

## Research Article

Gautami Bhowmik\* and Jan-Christoph Schlage-Puchta

# The maximal domain of meromorphic continuation of a Dirichlet series

**Abstract:** Many Dirichlet series are either continuable to the whole complex plane or admit half-planes as their maximal domain of meromorphic continuation. Here we prove that this need not always be true.

**Keywords:** Dirichlet series, meromorphic continuation, Riemann zeta function

**MSC 2010:** 11M41, 30B40

DOI: 10.1515/anly-2014-1248

Received February 7, 2014; revised July 2, 2015; accepted October 14, 2015

## 1 Introduction

Let  $D(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n n^{-s}$  be a Dirichlet series converging uniformly in the half-plane  $\Re s > \sigma_0$ . In examples usually encountered the Dirichlet series is either meromorphically continuable to the whole complex plane or its maximal domain of meromorphic continuation is a half-plane.

A classical result in this direction is due to Estermann [5] who showed that the Dirichlet series  $D(s) = \prod_p W(p^{-s})$  with  $W(x)$  an integer-valued polynomial is either meromorphically continuable to the whole complex plane or it has the half-plane  $\Re s > 0$  as its maximal domain of meromorphic continuation. Many extensions of this result have since followed. In the recent past the maximal domain of meromorphic continuation of Dirichlet series arising from varying contexts (see [1]) has received a good deal of attention. This is particularly true of the study of analytic properties of group zeta functions where the local zeta function associated to the algebraic group  $\mathcal{G}$  is defined as

$$Z_p(\mathcal{G}, s) = \int_{\mathcal{G}_p^+} |\det(g)|_p^{-s} d\mu,$$

where  $\mathcal{G}_p^+ = G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap M_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ ,  $|\cdot|_p$  denotes the  $p$ -adic valuation, and  $\mu$  is the normalised Haar measure on  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ . For example, du Sautoy and Grunewald [3] showed that the zeta function associated to the group  $\mathcal{G} = GSp_6$ , which is given by

$$Z(s/3) = \zeta(s)\zeta(s-3)\zeta(s-5)\zeta(s-6) \prod_p (1 + p^{1-s} + p^{2-s} + p^{3-s} + p^{4-s} + p^{5-2s}),$$

has the natural boundary of meromorphic continuation  $\Re s = 4/3$ .

Another example is the setting of counting rational points on algebraic varieties. De la Breteche and Swinnerton-Dyer [2] studied the height zeta function  $\sum 1/H(x)^s$  associated to singular cubic surface  $x_1 x_2 x_3 = x_4^3$ , where the canonical height is  $H(x) = (\max |x_i|, \gcd(x_1, x_2, x_3)) = 1$  and the zeta function, defined outside the union of three lines in the hyper-surface  $x_4 = 0$ , has a natural boundary at  $\Re s = 3/4$ . This is done by considering the Euler-product corresponding to the rational function

$$W(X, Y) = 1 + (1 - X^3 Y)(X^6 Y^{-2} + X^5 Y^{-1} + X^4 + X^2 Y^2 + XY^3 + Y^4) - X^9 Y^3$$

with  $X = p^{-1/4}$  and  $Y = p^{3/4-s}$ .

\*Corresponding author: **Gautami Bhowmik:** Laboratoire Painlevé, LABEX-CEMPI, Université Lille 1, 59655 Villeneuve d'Ascq Cedex, France, e-mail: bhowmik@math.univ-lille1.fr

**Jan-Christoph Schlage-Puchta:** Mathematisches Institut, Universität Rostock, 18051 Rostock, Germany, e-mail: jan-christoph.schlage-puchta@uni-rostock.de

It is in the context of zeta functions of groups that du Sautoy and Woodward [4, p. 153] asked whether the maximal domain of meromorphic continuation of  $D$  is always a half-plane. Here we give a negative answer to this question. In fact, we show that every subset of the complex plane, which satisfies the obvious restrictions occurs as maximal domain of holomorphic continuation of a Dirichlet series.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be an open connected set and let  $\sigma_0$  be a real number such that  $\{s : \Re s > \sigma_0\} \subseteq \Omega$ . Then there exists a Dirichlet series  $D(s) = \sum a_n n^{-s}$ , which is holomorphic in  $\Omega$ , has simple poles in every isolated point of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$ , is absolutely convergent precisely in the half-plane  $\Re s > \sigma_0$ , and cannot be meromorphically continued into any larger domain.*

