{0}$ the E-Cayley transform of $S_{0}$, the previous discussion shows that the matrix multiplication operator

$$
U_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
s+i t & i u \\
i u & s-i t
\end{array}\right)
$$

is defined on the space $D_{0} \oplus D_{0}$, which is clearly invariant under $U_{0}$.

## A Special Class of Operators

Let $S: D(S) \subset \mathcal{H}^{2} \mapsto \mathcal{H}^{2}$, with $D(S)=D_{0} \oplus D_{0}, D_{0} \subset \mathcal{H}$. The equality $D(S)=D_{0} \oplus D_{0}$ is equivalent to the inclusions (i) $\mathrm{J} D(S) \subset D(S)$ and $\mathbf{K} D(S) \subset D(S)$.

In order to have a normal extension of $S$ (with some convenient properties to be later mentioned), the following conditions are necessary:
(ii) JS is symmetric;

(iv) $\|S \mathbf{J} x\|_{2}=\|S x\|_{2}$ for all $x \in D(S)$.

## A Special Class of Operators

Let $S: D(S) \subset \mathcal{H}^{2} \mapsto \mathcal{H}^{2}$, with $D(S)=D_{0} \oplus D_{0}, D_{0} \subset \mathcal{H}$. The equality $D(S)=D_{0} \oplus D_{0}$ is equivalent to the inclusions
(i) $\mathrm{J} D(S) \subset D(S)$ and $\mathbf{K} D(S) \subset D(S)$.

In order to have a normal extension of $S$ (with some convenient properties to be later mentioned), the following conditions are necessary:
(ii) $\mathrm{J} S$ is symmetric;
(iii) $\mathrm{SK}=\mathbf{K} S$;
(iv) $\|S \mathbf{J} x\|_{2}=\|S x\|_{2}$ for all $x \in D(S)$.

## Step 11

The operator $S_{0}$, previously defined, has the properties (i)-(iv). One can verify that the closure $S$ of $S_{0}$ has similar properties. If $U$ is the E-Cayley transform of $S$, then $U$ should be closed. As $U$ extends $U_{0}, U$ must be a unitary operator on $\mathcal{H}^{2}$. Moreover, I - U is injective, as a E-Cayley transform, via Theorem 1.

## Unitaries as Inverse E-Cayley Transforms

Theorem 2 Let $U$ be a unitary operator on $\mathcal{H}^{2}$ with the property $U^{*}=-\mathbf{K} U \mathbf{K}$, and such that $\mathbf{I}-U$ is injective. Let also $S$ be the inverse $\mathbf{E}$-Cayley transform of $U$. The operator $S$ is normal if and only if $\left(U+U^{*}\right) \mathbf{E}=\mathbf{E}\left(U+U^{*}\right)$.

## Any operator $U$ as in Theorem 2 has necessarily the form

with $T$ normal and $A$ self-adjoint in $\mathcal{H}$, such that $T T^{*}+A^{2}=1$ and $A T=T A$

## Unitaries as Inverse E-Cayley Transforms

Theorem 2 Let $U$ be a unitary operator on $\mathcal{H}^{2}$ with the property $U^{*}=-\mathbf{K} U \mathbf{K}$, and such that $\mathbf{I}-U$ is injective. Let also $S$ be the inverse E -Cayley transform of $U$. The operator $S$ is normal if and only if $\left(U+U^{*}\right) \mathbf{E}=\mathbf{E}\left(U+U^{*}\right)$.

Any operator $U$ as in Theorem 2 has necessarily the form

$$
U=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
T & i A \\
i A & T^{*}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $T$ normal and $A$ self-adjoint in $\mathcal{H}$, such that $T T^{*}+A^{2}=I$ and $A T=T A$

## Step 12

Let $T, A$ be the operators associated to $U$, via Theorem 2 .
In fact, the operator $T$ is an extension of the multiplication by $s+i t$ on $D_{0}$, and the operator $A$ is an extension of the multiplication by $u$ on $D_{0}$

Since I - U is injective, the operator $I-\operatorname{Re}(T)$ must be also injective.

## Step 13: A Joint Spectral Measure

Because the operators $T, A$ are commuting normal operators, they must have a joint spectral measure in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$.
If $E$ is the joint spectral measure of the pair ( $T, A$ ), then $E$ must be concentrated on the sphere $S^{3}$. Indeed, if $\mathcal{A}$ is the unital (commutative) $C^{*}$-algebra generated by $T$ and $A$, the equality $T^{*} T+A^{2}=/$ shows that the joint spectrum of the pair $(T, A)$ may be identified with a compact subset of the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{3}$.

## Step 14

We can refine the conclusion of the previous Step.
Because $0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(T) \leq I$, which is implied by the properties of the square positive forms $\tilde{\Lambda}_{\sigma}$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}_{1-\sigma}$ given by (6), it results that the measure $E$ is concentrated in the set $\mathbb{S}_{+}^{3}$. As the operator $I-\operatorname{Re}(T)$ is injective, it follows that $E(\{(1,0,0)\})=0$. Consequently, the measure $E$ is supported by the set $\Sigma$.

## Step 15

Since $1+\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda}=(I-\operatorname{Re}(T))^{m}\left((1-\sigma)^{-m}+\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda}\right)$, it follows that $1+\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda}$ is in the domain of $(I-\operatorname{Re}(T))^{-m}$ for all integers $m \geq 1$. Therefore, setting $\mu(*)=\left\langle E(*)\left(1+\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda}\right), 1+\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda}\right\rangle$, we obtain

$$
\Lambda\left(p r_{m}\right)=\left\langle p r_{m}+\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda}, 1+\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda}\right\rangle=
$$

$\left\langle\left(p(\operatorname{Re}(T), \operatorname{Im}(T), A)(I-\operatorname{Re}(T))^{-m}\left(1+\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda}\right), 1+\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda}\right\rangle=\int_{\Sigma} p r_{m} d \mu\right.$,
for all $f=p r_{m} \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$, showing that $\mu$ is a representing measure for $\Lambda: \mathcal{F}(\Sigma) \mapsto \mathbb{C}$.

## Last Step

Finally

$$
\int_{\Sigma}(1-s)^{-2 m} d \mu=\left\|(I-\operatorname{Re}(T))^{-2 m}\left(1+\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda}\right)\right\|^{2}<\infty
$$

for all integers $m \geq 1$, which completes our assertion.

## Conclusion

Summerizing all steps of the discussion, we obtain the following statement:

## Theorem 3

Let $\Lambda: \mathcal{P}^{3} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ be a unital square positive map, and let

$$
\Sigma=\left\{(s, t, u) \in \mathbb{S}^{3} ; 0 \leq s<1\right\}
$$

There exists a uniquely determined positive measure on $\Sigma$ such that all functions $(1-s)^{-m}(m \geq 1$ an integer $)$ are integrable if and only if conditions $(P)$ and $(\mathrm{L})$ are fulfilled.
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