

# THE GEOMETRY OF THE SPACE OF HOLOMORPHIC OF MAPS FROM A RIEMANN SURFACE TO A COMPLEX PROJECTIVE SPACE

SADOK KALLEL AND R.JAMES MILGRAM

Article paru dans J. Diff. Geometry **47** (1997) 321–375.

ABSTRACT. In this note we study the topology of the spaces  $\text{Hol}_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n)$  of basepoint preserving holomorphic maps of a given degree  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  from a Riemann surface of genus  $g > 0$  into the  $n$ -th complex projective space,  $n \geq 1$ . Using symmetric products of the surface as well as the geometry of the associated Abel-Jacobi maps, we construct explicit topological compactifications of the  $\text{Hol}_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n)$  which allow us in turn to construct spectral sequences with known  $E_1$ -terms that can be used to determine the homology groups  $H_*(\text{Hol}_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n); \mathbb{K})$ . Complete rational calculations are given for all hyperelliptic curves. Complete calculations are also given for the elliptic case, i.e.  $g = 1$ ,  $n \geq 1$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years there have been a number of papers on the homology and geometry of spaces of holomorphic maps of the Riemann sphere into complex varieties, [S], [C<sup>2</sup>M<sup>2</sup>], [MM1], [MM2], [BHMM], [Gu]. However, the very classic question of the structure of the spaces of holomorphic maps from complex curves  $C_g$  of genus  $g \geq 1$ , to complex varieties has proved to be very difficult. There is Segal's stability theorem, [S], which shows that the natural inclusion of the space of based holomorphic maps of degree  $k$  into the space of all based maps,  $\text{Hol}_k^*(C_g, V) \hookrightarrow \text{Map}_k^*(C_g, V)$  is a homotopy equivalence through a range of dimensions which increases with  $k$  when  $V = \mathbb{P}^n$ , the complex projective space. Also, there is the extension of this result by J. Hurtubise to further  $V$ ; [H]. But that is about all.

In this paper we begin the detailed study of the topology of the  $\text{Hol}_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n)$ . We are able to completely determine these spaces and their homology when  $C_g$  is an elliptic curve and we give an essentially complete determination in the case where  $C_g$  is hyperelliptic. In particular we determine the rational homology of these spaces when  $k \geq 2g - 1$  in the elliptic and hyperelliptic cases.

Let  $C_g$  be a genus  $g$  complex curve. The key analytic result on the structure of  $\text{Hol}_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^1)$  is Abel's theorem which identifies the disjoint pairs of  $k$ -tuples of unordered points  $\langle r_1, \dots, r_k \rangle$  and  $\langle p_1, \dots, p_k \rangle$  on  $C_g$  that are the roots and poles of a meromorphic function on  $C_g$  in terms of the Abel-Jacobi embedding of  $C_g$  into its Jacobi variety,  $\mu: C_g \rightarrow J(C_g)$ . This extends to give necessary and sufficient conditions for an  $(n + 1)$  tuple  $\{V_i \mid V_i = \langle x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k} \rangle, i = 0, \dots, n\}$  of points in  $C_g$  with  $\cap_0^n \{V_i\} = \emptyset$  to be the root data for a holomorphic map of  $C_g$  into  $\mathbb{P}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and thus defines an embedding  $\text{Hol}_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n) \subset (SP^k(C_g))^{n+1}$ , where  $SP^k(X)$  is the  $k$ -fold symmetric product of  $X$ .

We begin by studying a compactification of the space  $\text{Hol}_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n)$  which we denote  $E_k^n(C_g)$  obtained by taking the closure of the embedding

$$\text{Hol}_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n) \subset (SP^k(C_g))^{n+1}$$

above. We show in (2.2) that  $E_k^n(C_g)$  is given as the total space of a fibering,

$$(\mathbb{P}^{k-g})^{n+1} \longrightarrow E_k^n(C_g) \longrightarrow J(C_g)$$

for  $k \geq 2g - 1$ , with  $H^*(E_k^n(C_g); \mathbb{A}) = H^*(\mathbb{P}^{k-g}, \mathbb{A})^{n+1} \otimes H^*(J(C_g); \mathbb{A})$  for  $\mathbb{A}$  any commutative ring of coefficients. Moreover, for  $k \leq 2g - 2$ ,  $E_k^n(C_g)$  is stratified by strata which are fiberings

$$(\mathbb{P}^s)^{n+1} \longrightarrow S_k^s \longrightarrow A_k^s$$

where the  $A_k^s$  are subspaces of  $J(C_g)$  determined by the curve. Specifically,  $W_k^j \subset J(C_g)$  is the subspace of points in the image of the  $k^{\text{th}}$  Abel-Jacobi map

$$\mu_k: SP^k(C_g) \rightarrow J(C_g)$$

with  $(\mu_k)^{-1}(x) = \mathbb{P}^s$  where  $s \geq \max(k - g, 0) + j$ , and  $A_k^j = W_k^j - W_k^{j+1}$ . Both spaces  $W_k^j$  and  $A_k^j$  are extensively studied in [ACGH], [G] (cf. section 6).

This compactification has the property that  $Hol_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n)$  is open in  $E_k^n(C_g)$  and we write  $V_k^n(C_g)$  for the (closed) complement  $E_k^n(C_g) - Hol_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n)$ . The space  $E_k^n(C_g)$  being a closed, compact manifold, Alexander-Poincaré duality now gives

$$\tilde{H}^{2k(n+1)-2ng-*}(Hol_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n); \mathbb{F}) \cong H_*(E_k^n(C_g), V_k^n(C_g); \mathbb{F})$$

for  $k \geq 2g - 1$ . This then indicates that one can understand  $Hol_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n)$  by first studying  $V_k^n(C_g)$ .

The space  $V_k^n(C_g) \subset E_k^n(C_g)$  is the union of two pieces. The first, for  $k > 2g - 1$ , is a subfibration  $Z_k^n(C_g)$  of the form

$$\left\{ \bigcup_{i=0}^{n+1} (\mathbb{P}^{k-g})^i \times \mathbb{P}^{k-g-1} \times (\mathbb{P}^{k-g})^{n-i} \right\} \rightarrow Z_k^n(C_g) \rightarrow J(C_g)$$

with analogous definitions of  $Z_k^n(C_g)$  for  $k \leq 2g - 1$  (see Definition 2.6). Moreover, it is easy to determine the relative cohomology groups,  $H^*(E_k^n(C_g), Z_k^n(C_g); \mathbb{F})$  for  $k > 2g - 1$ , and not too difficult for  $k \leq 2g - 2$ , provided we know enough about the  $W_k^j$ .

In the case of hyperelliptic and elliptic curves the Riemann-Roch theorem determines the  $W_k^j$  explicitly. Moreover, a complete description of the  $W_k^j$  for all curves of genus  $\leq 6$  is given in [ACGH, p. 206 - 211].

In section 6 we study the way in which the  $W_k^i$  determine  $H^*(E_k^n(C_g), Z_k^n(C_g); \mathbb{A})$  in detail and obtain a spectral sequence converging to these groups with explicit  $E^1$ -term.