This result may not be completely satisfactory, since the Dirichlet series constructed lacks useful structure such as an Euler product. Restricting  $\Omega$ , we obtain examples which do have an Euler product.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be an open connected set and assume that*

$$\{s : \Re s \geq 1\} \subseteq \Omega \subseteq \left\{s : \Re s \geq \frac{5}{18}\right\}.$$

*Then there exists a Dirichlet series  $D(s) = \sum a_n n^{-s}$ , which has an Euler product, converges absolutely in  $\Re s > 1$ , has a holomorphic continuation to  $\Omega$ , has an essential singularity in every isolated point of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$ , and cannot be meromorphically continued into any domain larger than  $\Omega$ .*

Again this result leaves something to be desired, as the different factors of the product representation cannot be described in a uniform way. However, if we assume the Riemann hypothesis and further restrict the shape of  $\Omega$ , we obtain a uniform result.

**Theorem 3.** *Suppose that the Riemann hypothesis is true. Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be a simply connected open set, such that*

$$\{s : \Re s \geq 1\} \subseteq \Omega \subseteq \left\{s : \Re s \geq \frac{1}{2}\right\}.$$

*Then there exists a function  $h$ , holomorphic in  $|z| > 1$ , bounded on the positive real axis, such that the Dirichlet series given by the Euler product  $D(s) = \prod_p (1 + h(p)p^{-s})$  is holomorphic in  $\Omega$ , and cannot be meromorphically continued into any larger domain.*

## 2 Preparations

For our proof we have to define a continuous path  $\gamma$  from some point  $p \in \Omega$  to a point  $q \in \partial\Omega$ , where  $\partial\Omega$  denotes the boundary of  $\Omega$ , i.e. the set of all  $z$ , such that every neighbourhood of  $z$  contains both points in  $\Omega$  and outside  $\Omega$ . Unfortunately in general this is impossible. For example, if

$$\Omega = \{s : \Re s \geq 0\} \setminus \left\{x + iy : 0 < x \leq 1, y = \frac{1}{x} \sin \frac{1}{x}\right\},$$

then for  $s$  on the imaginary axis there exists no path  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with  $\gamma(0) = 2$ ,  $\gamma(1) = s$ , with  $\gamma(t) \in \Omega$  for  $0 < t < 1$ . In this section we show that such a path does exist for sufficiently many points  $q$ .

Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $O \subset X$  open,  $p \in O$  a point. We call a point  $q \in \partial O$  reachable, if there exists a continuous path  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  with  $\gamma(0) = p$ ,  $\gamma(1) = q$ , and  $\gamma(t) \in O$  for  $0 < t < 1$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $O \subseteq X$  an open set. Suppose that  $X$  is locally pathwise connected, and that  $O$  is pathwise connected. Then the set of reachable points is dense in  $\partial O$ .*

*Proof.* We have to show that every open set  $U$  which contains a point  $q$  of  $\partial O$  contains a reachable point  $q'$ . By assumption  $U$  contains a pathwise connected neighbourhood of  $q$ . Reducing  $U$ , we may assume that  $U$  itself is pathwise connected. Since  $q \in \partial O$ ,  $U$  is not contained in  $X \setminus O$ , thus there exists a point  $r \in U \cap O$ . Since  $O$  as well as  $U$  are pathwise connected, there exist paths  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$  with  $\gamma_1(0) = p$ ,  $\gamma_1(1) = \gamma_2(0) = r$ ,  $\gamma_2(1) = q$ , such that  $\gamma_1$  is contained in  $O$ , and  $\gamma_2$  is contained in  $U$ . Since  $\gamma_2^{-1}(X \setminus O)$  is closed, it contains a

least element  $t_0$ . Clearly  $\gamma_2(t_0) \in \partial O$ . We can combine  $\gamma_1$  with  $\gamma_2|_{[0, t_0]}$  to obtain a path  $\gamma$  from  $p$  to  $\gamma_2(t_0)$ , such that  $\gamma(t) \in O$  for  $0 < t < 1$ . Hence  $\gamma_2(t_0)$  is reachable, and by the construction it is contained in  $U$ . Hence our claim follows.  $\square$

We further need some information about prime numbers. Denote by  $p_n$  the  $n$ -th prime number. Heath-Brown [6] proved the following estimate.