**Proposition 1.** *Suppose that  $k \leq g$ , then there is a spectral sequence converging to  $H^*(E_k^n(C_g), Z_k^n(C_g); \mathbb{A})$  with  $E^1$ -term*

$$E^1 = H_*(SP^k(C_g), SP^{k-1}(C_g); \mathbb{A}) \oplus \prod_i H_*(W_k^i, W_{k-1}^i; \mathbb{A}) \otimes \tilde{H}^*(S^{2i(n+1)}; \mathbb{A})$$

and in case  $k \geq g$  then

$$E^1 = \Sigma^{2(k-g)(n+1)} H_*(J(C_g), W_{k-1}^{k-g}; \mathbb{A}) \oplus \prod_{i>k-g} H_*(W_k^i, W_{k-1}^i; \mathbb{A}) \otimes \tilde{H}^*(S^{2i(n+1)}; \mathbb{A})$$

The second part of  $V_k^n(C_g)$  consists of the image of an action map

$$\nu: C_g \times E_{k-1}^n(C_g) \rightarrow E_k^n(C_g)$$

introduced in (2.4) that puts in redundant roots, and is the main source of difficulty in recovering the homology of  $Hol_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n)$  from the homology groups  $H_*(E_k^n(C_g); \mathbb{A})$  or  $H_*(E_k^n(C_g), Z_k^n(C_g); \mathbb{A})$ . In order to handle this part we construct a spectral sequence which converges to the homology of the pair  $(E_k^n(C_g), V_k^n(C_g))$  starting with the relative groups

$$H_*(E_k^n(C_g), Z_k^n(C_g); \mathbb{F})$$

**Theorem 2.** *There is a spectral sequence converging to  $H_*(E_k^n(C_g), V_k^n(C_g); \mathbb{F})$  for any field  $\mathbb{F}$  with  $E^1$ -term*

$$E^1 = \prod_{\substack{i+j=k \\ i \geq 1}} H_*(E_i^n(C_g), Z_i^n(C_g); \mathbb{F}) \otimes H_*(SP^j(\Sigma C_g), SP^{j-1}(\Sigma C_g); \mathbb{F}) \\ \oplus H_*(SP^k(\Sigma C_g), SP^{k-1}(\Sigma C_g); \mathbb{F})$$

The rest of section 5 discusses the structure of  $d_1$  and various properties such as the multiplicative pairing of the spectral sequences discussed immediately after the proof of (4.3). Of course the structure of the groups  $H_*(SP^j(\Sigma C_g), SP^{j-1}(\Sigma C_g); \mathbb{F})$  is well known from e.g. [DT], [D], and [M1]. It is also reviewed in section 7.

Next we use these results to clarify the structure of the natural inclusions

$$Hol_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n) \hookrightarrow Map_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n)$$

The spectral sequence of (4.3) has a natural break at  $i = 2g - 1$  in the sense that  $i \geq 2g - 1$  implies that the relative homology groups

$$H_*(E_i^n(C_g), Z_i^n(C_g); \mathbb{F}) = \begin{cases} 0, * < 2(i - g)(n + 1), \\ H_{*-2(i-g)(n+1)}(J(C_g); \mathbb{F}) \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and, when  $i < 2g - 1$ , the groups depend on the structure of  $\mu_i$ , the  $W_i^r$ , and have to be determined case by case. We call the case  $i \geq 2g - 1$  the stable range for the spectral sequence and completely determine the differentials in this range. For  $* > (2n - 1)(k - 2g + 1)$  only the stable homology contributes to  $H_*(E_k^n(C_g), V_k^n(C_g); \mathbb{F})$ . Thus, by Poincaré duality, the unstable range only contributes homology above this range. On the other hand it is easily seen that the duals of the stable range classes inject into  $H_*(Map_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n); \mathbb{F})$  and many of these classes live considerably above the stable range above. Thus, as was the case with  $Hol_k^*(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{P}^n)$  ( $[C^2M^2]$ ) one has considerably more information about the map than was given by Segal's stability theorem.

In the final sections we study the cases of elliptic and hyperelliptic curves. Here, as indicated, the Riemann-Roch theorem gives complete control of the  $W_k^r$  and consequently the  $E^1$ -term of the spectral sequence is within range of calculation. In particular, for elliptic curves the situation is completely understood. Typical of the results in the elliptic case is:

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $I = (b_1, b_2)$  be the augmentation ideal in the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{Q}[b_1, b_2]$  where  $\dim(b_i) = 2$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then*

$$H_*(Hol_k^*(M_1, \mathbb{P}^1); \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \{\mathbb{Q}[b_1, b_2]/I^{k+1}\} (1, e_1, e_2, h_1, h_2, v) \oplus \mathbb{Q}(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{2k-1})$$

where  $\dim(e_i) = 1$ ,  $\dim(h_i) = 2$ ,  $\dim(w_i) = 2k - 3$  and  $\dim(v) = 3$ .

Here  $M_1$  is an arbitrary elliptic curve. Additionally, it turns out that the map

$$H^*(Map_k^*(M_1, \mathbb{P}^1); \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow H^*(Hol_k^*(M_1, \mathbb{P}^1); \mathbb{Q})$$

is surjective for all  $k \geq 1$  in this case.

For hyperelliptic curves there are some technical questions which seem difficult to handle for finite field coefficients, but with some effort a complete determination of the  $E^1$ -term in (4.3) with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -coefficients is given in section 11-16, together with sufficient differentials to completely determine  $E^\infty$  and conclude that  $H_*(Hol_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n); \mathbb{Q})$  injects into  $H_*(Map_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n); \mathbb{Q})$  for  $k \geq 2g - 1$  in the hyperelliptic case as well.

As the arguments are pretty involved we summarize the salient points here. To begin, the Riemann-Roch theorem gives a complete determination of the  $W_i^r$  as quotients of  $SP^i(C_g)$  via an action discussed in the proof of (10.2)

$$SP^r(\mathbb{P}^1) \times SP^l(C_g) \longrightarrow SP^{l+2r}(C_g)$$

induced from the Abel-Jacobi map  $\mu_2: SP^2(C_g) \rightarrow J(C_g)$  which fails to be an embedding at only one point where it has inverse image a copy of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , the  $\mathbb{P}^1$  in the action map above.

These observations give the following result for hyperelliptic curves (lemma 26)

**Lemma 4.** *Suppose that  $C_g$  is hyperelliptic and  $\tau \in J(C_g)$  is the hyperelliptic point. Let  $k \geq 1$  and  $t \leq [\frac{k}{2}]$ . Then*

(a) *for  $k \leq g$ , the space  $W_k^t$  is  $\mu_{k-2t}(SP^{k-2t}(C_g)) + t\tau$ ,*

(b) *for  $2g - 1 > k > g$ ,  $t > k - g$ , we also have  $W_k^t = \mu_{k-2t}(SP^{k-2t}(C_g)) + t\tau$ .*

Of course, this gives the  $W_k^t$  as quotients, so, in order to obtain information about the  $W_k^t$  we introduce some spectral sequences which take care of the details of the quotienting process in section 12 through section 14. Using them we are able to determine the rational homology of the  $W_k^t$  as follows.

**Lemma 5.** (a) *The inclusion  $W_j \subset J(C_g)$  induces an injection in rational homology  $H_*(W_j; \mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow H_*(J(C_g); \mathbb{Q}) = \Gamma(e_1, \dots, e_{2g})$  with image the subvector space spanned by the subspaces*

$$\{\Gamma_s(e_1, \dots, e_{2g})[C_g]^t \mid s + t \leq j\}$$

where  $[C_g] = \sum_1^g e_{2i-1}e_{2i}$  is the image of the fundamental class of  $C_g$  under the Abel-Jacobi map  $\mu_*$ .  
 (b)  $H_*(W_{j-1}; \mathbb{Q})$  injects into  $H_*(W_j; \mathbb{Q})$  under the inclusion so the relative groups are given as

$$H_*(W_j, W_{j-1}; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_*(W_j; \mathbb{Q})/H_*(W_{j-1}; \mathbb{Q})$$

Next we turn to the homology of the spaces  $Hol_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n)$  in the hyperelliptic cases. This involves using the spectral sequences and calculations above. Of course, the  $E^1$ -term in the spectral sequence (4.3) is very complex, but one is able to recognize in it the direct sum of a family of chain complexes, each of which calculates a part of a certain *Tor* or *Ext* group of the exterior algebra  $\Gamma(e_1, \dots, e_{2g})$  modulo the two sided ideal generated by  $[C_g]$ . This explains section 15 which is devoted to the calculation of the relevant *Tor*-groups.

Finally, in section 16 we are able to put these results together to obtain our main calculational result,

**Theorem 6.** *The natural map  $H_*(Hol_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n); \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_*(Map_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n); \mathbb{Q})$  is injective for  $k \geq 2g$  and  $n > 2$  if  $C_g$  is hyperelliptic.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: We would like to thank the CRM at the University of Montréal for their support and hospitality during the period when much of this work was done. The special case of (based) meromorphic functions on the torus; i.e.  $g = 1, n = 1$  was worked out as part of the first author's Ph.D thesis written under the direction of the second author. We also thank Professor J. Hurtubise for numerous comments and his generous support.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES ON THE ABEL-JACOBI MAP

We review some classical definitions and theorems about the algebraic geometry of curves. We begin by defining the Abel-Jacobi map together with the Jacobi variety  $J(C_g)$  associated to any positive genus Riemann surface. Good references are [ACGH] and [G].

Any Riemann surface  $C_g$  of genus  $g \geq 1$  has  $g$  independent holomorphic sections of the cotangent bundle  $\tau^*(C_g)$ , (holomorphic 1-forms),  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_g$ , the *abelian differentials* on  $C_g$ . Fix a basepoint  $p_0 \in C_g$ . Then we can associate to each point  $p \in C_g$  and each path  $\gamma$  between  $p_0$  and  $p$  the vector of integrals

$$\mu_\gamma(p) = \left( \int_{p_0}^p w_1, \dots, \int_{p_0}^p w_g \right) \in \mathbf{C}^g$$

Since any two paths  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  between  $p_0$  and  $p$  together determine a closed loop based at  $p_0$ , (which we will denote as  $L$ ), we have that  $\mu_\gamma(p)$  is well defined up to vectors of the form  $(\int_L w_1, \dots, \int_L w_g)$ . If we choose a set of loops  $L_1, \dots, L_{2g}$  which, in homology, form a basis for  $H_1(C_g; \mathbb{Z})$  they give rise to the following  $g \times 2g$  *period matrix*

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} \int_{L_1} w_1 & \cdots & \int_{L_{2g}} w_1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \int_{L_1} w_g & \cdots & \int_{L_{2g}} w_g \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus, since the  $w_i$  are *closed*, the values  $\mu_\gamma(p)$  depend only on  $p$  and not  $\gamma$  in the quotient torus

$$J(C_g) = \mathbf{C}^g / \Omega \cong (S^1)^{2g}$$

Consequently, they give rise to a well defined map  $\mu: C_g \rightarrow J(C_g)$  which is called the *Abel-Jacobi map* for  $C_g$ .

At this point we need to introduce symmetric products.

**Definition:** The *n-fold symmetric product of the space  $X$* ,  $SP^n(X)$ , is defined to be the set of unordered  $n$ -tuples of points of  $X$ ; i.e.  $SP^n(X) = X^n/S_n$  where  $S_n$  is the symmetric group on  $n$  letters. A point in  $SP^n(X)$  will be written in the form  $\sum m_i x_i$  with  $m_i > 0$  and  $\sum m_i = n$ , or in the form  $\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$ .

**Remarks:** Let  $X$  be any *CW* complex with base point  $*$ , then there are inclusions  $SP^n(X) \hookrightarrow SP^{n+1}(X)$  which identify  $\sum_i n_i P_i$  with  $\sum_i n_i P_i + *$ , and we get the increasing sequence of spaces

$$* = SP^0(X) \subset SP^1(X) \subset \cdots \subset SP^{n-1}(X) \subset SP^n(X) \subset \cdots$$

The union of this sequence is the infinite symmetric product  $SP^\infty(X)$ , based at  $*$ . Moreover, if  $X$  is path connected, then the homotopy type of  $SP^\infty(X)$  is independent of the choice of  $*$ .

We can extend the Abel-Jacobi map to the symmetric products of  $C_g$  by the rule  $\langle m_1, \dots, m_k \rangle \mapsto \mu(m_1) + \dots + \mu(m_k)$ , obtaining the family of maps

$$\mu_k : SP^k(C_g) \longrightarrow J(C_g)$$

The map  $\mu$  is called the Abel-Jacobi map and it, together with the extensions  $\mu_k$  are a critical piece of the structure data for  $C_g$ . It is a remarkable result due to Andreotti that for any  $C_g$  the symmetric products  $SP^k(C_g)$  are all complex manifolds, indeed, complex algebraic varieties of complex dimension  $k$ . To see this note that the symmetric product  $SP^k(\mathbf{C})$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbf{C}^k$  via the map that takes the unordered collection  $\langle z_1, \dots, z_k \rangle$  of points in  $\mathbf{C}$  to the coefficients of the monic polynomial of degree  $k$  with the  $z_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$  as roots. Since locally  $SP^k(C_g)$  is modeled on  $SP^k(\mathbf{C})$ , the result follows.

**Remark:** In the special case that  $C_g = \mathbb{P}^1$ , a slight extension of the above argument identifies  $SP^k(\mathbb{P}^1)$  with  $\mathbb{P}^k$  which is now regarded as the space of all homogeneous polynomials of degree  $k$  in 2 variables.

**Remark:** In (1.14) we point out an extension of this result due to Mattuck which, for  $k \geq 2g - 1$  identifies  $SP^k(C_g)$  with the total space of a fibration over  $(S^1)^{2g}$  with fiber  $\mathbb{P}^{k-g}$ .