**Theorem 4.** We have  $\sum_{p_n \leq x} (p_{n+1} - p_n)^2 \ll x^{23/18+\epsilon}$ .

From this we deduce the following.

**Lemma 2.** There exists a Dirichlet series  $Z(s)$  such that the coefficients of  $Z$  are supported on primes only,  $Z(s) - \zeta(s)$  is holomorphic in the half-plane  $\Re s > \frac{5}{18}$ , and  $|Z(s) - \zeta(s)| \ll (1 + \Im s)^2$  holds true uniformly in any half-plane  $\Re s > \frac{5}{18} + \epsilon$ .

*Proof.* Define the function  $\tilde{\Lambda}(n)$  as

$$\tilde{\Lambda}(n) = \begin{cases} p_k - p_{k-1}, & n = p_k, \\ 0 & n \text{ not prime,} \end{cases}$$

and define  $Z(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \tilde{\Lambda}(n)/n^s$ . Put  $S_1(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} (\tilde{\Lambda}(n) - 1)$ . Then  $S_1(p) = 0$  holds true for all prime numbers  $p$ , and  $S_1(n) \leq p_k - p_{k-1}$  for  $p_{k-1} \leq n \leq p_k$ . Now put  $S_2(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} S_1(n)$ . Then we have

$$S_2(x) \leq \sum_{p_n \leq x} (p_{n+1} - p_n)^2 \ll x^{23/18+\epsilon}.$$

By partial summation we obtain for  $\sigma > 1$

$$Z(s) - \zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{\Lambda}(n) - 1}{n^s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_2(n) \left( \frac{1}{(n+2)^s} - \frac{2}{(n+1)^s} + \frac{1}{n^s} \right).$$

The sum on the right converges absolutely in every half-plane of the form  $\sigma > \frac{5}{18} + \epsilon$ , thus the abscissa of convergence of the Dirichlet series  $\sum (\tilde{\Lambda}(n) - 1)/n^s$  is no smaller than  $\frac{5}{18}$ . Since the upper bound for  $|Z(s) - \zeta(s)|$  is true for all Dirichlet series in their half-plane of convergence, see, e.g., [7, Theorem 1.5], the proof of the statement is complete.  $\square$

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1

Note first that if  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$  is finite, then some linear combination of shifted Riemann  $\zeta$ -functions has the required properties, hence, from now on we assume that  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$  is infinite. Then by Lemma 1 we can choose a sequence  $(z_n)$  of points satisfying the following conditions.

- (i)  $\{z_n\}$  is dense in  $\partial\Omega$ .
- (ii)  $\Re z_n > -\sqrt{n}$  and  $|\Im z_n| < n$  for  $n$  sufficiently large.
- (iii)  $z_n \neq z_m$  for  $n \neq m$ .

By assumption  $\Omega$  is connected, hence  $\Omega$  is pathwise connected, and each point of  $\partial\Omega$  is in the closure of  $\Omega$ . Therefore for each  $n$  we can choose a path  $\gamma_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , such that  $\gamma_n$  is continuous,  $\gamma_n(0) = \sigma_0 + 1$ ,  $\gamma_n(1) = z_n$ , and  $\gamma_n([0, 1])$  is contained in  $\Omega$ . For  $m \neq n$  we have that  $t \mapsto |z_m - \gamma_n(t)|$  is continuous, positive, and defined on the compact set  $[0, 1]$ , hence,

$$d_n := \min \left( 1, \min_{m < n} \min_{t \in [0, 1]} \frac{|z_n - \gamma_n(t)|}{|z_m - \gamma_n(t)|} \right)$$

is a positive real number, and so is  $\delta_n = 3^{-n} \prod_{v=1}^n d_v$ . Note that  $\delta_n \leq 3^{-n}$ .

Now define the function

$$D(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \delta_m \zeta(s - z_m + 1) + L(s - \sigma_0 + 1, \chi), \quad (1)$$

where  $\zeta$  is the Riemann  $\zeta$ -function, and  $L(s, \chi)$  is a Dirichlet  $L$ -function to some non-principal character  $\chi$ . We now prove several properties of  $D$ .