**Remark:** Another way of thinking about  $J(C_g)$  is as the Picard group of  $C_g$ , the space of isomorphism classes of holomorphic line bundles on  $C_g$ . From this point of view the addition in  $J(C_g)$  corresponds to the tensor product of line bundles. Under this correspondence, the map  $\mu$  takes  $m \in C_g$  to the line bundle obtained from the trivial bundle over  $C_g$  by gluing in a copy of the negative Hopf bundle over  $m$  (see [G]).

We can associate to every holomorphic function  $f \in Hol(C_g, \mathbb{P}^1)$  a divisor  $(f)$  defined by  $(f) = \sum n_i Z_i - \sum m_j P_j$  where  $\{Z_i\}$ ,  $\{P_i\}$  are the zeros and poles respectively of  $f$  with multiplicities  $n_i$  and  $m_i$  respectively. By standard residue calculations, it is easy to see that  $\sum n_i = \sum m_j$ . The space of pairs of disjoint divisors on a Riemann surface  $(\zeta, \eta)$ , subject to the condition  $\deg(\zeta) = \deg(\eta)$  constitutes then the first step in the description of the space of holomorphic maps from the surface to the Riemann sphere  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .

For maps of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  to itself this description is enough for there do exist meromorphic maps having prescribed roots and poles (of equidegree); i.e. any divisor  $D = \zeta - \eta$ ,  $\deg D = 0$  is the divisor of a meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . For the general case  $g \geq 1$  it turns out that a pair  $(\zeta, \eta)$  as above need not necessarily give rise to a meromorphic function and one needs a further condition.

**Theorem 7.** (Abel) *Given positive divisors  $D$  and  $D'$  on  $C_g$ ,  $\deg D = \deg D'$ , then there exists an  $f \in Hol(C_g, \mathbb{P}^1)$  so that  $(f) = D - D'$  if and only if  $\mu(D) = \mu(D')$ .*

The  $f$  associated to the difference  $D - D'$  is unique provided we specify in advance the image of  $p_0$  (based maps) and neither  $D$  nor  $D'$  contains the basepoint,  $p_0$ . Additionally, given  $D - D'$ , there are unique *disjoint* positive divisors  $D_1, D'_1$  so that  $D - D' = D_1 - D'_1$  and any  $f$  with  $(f) = D - D'$  will have roots precisely the terms in  $D_1$  and poles in  $D'_1$ . We make the following definition.

**Definition 1.6:** The *divisor space*  $Div_k(X)$  for a given space  $X$  is the set of pairs of *disjoint* positive divisors on  $X$ , i.e.

$$(1) \quad Div_k(X) = \{(D, D') \in SP^k(X) \times SP^k(X) \mid D \cap D' = \emptyset\}$$

and more generally

$$Div_k^n(X) = \{(D_1, \dots, D_{n+1} \mid D_i \in SP^k(X), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n+1, \\ D_1 \cap D_2 \cap \dots \cap D_{n+1} = \emptyset\}.$$

**Corollary 8.** *The space of based holomorphic maps of degree  $k$ ,  $Hol_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^1)$ , is the inverse image of 0 under the subtraction map*

$$s : Div_k(C_g - p_0) \longrightarrow J(C_g)$$

where the subtraction map  $s$  is given by  $s((D, D')) = \mu(D) - \mu(D')$ .

More generally, and perhaps more usefully, we have

**Corollary 9.** *The space of based holomorphic maps of degree  $k$ ,  $\text{Hol}_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n)$  is the subspace of  $\text{Div}_k^n(C_g - p_0)$  consisting of  $(n + 1)$ -tuples of degree  $k$  positive divisors, subject to the following constraint:*

$$\mu_k(D_1) = \mu_k(D_2) = \cdots = \mu_k(D_{n+1})$$

It was using this formulation of the space  $\text{Hol}_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n)$  that Segal [S] proceeded to prove his stability result. We will shortly give and use a yet more explicit version of this corollary. But before we do so, here are some of the standard results on the Abel-Jacobi map that we will be using

(1.11) The original map  $\mu: C_g \rightarrow J(C_g)$  is an embedding and the (complex) dimension of the image of  $\mu_d: SP^d(C_g) \rightarrow J(C_g)$  is  $d$  for  $d \leq g$ . In particular  $\mu$  is onto for  $d \geq g$  (*Jacobi inversion theorem*).

(1.12) The preimage of any point  $\mu(p) \in J(C_g)$ ,  $\mu_d^{-1}(\mu(p)) \in SP^d(C_g)$  is always a complex projective plane  $\mathbb{P}^m$  for some  $m \geq 0$ .

(1.13) For  $d \leq 2g - 2$  the dimension  $m$  is less than or equal to  $\frac{d}{2}$  (*Clifford*).

(1.14) For  $d \geq 2g - 1$  the map  $\mu_d$  makes  $SP^d(C_g)$  into an analytic fiber bundle over  $J(C_g)$  with fiber  $\mathbb{P}^{d-g}$  (*Mattuck [Mt]*).

In section 10 we will give more details on the structure of these maps for  $g \leq 5$ , but now we turn to the construction of an explicit model for the space  $\text{Hol}_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n)$  from our considerations thus far.

### 3. A COMPACTIFIED VERSION OF $\text{Hol}_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n)$

It should be apparent from section 2 that we are interested in the inverse image of 0 under  $s: \text{Div}_k(C_g - x_0) \rightarrow J(C_g)$  for holomorphic maps to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , and generally in the inverse image of the iterated diagonal

$$\Delta^{n+1}(J(C_g) \subset \underbrace{J(C_g) \times \cdots \times J(C_g)}_{n+1\text{-times}})$$

under  $\mu_k \times \cdots \times \mu_k$  for maps into  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .

Let the space  $E_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n}$  be defined as the fiber product of  $\mu \times \cdots \times \mu$  and  $\Delta$  in the diagram below

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n} & \longrightarrow & SP^{i_0}(C_g) \times \cdots \times SP^{i_n}(C_g) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu \times \cdots \times \mu \\ J & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & J(C_g) \times \cdots \times J(C_g) \end{array}$$

More explicitly

$$E_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n} = \{(D_0, \dots, D_n) \in SP^{i_0}(C_g) \times \cdots \times SP^{i_n}(C_g) \mid \mu(D_i) = \mu(D_j)\}$$

Clearly  $\text{Hol}_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n) \subset E_{k, k, \dots, k}$  as the subset consisting of all the  $\{(D_0, \dots, D_n)\}$  where no  $D_i$  contains  $*$  and  $\bigcap_0^n D_i = \emptyset$ . Of course, for  $k$  sufficiently small  $\text{Hol}_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n)$  is empty and this is consistent with the fact that Riemann surfaces of positive genus do not admit holomorphic functions with a single pole. However, once  $\text{Hol}_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n)$  is non-empty, and  $k$  is sufficiently large,  $\text{Hol}_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n)$  will be open in  $E_{k, \dots, k}$  with  $E_{k, \dots, k}$  as its closure. Then  $E_{k, k, \dots, k}$  is the compactification of  $\text{Hol}_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n)$  mentioned in the title of this section.

**Lemma 10.** *For  $i_j \geq 2g - 1$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq n$ , we have a fibering*

$$\prod_{j=0}^n \mathbb{P}^{i_j - g} \longrightarrow E_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n} \longrightarrow J(C_g)$$

and the fiber is totally non-homologous to zero so

$$H_*(E_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n}) \cong H_*(\mathbb{P}^{i_0 - g}) \otimes \cdots \otimes H_*(\mathbb{P}^{i_n - g}) \otimes H_*(J(C_g))$$

PROOF: In this range of dimensions  $i_j \geq 2g - 1$ , one can see by virtue of Mattuck's theorem that the space  $E_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n}$  becomes the total space of a fibration

$$\mathbb{P}^{i_0 - g} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{i_n - g} \longrightarrow E_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n} \longrightarrow J(C_g)$$

obtained from the pull-back of a product of Mattuck's fibrations

$$\mathbb{P}^{i_0-g} \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^{i_n-g} \longrightarrow \mathrm{SP}^{i_0}(C_g) \times \dots \times \mathrm{SP}^{i_n}(C_g) \longrightarrow J(C_g)^{n+1}$$

by the diagonal inclusion  $\Delta$ . Since  $\Delta$  is injective and since the Serre spectral sequence for Mattuck's fibration collapses at  $E_2$ , the lemma follows from standard spectral sequence comparison arguments.  $\blacksquare$

**Further Properties of the  $E_{i_0, \dots, i_n}$ .** First of all, we notice that for any  $j \geq 0$ , we have natural inclusions

$$E_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n} \hookrightarrow E_{i_0, \dots, i_j+1, \dots, i_n}$$

given by adding the basepoint in the  $(j+1)^{\mathrm{st}}$  position.

Secondly we observe that the map  $\mu \times \dots \times \mu: \mathrm{SP}^{i_0}(C_g) \times \dots \times \mathrm{SP}^{i_n}(C_g) \rightarrow J(C_g)^{n+1}$  is multiplicative by construction and so it induces a multiplicative pairing on the  $E_{i_0, \dots, i_n}$  which is commutative and associative:

$$(2) \quad \nu^{I, J}: E_{i_0, \dots, i_n} \times E_{j_0, \dots, j_n} \longrightarrow E_{i_0+j_0, \dots, i_n+j_n}.$$

Also,  $E_{1,1, \dots, 1} = C_g$  and the pairing above thus yields an action

$$(3) \quad \nu: \left( \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{SP}^k(C_g) \right) \times E_{i_0, \dots, i_n} \longrightarrow \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{i_0+k, \dots, i_n+k}$$

explicitly defined via the diagonal multiplication

$$\mathrm{SP}^k(C_g) \times (\mathrm{SP}^{i_0}(C_g) \times \dots \times \mathrm{SP}^{i_n}(C_g)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{SP}^{i_0+k}(C_g) \times \dots \times \mathrm{SP}^{i_n+k}(C_g),$$

which acts on points as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \sum m_s, (\langle m_{11}, \dots, m_{1, i_0} \rangle, \dots, \langle m_{n1}, \dots, m_{n, i_n} \rangle) \right) \\ & \mapsto \left( \langle \sum m_s, m_{11}, \dots, m_{1, i_0} \rangle, \dots, \langle \sum m_s, m_{n1}, \dots, m_{n, i_n} \rangle \right). \end{aligned}$$

We can then give an explicit reformulation of the description of  $\mathrm{Hol}_i^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n)$  in these terms.

**Lemma 11.** *Let  $\tilde{E}_{i_0, \dots, i_n} \subset E_{i_0, \dots, i_n}$  be the subspace in which no  $m_{rs}$  is equal to the base point  $*$ . Then,  $\mathrm{Hol}_i^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n) \cong \tilde{E}_{i, i, \dots, i} - \mathrm{Image}(\nu) \cap \tilde{E}_{i, i, \dots, i}$ .*

The following constructions are now needed for the remainder of our discussion.

**Definition 12.** For each  $n$ , the space  $LE_i$  is the quotient

$$LE_i = E_{i, i, \dots, i} / \left\{ \bigcup E_{i, i, \dots, i-1, i, \dots, i} \right\}$$

The space  $QE_i$  is the quotient  $LE_i / \{\mathrm{Image}(\nu)\}$

**Remark 2.7:** When  $i \geq 2g-1$ , the space  $E_{i, \dots, i}$  is a manifold of dimension  $2(n+1)i - 2ng = 2(i-g)(n+1) + 2g$ . However when  $i < 2g-1$  there is no guarantee that  $E_{i, \dots, i}$  is actually a manifold.

Using Alexander-Poincaré duality, we can now deduce that

**Lemma 13.** *Assume  $i \geq 2g-1$ . Then for untwisted coefficients  $\mathbb{A}$  we have*

$$H_j(\mathrm{Hol}_i^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n); \mathbb{A}) \cong H^{2(i-g)(n+1)+2g-j}(QE_i; \mathbb{A})$$

Note at this point that the multiplication  $\mu$  of (2.3) induces an associative, commutative multiplication on the  $QE_i$  as well:

$$\mu: QE_i \times QE_j \longrightarrow QE_{i+j}$$

From lemma (2.8), it is clear that it is the space  $QE_i$  that we wish to study in the remainder of this paper. Unfortunately, it is generally very hard to obtain the cohomology of such a space without a careful analysis of the piece we collapse out. So, in order to do this we follow the procedure of [C<sup>2</sup>M<sup>2</sup>] and replace the cone on the union above by a more complex but much more structured space.

4. A MODEL FOR  $QE_i$ 

Consider the “twisted” product space

$$(4) \quad DE(C_g) = \left( \bigcup E_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n} \right) \times_t SP^\infty(cC_g),$$

where  $cT$  denotes the reduced cone on  $T$  and where the twisting  $t$  is given by the action above. Precisely, points of  $DE$  are of the form

$$\{(D_0, \dots, D_n), (t_1, z_1) \dots (t_l, z_l)\}, \quad D_i \in SP^{k_i}(C_g) \text{ and } \mu(D_i) = \mu(D_j)\}$$

with the identification that when  $t_i = 0$  the point above is identified with

$$\{(D_0 + z_i, \dots, D_n + z_i), (t_1, z_1) \dots \widehat{(t_i, z_i)} \dots (t_l, z_l)\}$$

where the entry  $(t_i, z_i)$  is deleted from the last set of coordinates. Clearly  $\mu(D_r + z_i) = \mu(D_s + z_i)$  and the construction makes sense.