We can represent  $D$  as a Dirichlet series with abscissa of absolute convergence equal to  $\sigma_0$ . We have formally

$$D(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \chi(n)n^{\sigma_0-1} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \delta_m n^{z_m-1} \right) n^{-s}.$$

We have  $|n^{z_m}| \leq n^{\sigma_0}$ , hence the sum  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \delta_m n^{z_m-1}$  converges absolutely, and we can define

$$a_n = \chi(n)n^{\sigma_0-1} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \delta_m n^{z_m-1}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$|a_n| \leq n^{\sigma_0-1} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \delta_m n^{\Re z_m-1} \leq n^{\sigma_0-1} \left( 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 3^{-m} \right) \leq 2n^{\sigma_0-1},$$

hence, the new series converges absolutely for  $\Re s > \sigma_0$ , and uniformly in every half-plane of the form  $\Re s > \sigma_0 + \epsilon$ . On the other hand we have that  $\zeta(s)$  is uniformly bounded in every half-plane of the form  $\Re s > 1 + \epsilon$ , hence, the series  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \delta_m \zeta(s - z_m + 1)$  also converges absolutely and uniformly in every half-plane of the form  $\Re s > \sigma_0 + \epsilon$ , hence, the two series represent the same function, and we obtain that  $D$  is a Dirichlet series converging in the half-plane  $\Re s > \sigma_0$ .

To see that the abscissa of convergence cannot be smaller than  $\sigma_0$  note that

$$|a_n| \geq n^{\sigma_0-1} - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |\delta_m n^{\Re z_m-1}| \geq n^{\sigma_0-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 3^{-m} \right) = \frac{1}{2} n^{\sigma_0-1},$$

thus the series representing  $D$  does not converge absolutely at  $\sigma_0$ . Note that this is the only reason to include  $L$  into the definition of  $D$ .

$D$  can be holomorphically continued to  $\Omega$ . Let  $s_0$  be a point in  $\Omega$ . Since  $\Omega$  is open, there exists some  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ , such that  $B_{2\epsilon}(s_0) \subseteq \Omega$ . We show that the series (1) represents a function holomorphic in  $B_{\epsilon}(s_0)$ . Since each summand is holomorphic in  $\Omega$ , it suffices to show that the series converges uniformly on  $B_{\epsilon}(s_0)$ . Consider first all indices  $n$  satisfying  $|z_n - s_0| < 2$ . We have  $|\zeta(s)| < 2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon}$  for  $\epsilon < |s - 1| \leq 1$ , hence, the sum over these indices is uniformly bounded. Now consider the sum over the remaining points. By convexity we have  $|\zeta(\sigma + it)| < C(2 + |t|)^{\max(0, (1-\sigma)/2) + \epsilon}$  (see, e.g., [8, (5.1.4)]), hence, if  $m$  is sufficiently large and satisfies  $|z_m - s_0| \geq 2$  we have

$$\delta_m |\zeta(s - z_m + 1)| \leq C 2^{-m} (2 + |\Im(z_m - s_0)|)^{\max(0, \frac{1-\Re(s_0-z_m)}{2}) + \epsilon} \leq 2^{-m} (2 + m)^{\sqrt{m}},$$

and the sum over  $2^{-m} (2 + m)^{\sqrt{m}}$  is clearly convergent. Hence  $D(s)$  can be holomorphically continued to  $\Omega$ .

$D$  cannot be meromorphically continued beyond  $\Omega$ . We show for every  $n$  that as  $z$  approaches  $z_n$  along  $\gamma_n$ , then  $|D(z)|$  tends to infinity. This clearly implies our claim. Choose  $\epsilon > 0$  in such a way that  $B_{2\epsilon}(z_n)$  contains no  $z_m$  with  $m < n$  and let  $\delta > 0$  be so small, that  $\gamma_n([1 - \delta, 1]) \subseteq B_{\epsilon}(z_n)$ . Then the sum over  $m < n$  in (1) is uniformly bounded in  $B_{\epsilon}(z_n)$ , in particular it is uniformly bounded on  $\gamma_n([1 - \delta, 1])$ . Repeating the argument we used to show that  $D$  is holomorphic in  $\Omega$ , we see that the sum over all  $m$  with  $|z_m - z_n| > 2\epsilon$  converges to a function holomorphic in  $B_{\epsilon}(z_n)$ . Hence we obtain for  $s \in B_{\epsilon}(s_0) \setminus \Omega$