The space  $DE$  is filtered by the subspaces

$$DE_{k_0, k_1, \dots, k_n}(C_g) = \bigcup_{\substack{i_0 + l \leq k_0 \\ \vdots \\ i_n + l \leq k_n}} E_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n} \times_t SP^l(cC_g)$$

Observe that there are projection maps

$$p_{k_0, k_1, \dots, k_n} : DE_{k_0, k_1, \dots, k_n} \longrightarrow E_{k_0, k_1, \dots, k_n} / \{\text{Image}(\nu)\}$$

where

$$(v_1, \dots, v_s, (t_1, w_1), \dots, (t_r, w_r)) \mapsto \{(v_1, \dots, v_s)\}$$

and the inverse images of points consist of contractible sets. This implies that the maps  $p_{k_0, k_1, \dots, k_n}$  are acyclic and induce isomorphisms

$$H_*(DE_{k_0, k_1, \dots, k_n}; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow H_*(E_{k_0, k_1, \dots, k_n} / \{\text{Image}(\nu)\}; \mathbb{F})$$

We combine this with the isomorphism in lemma 2.8 to get

**Corollary 14.** *Let  $k \geq 2g - 1$ , then*

$$\tilde{H}^{2k(n+1) - 2ng - *}(Ho_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n); \mathbb{F}) \cong H_*(DE_{\underbrace{k, \dots, k}_{n+1}} / \bigcup_i DE_{k, \dots, \underbrace{k-1}_{ith\text{-entry}}, \dots, k}; \mathbb{F})$$

This result is very useful because it is possible, using the filtration of the space  $DE_{k, \dots, k}$  described above, to construct spectral sequences with known  $E_1$ -terms which converge to the cohomology of the relative spaces above for all  $k \geq 1$ .

## 5. THE SPECTRAL SEQUENCE

**The diagonal action.** The diagonal multiplication introduced in 2.3

$$SP^r(C_g) \times E_{i_0, i_2, \dots, i_n} \xrightarrow{\nu} E_{i_0+r, \dots, i_n+r}$$

induces an action in homology,  $\nu_*$ ,

$$(5) \quad \nu_* : \left( \prod_{r=0}^{\infty} H_*(SP^r(C_g); \mathbb{F}) \right) \otimes \left( \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} H_*(LE_j; \mathbb{F}) \right) \longrightarrow \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} H_*(LE_{j+r}; \mathbb{F})$$



for any field coefficients  $\mathbb{F}$ . Of course, this quotient action fits together with the original action on the  $E_{i,\dots,i}$  via the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_*(\mathrm{SP}^r(C_g); \mathbb{F}) \otimes H_*(E_{i,\dots,i}; \mathbb{F}) & \xrightarrow{id \otimes p_*} & H_*(\mathrm{SP}^r(C_g); \mathbb{F}) \otimes H_*(LE_i; \mathbb{F}) \\ \downarrow \nu_* & & \downarrow \nu_* \\ H_*(E_{i+r,\dots,i+r}; \mathbb{F}) & \xrightarrow{p_*} & H_*(LE_{i+r}; \mathbb{F}). \end{array}$$

**Theorem 15.** *There is a spectral sequence converging to  $H_*(QE_k; \mathbb{F})$  with  $E^1$  term*

$$\begin{aligned} E^1 &= \coprod_{\substack{i+j=k \\ i \geq 1}} \tilde{H}_*(LE_i; \mathbb{F}) \otimes H_*(\mathrm{SP}^j(\Sigma C_g), \mathrm{SP}^{j-1}(\Sigma C_g); \mathbb{F}) \\ &\quad \oplus H_*(\mathrm{SP}^k(\Sigma C_g), \mathrm{SP}^{k-1}(\Sigma C_g); \mathbb{F}) \end{aligned}$$

and  $d_1(\Theta \otimes \{|a_1| \cdots |a_r|\}) = (-1)^{|\Theta|} \nu_*(a_1 \otimes \Theta) \otimes \{|a_2| \cdots |a_r|\}$ .

PROOF: From the model for  $QE$  constructed in section 4 we have that

$$QE_k \cong DE_{k,\dots,k} / \bigcup DE_{k,\dots,k-1,\dots,k}$$

where

$$DE_{k,\dots,k}(C_g) = \bigcup_{i_r+j \leq k} E_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n} \times_t \mathrm{SP}^j(cC_g)$$

Also,  $LE_i = E_{i,\dots,i} / \{\bigcup E_{i,i,\dots,i-1,i,\dots,i}\}$  so we can write

$$QE_k = \bigcup_{i+j=k} LE_i \times_t (\mathrm{SP}^j(cC_g) / \mathrm{SP}^{j-1}(cC_g))$$

where the twisting in the description above is given as before by

$$(h, \{(0, w_1), (t_2, w_2), \dots, (t_r, w_r)\}) \sim (\nu(w_1, h), \{(t_2, w_2), \dots, (t_r, w_r)\})$$

To obtain the desired spectral sequence, introduce the filtration by

$$\mathcal{F}_r(QE_k) = \bigcup_{\substack{i \leq r \\ i+j=k}} LE_i \times_t (\mathrm{SP}^j(cC_g) / \mathrm{SP}^{j-1}(cC_g)),$$

and the remainder of the proof of the theorem is direct. ■

*A multiplicative structure for the spectral sequence:* The induced multiplication on the  $QE_i$ 's,

$$\bar{\nu}: QE_i \times QE_j \longrightarrow QE_{i+j}$$

passes to the spectral sequences above and defines a pairing of  $E^1$ -terms:

$$\begin{aligned} &(H_*(LE_i; \mathbb{F}) \otimes H_*(\mathrm{SP}^j(\Sigma C_g), \mathrm{SP}^{j-1}(\Sigma C_g); \mathbb{F})) \\ &\quad \otimes (H_*(LE_v; \mathbb{F}) \otimes H_*(\mathrm{SP}^w(\Sigma C_g), \mathrm{SP}^{w-1}(\Sigma C_g); \mathbb{F})) \\ &\quad \longrightarrow H_*(LE_{i+v}; \mathbb{F}) \otimes H_*(\mathrm{SP}^{w+j}(\Sigma C_g), \mathrm{SP}^{w+j-1}(\Sigma C_g); \mathbb{F}) \end{aligned}$$

for which the  $d_i$ 's act as derivations. For this reason it is often convenient to consider all the spectral sequences above at once. In particular we can describe the direct sum of all the  $E_1$ -terms as a trigraded ring where an element  $x \in E_1$  has tridegree  $(i, j, *)$  if and only if

$$x \in H_s(LE_i) \otimes H_{*-s}(\mathrm{SP}^j(\Sigma M), \mathrm{SP}^{j-1}(\Sigma M); \mathbb{F})$$

**Remark 4.4:** There is a related spectral sequence for  $H_*(QE_k; \mathbb{F})$  obtained by filtering

$$QE_k = \bigcup_{i+j=k} LE_i \times_t (\mathrm{SP}^j(cC_g) / \mathrm{SP}^{j-1}(cC_g))$$

in a somewhat different way. Instead of filtering by  $i$  in the expression above, filter by the number of *distinct*  $t$ 's in the point  $(h, \{(t_1, w_1), (t_2, w_2), \dots, (t_r, w_r)\})$ . In the space  $\mathrm{SP}^\infty(\Sigma C_g)$  this filtration results in the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence with  $E_2$ -term  $Ext_{H_*(\mathrm{SP}^\infty(C_g); \mathbb{F})}^{*,*}(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F})$ . To describe the resulting

spectral sequence most efficiently it is best to include all the  $QE_k$ 's at once, and what results in our case is a trigraded  $E_2$ -term,

$$Ext_{H_{*,*}(SP^\infty(C_g);\mathbb{F})}^{r,s,*}(\mathbb{F}, \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} H_*(LE_i; \mathbb{F}))$$

where the summand such that  $r + s = k$  corresponds to the  $E_2$ -term of the spectral sequence converging to  $H^*(QE_k; \mathbb{F})$ .