$$D(s) = \delta_n \zeta(s - z_n + 1) + \sum_{\substack{m > n \\ |z_m - z_n| < 2\epsilon}} \delta_m \zeta(s - z_m + 1) + G(s) = \frac{\delta_n}{s - z_n} + \sum_{\substack{m > n \\ |z_m - z_n| < 2\epsilon}} \frac{\delta_m}{s - z_m} + H(s),$$

where  $G$  and  $H$  are holomorphic in  $B_{\epsilon}(z_n)$ . For  $s \in \gamma_n([1 - \delta, 1])$  we therefore obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |D(s)| &\geq \frac{\delta_n}{|s - z_n|} - \sum_{\substack{m > n \\ |z_m - z_n| < 2\epsilon}} \frac{\delta_m}{|s - z_m|} \\ &\geq \frac{\delta_n}{|s - z_n|} - \sum_{\substack{m > n \\ |z_m - z_n| < 2\epsilon}} \frac{\delta_m}{|s - z_n|} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \frac{|\gamma_n(t) - z_n|}{|\gamma_n(t) - z_m|} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \frac{\delta_n}{|s - z_n|} - \sum_{\substack{m>n \\ |z_m - z_n| < 2\epsilon}} \frac{\delta_m}{d_m |s - z_n|} \\
&= \frac{\delta_n}{|s - z_n|} \left( 1 - \sum_{\substack{m>n \\ |z_m - z_n| < 2\epsilon}} 3^{n-m} \prod_{v=n}^{m-1} d_v \right) \\
&\geq \frac{\delta_n}{|s - z_n|} \left( 1 - \sum_{m>n} 3^{n-m} \right) \\
&= \frac{\delta_n}{2|s - z_n|}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, as  $s \rightarrow z_n$  along  $\gamma_n$  we have  $|D(s)| \gg 1/|s - z_n|$ , thus  $z_n$  is a singularity of  $D$ . Since the set of singularities is dense in  $\partial\Omega$ , we find that  $D$  cannot be extended meromorphically beyond  $\Omega$ .

All isolated points of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$  are simple poles of  $D$ . If  $z_0$  is an isolated point, then every sequence of points in  $\partial\Omega$  converging to  $z_0$  must eventually be constant, hence  $\alpha\zeta(s - z_0 + 1)$  occurs as summand of  $D$  with some  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Moreover, after deleting this summand, the sum defining  $D$  converges to a function holomorphic in some neighbourhood of  $z_0$ , and our claim follows.

## 4 Dirichlet series with Euler products

Note that the only properties of  $\zeta$  we used are the fact that  $\zeta$  is holomorphic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$ , and that  $\zeta$  has at most polynomial growth in vertical strips. We can use the functions  $Z(s)$  defined in Lemma 2 or the function  $\zeta'/\zeta(s)$  in place of  $\zeta$ . These functions can only be extended into the half-planes  $\Re s > \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\Re s > \frac{5}{18}$ , respectively. However, these restrictions are irrelevant if  $\Omega$  is contained in these half-planes.

Hence by repeating the proof in the previous section with  $Z$  in place of  $\zeta$  and using the fact that  $\Omega \subseteq \{s : \Re s > \frac{5}{18}\}$ , we obtain a Dirichlet series  $D$ , which satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1, and is supported on the set of primes. Write  $D(s) = \sum a_p/p^s$ . Then for  $\Re s > 1$  we have that

$$\exp(D(s)) = \prod_p \exp\left(\frac{a_p}{p^s}\right) = \prod_p \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{a_p^k}{k! p^{sk}}$$

can be represented by a Dirichlet series with an Euler product, and the left-hand side is continuable to  $\Omega$  and not beyond. Now  $\exp(D(s))$  has an essential singularity in each isolated point of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$ , since the exponential function applied to a pole yields an essential singularity.

For Theorem 3 we repeat the construction used for Theorem 2 with  $\zeta'/\zeta(s)$ . Note that we need the Riemann hypothesis to ensure that  $\zeta'/\zeta$  is holomorphic in  $\Re s > \frac{1}{2}$ .