*Some remarks on differentials.* There are similar spectral sequences for the *Div*-spaces (cf. 1.6) starting (as in 3.1) with the model

$$(6) \quad \left( \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{SP^j(C_g) \times \cdots \times SP^j(C_g)}_{n+1\text{-times}} \right) \times_T SP^\infty(cC_g)$$

where  $T$  is now the diagonal twisting which identifies the point  $(0, z)$  in the cone  $cM$  with the diagonal element  $\Delta^{n+1}(z)$  in  $(C_g)^{n+1}$  and then extends this multiplicatively. The associated spectral sequences have  $E_1$ -term

$$(7) \quad \prod_{i+j=k} [H^*(SP^i(C_g), SP^{i-1}(C_g); \mathbb{F})]^{n+1} \otimes H^*(SP^j(\Sigma C_g), SP^{j-1}(\Sigma C_g); \mathbb{F})$$

and converge to  $H^*(Div_{k,\dots,k}(C_g); \mathbb{F})$ . (Here the superscript  $n + 1$  means the  $(n + 1)$ -fold tensor product.) Moreover, as is clear, the inclusions

$$E_{i,\dots,i} \subset SP^i(C_g) \times \cdots \times SP^i(C_g)$$

induce maps of spectral sequences here (in cohomology) to the spectral sequences above for the  $\text{Hol}_k^*$  spaces. (Or in homology from the spectral sequences for the  $\text{Hol}_k^*$  spaces to these for the *Div*-spaces.)

But for the spectral sequences for the *Div*-spaces, and using H. Cartan's little constructions [Car] to embed the homology into the chain complex one is able to construct an explicit (small) filtered chain complex with associated spectral sequence equal to that in (4.3), [K1]. In particular one knows that  $E_\infty = E_1$  for  $n \geq 2$  for the *Div*-spaces spectral sequence 4.6.

In the next section we will identify a region of the spectral sequence for the  $\text{Hol}_k^*$  spaces where the induced map of homology spectral sequences is an injection. Hence, in this range for  $n > 1$  the spectral sequence for  $\text{Hol}_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n)$  collapses at  $E_1$ . When  $n = 1$  there are differentials, however our knowledge of the differentials in the *Div*-spectral sequence here implies considerable information about the differentials for the  $\text{Hol}_k^*$  spaces here as well.

**The  $d^1$ -differential for the highest filtration terms of the spectral sequence.** One region where the two sequences don't compare very well is the tail end of (4.3), the terms

$$\tilde{H}_*(C_g; \mathbb{F}) \otimes H_*(SP^{k-1}(\Sigma C_g), SP^{k-2}(\Sigma C_g); \mathbb{F}) \text{ and } H_*(SP^k(\Sigma C_g), SP^{k-1}(\Sigma C_g); \mathbb{F})$$

More generally it can happen that  $\mu_k: SP^k(C_g) \rightarrow J(C_g)$  is an embedding for  $1 \leq k \leq m(C_g)$  in which case we have

**Lemma 16.** *If the Abel-Jacobi map  $\mu_k: SP^k(C_g) \rightarrow J(C_g)$  is an embedding for  $1 \leq k \leq m(C_g)$ , then in this range we have  $E_{k,\dots,k} \cong SP^k(C_g)$ ,  $E_{k,\dots,k-1,k,\dots,k} \cong SP^{k-1}(C_g)$  included in  $E_{k,\dots,k}$  via the usual base-point embedding*

$$SP^{k-1}(X) \subset SP^k(X), \quad \langle x_1, \dots, x_{k-1} \rangle \mapsto \langle x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, * \rangle$$

and the action  $\nu$  corresponds to the usual multiplication

$$SP^r(C_g) \times SP^{k-r}(C_g) \longrightarrow SP^k(C_g)$$

Consequently, in this range we have  $LE_{k,\dots,k} \cong SP^k(C_g)/SP^{k-1}(C_g)$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq m(C_g)$ , and the spectral sequence in this region is the corresponding spectral sequence for the quasi-fibration  $SP^\infty(C_g) \rightarrow SP^\infty(cC_g) \rightarrow SP^\infty(\Sigma C_g)$ .

(The only statement above which might need clarification is the last. But recall that the spectral sequence with field coefficients has  $E_2$ -term

$$\bigoplus_{k,t} H^*(SP^k(C_g), SP^{k-1}(C_g); \mathbb{F}) \otimes H^*(SP^t(\Sigma C_g), SP^{t-1}(\Sigma C_g); \mathbb{F})$$

and all the differentials preserve the sum  $k + t$ . Moreover, in this region the chain embedding techniques of [K1] are valid, so the two spectral sequences have the same (internal) differentials.)

For example, if  $C_g$  is not hyperelliptic then  $\mu_2: SP^2(C_g) \rightarrow J(C_g)$  is an embedding. Also the map  $\mu_3: SP^3(M_5) \rightarrow J(M_5)$  is an embedding for most curves of genus 5. (See the discussion in [ACGH], chapter V.)

## 6. THE JACOBI VARIETIES $W_i^j$ AND A SPECTRAL SEQUENCE FOR THE $LE_i$ SPACES

Our next step is to analyze the spectral sequence of 4.3. To do this we must understand the groups  $H_*(LE_i)$ . The  $LE_i$  are quotients of the spaces  $E_i \equiv E_{i, \dots, i}$  defined in section 3 and these latter spaces turn out to be built out of fibrations with projective spaces as fibers. Here there is a stratification of the image of  $SP^n(C_g)$  in  $J(C_g)$  and over each stratum we get such a fibration, though the dimensions of the fibers vary as we move from stratum to stratum.

DEFINITION 5.1: The image of  $\mu_d$  in  $J(C_g)$  is written  $W_d$ . Also the set of points  $y \in W_d$  so that  $\mu_d^{-1}(y) = \mathbb{P}^m$  with  $m \geq r$  is denoted  $W_d^r$  so we have a decreasing filtration

$$W_d \supseteq W_d^1 \supseteq W_d^2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq W_d^r \supseteq \dots \supseteq W_d^{[d/2]+1} = \emptyset$$

It is well known that  $W_d = J(C_g)$  whenever  $d \geq g$ , and that the dimension  $m$  of a *generic* fiber  $\mathbb{P}^m$  over  $W_d$  is 0 when  $d \leq g$  and  $d - g$  when  $d \geq g$ .

EXAMPLES:

(5.2) The map  $\mu_1$  is always an embedding and so  $W_1 \cong C_g$ .

(5.3) In the genus 1 case the original map  $\mu = \mu_1$  is the identity and  $J(M_1) = M_1$  while the  $\mu_d$  are fiberings for  $d \geq 2$ .

Assume now that  $g \geq 2$ .