Let  $D(s)$  be the resulting Dirichlet series. Then  $D(s)$  is supported on the set of prime powers. We now take  $\exp(\int D(s))$ , which is well defined, since  $\Omega$  is simply connected. Define  $h(z) = \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \delta_v z^{s_v-1}$ . Then each summand is holomorphic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$ , and the series converges uniformly in  $|z| > 1$ , since  $\{s : \Re s > 1\} \subseteq \Omega$ , and therefore  $\Re s_v - 1 \leq 0$  for all  $n$ . We conclude that  $h(z)$  is holomorphic in  $|z| > 1 \setminus (-\infty, 0]$ , bounded on the real axis, and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\exp\left(\int D(s)\right) &= \prod_p \exp\left(\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{p^{-ks}}{k} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \delta_v p^{k(s_v-1)}\right) \\
&= \prod_p (1 + h(p)p^{-s} + \mathcal{O}(p^{-2s})) \\
&= \prod_p (1 + h(p)p^{-s})G(s),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $G(s)$  is holomorphic in  $\Re s > \frac{1}{2}$  and also uniformly bounded in each half-plane  $\Re s > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon$ . Hence  $\prod_p (1 + h(p)p^{-s})$  has the same domain of holomorphic continuation as  $\exp(\int D(s))$ , and our claim follows.

## 5 Explicit examples

If the boundary of  $\Omega$  is not too arbitrary, the argument can be simplified. For example, if there is some  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for every  $z_0 \in \partial\Omega$  there is an  $\delta > 0$  and some  $\alpha \in [0, 2\pi]$ , such that the set

$$\{|z - z_0| < \delta, |\arg(z - z_0) - \alpha| < \epsilon\}$$

meets  $\Omega$  only in  $z_0$ , then we can choose the path  $\gamma_n$  in such a way that the final part of this path follows the ray  $\arg(z - z_0) = \alpha$ , and we do not have to worry about the  $\delta_n$  anymore. In particular, let  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  be differentiable with non-vanishing derivative, which describes a Jordan curve on the complex sphere. Assume that one of the connected components of  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \varphi(\mathbb{R})$  contains a right half-plane, and call this domain  $\Omega$ . Then we can explicitly write down a Dirichlet series  $D$  which has  $\Omega$  as maximal domain of meromorphic continuation since we can explicitly define a countable dense subset of  $\partial\Omega$  such as  $\varphi(\mathbb{Q})$ .

As an example consider the domain  $\Omega = \{z : \Re z > -(\Im z)^{2/3}\}$ , that is, the points to the right of the (singular) curve  $x^3 = -y^2$ . We can parametrise this curve as  $\varphi(t) = (-|t|^{2/3}, t)$ , and  $\varphi(\mathbb{Q})$  is obviously dense in  $\partial\Omega$ . Now consider the series

$$D_\Omega(s) = \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} 2^{-|p|+q} \zeta\left(s + 1 + \left|\frac{p}{q}\right|^{2/3} - \frac{p}{q}i\right).$$

It is easy to see that this series has  $\Omega$  as maximal domain of meromorphic as well as holomorphic continuation. Note that the map  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  given by  $(p, q) \mapsto \frac{p}{q}$  is not injective, however, this does not seriously affect the behaviour of the series. Developing  $D_\Omega$  as a Dirichlet series, we obtain

$$D_\Omega(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} 2^{-|p|+q} n^{-|p/q|^{2/3} - ip/q}$$

and see that the coefficients are represented by well converging series.

## References

- [1] G. Bhowmik, Analytic continuation of some zeta functions, in: *Algebraic and Analytic Aspects of Zeta Functions and L-Functions*, MSJ Mem. 21, Mathematical Society of Japan (2010), 1–16.
- [2] R. de la Bretèche and Sir P. Swinnerton-Dyer, Fonction zêta des hauteurs associée a une certaine surface cubique, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **135** (2007), no. 1, 65–92.
- [3] M. du Sautoy and F. Grunewald, Zeta functions of groups: Zeros and friendly ghosts, *Amer. J. Math.* **124** (2002), 1–48.
- [4] M. du Sautoy and L. Woodward, *Zeta Functions of Groups and Rings*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1925, Springer, Berlin, 2008.
- [5] T. Estermann, On certain functions represented by Dirichlet series, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **27** (1928), 435–448.
- [6] D. R. Heath-Brown, The difference between consecutive primes III, *J. London Math. Soc.* **20** (1979), no. 2, 177–178.
- [7] H. Montgomery and R. Vaughan, *Multiplicative Number Theory I. Classical Theory*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. 97, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [8] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta Function*, 2nd ed., Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1986.