(5.4) The map  $\mu_2: SP^2(C_g) \rightarrow J(C_g)$  is an embedding unless  $C_g$  is hyperelliptic (cf section 11). In the hyperelliptic case  $W_2^1$  is a single point  $p$  and  $\mu_2^{-1}(p) = \mathbb{P}^1$ . It follows that  $W_2$  can be identified with  $SP^2(C_g)$  with a single  $\mathbb{P}^1$  blown down. Indeed, we can check that the normal bundle to  $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset SP^2(C_g)$  is  $\xi^{1-g}$ , the line bundle with self-intersection number  $1 - g$ , and from this it follows that

- (i)  $SP^2(M_2)$  is the blow up of  $J(M_2)$  at a single point (here any genus 2 surface is automatically hyperelliptic).
- (ii) For  $g \geq 3$  we have that  $W_2 = SP^2(C_g)/(\mathbb{P}^1 \sim *)$  is a manifold with a single isolated point singularity which looks like the cone on a Lens space  $L_{g-1}^3$ .

We can introduce the complementary subspace  $A_k^i = W_k^i - W_k^{i+1}$ . By definition, we have that  $\forall x \in A_k^i$ ,  $\mu_k^{-1}(x) = \mathbb{P}^i$ . The result of Mattuck quoted in (1.14) takes actually the more general form

**Theorem 17.** (Mattuck)  $\mu_k^{-1}(A_k^i) \subset SP^k(C_g)$  is the total space of a locally trivial analytic fibration  $\mathbb{P}^i \rightarrow \mu_k^{-1}(A_k^i) \rightarrow A_k^i$ .

**Remark 5.6:** The following formula relating  $W_k^i$  and  $W_{k-1}^{i-1}$  is a special case of [G, (20), p. 53]:

$$W_k^i = \bigcap_{m \in \mu(C_g)} (W_{k-1}^{i-1} + m)$$

that is,  $W_k^i$  is obtained as the intersection of all translates of elements of  $W_{k-1}^{i-1}$  by elements of  $\mu(C_g) \subset J(C_g)$ . In particular, this shows that

$$W_k^i \subset W_{k-1}^{i-1} + * \subset W_k^{i-1}$$

From now on we do not differentiate between  $W_{k-1}^{i-1} \subset W_{k-1}$  and  $W_{k-1}^{i-1} + * \subset W_k$ ; denoting both by  $W_{k-1}^{i-1}$ .

Furthermore, Gunning, [G, p. 54], gives the following dimension estimates for the associated containments.

**Lemma 18.** *If the subvariety  $W_{k-1}^{i-1}$  is non-empty then*

- $\dim W_k^i < \dim W_{k-1}^{i-1}$  whenever  $2 \leq i \leq k \leq g$
- $\dim W_{k-2}^{i-1} < \dim W_{k-1}^{i-1}$  whenever  $2 \leq i \leq k \leq g$ .

**Remark 5.8:** In chapter V of [ACGH] techniques for determining the  $W_k^i$ 's are extensively discussed and results for  $g \leq 6$  are completely given, (p. 206-211).

We now turn to the pull-back spaces  $E_{k,\dots,k}$  and observe that the fibration of lemma (5.5) induces in turn a fibration

$$(\mathbb{P}^i \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^i) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_k^i \xrightarrow{\pi} A_k^i, \quad \mathcal{A}_k^i \subset E_{k,\dots,k}$$

When collapsing  $\mathcal{A}_{k-1}^i$ , we get a quotient  $X = \mathcal{A}_k^i / \mathcal{A}_{k-1}^i \hookrightarrow E_{k,\dots,k} / E_{k-1,\dots,k-1}$  and a quotient map  $X \longrightarrow LE_{k,\dots,k}$ . The space  $X$  projects down via  $\mu$  to

$$A_k^i / A_{k-1}^i = W_k^i / W_{k-1}^i;$$

this last equality being a consequence of the inclusion  $W_k^{i+1} \subset W_{k-1}^i$  described above.

We then pass to the quotient  $LE_k$  and observe that since  $W_k^i \subset W_{k-1}^{i-1}$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , we must further collapse, along fibers this time, subsets of the form

$$\bigcup_{j \leq n+1} (\mathbb{P}^i)^{j-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{i-1} \times (\mathbf{P}^i)^{n+1-j} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{P}^i)^{n+1}$$

The fiber  $(\mathbb{P}^i)^{n+1}$  has a top  $2i(n+1)$  cell of the form  $e^{2i(n+1)} = e_1^{2i} \times \dots \times e_{n+1}^{2i}$  where  $e_j^{2i}$  is the top  $2i$  dimensional cell in the  $j$ -th copy  $\mathbb{P}^i$  with boundary mapping to  $\mathbb{P}^{i-1} \subset \mathbb{P}^i$ . We then see that

$$(\mathbb{P}^i)^{n+1} / \bigcup_j (\mathbb{P}^i)^{j-1} \times \mathbf{P}^{i-1} \times (\mathbb{P}^i)^{n+1-j} \simeq e^{2i(n+1)} / \partial e^{2i(n+1)} \simeq S^{2i(n+1)}$$

The space so obtained is denoted by  $T_k^i$ . In the case when  $i = 0$  we have that  $W_k^1 \subset W_{k-1} \subset W_k$ , and hence  $W_k / W_{k-1} \cong \mathbb{S}P^k(C_g) / \mathbb{S}P^{k-1}(C_g)$ .

Now we filter the Jacobian according to the increasing sequence of  $W_i$ 's

$$J(C_g) = W_g \supset W_{g-1} \supset \dots \supset W_1 = C_g$$

This induces a filtration on  $LE_k$  yielding a spectral sequence which by the preceding discussion has  $E^1$  term as follows.

**Proposition 19.** *Suppose that  $k \leq g$ , then there is a spectral sequence converging to  $\tilde{H}_*(LE_k)$  with  $E^1$  term*

$$E^1 = H_*(\mathbb{S}P^k(C_g), \mathbb{S}P^{k-1}(C_g)) \oplus \prod_i H_*(W_k^i, W_{k-1}^i) \otimes \tilde{H}_*(S^{2i(n+1)})$$

and in case  $k \geq g$ , then

$$E^1 = \Sigma^{2(k-g)(n+1)} H_*(J(C_g), W_{k-1}^{k-g}) \oplus \prod_{i > k-g} H_*(W_k^i, W_{k-1}^i) \otimes \tilde{H}_*(S^{2i(n+1)})$$

**Remark 5.10:** Note that  $H_*(LE_k) = H_*(J(C_g)) \otimes \tilde{H}_*(S^{2(k-g)(n+1)})$  whenever  $k > 2g-1$  for then  $W_{k-1}^{k-g} = \emptyset$  and  $W_k^i = W_{k-1}^i = J(C_g)$  otherwise.

**Remark 5.11:** The spectral sequence of (5.9) turns out to collapse at  $E^1$  for all cases we treat in this paper.

## 7. THE STRUCTURE OF SYMMETRIC PRODUCTS

In this section, we describe the homology of the symmetric products  $\mathbb{S}P^n(C_g)$  for all  $n$  and  $g$ . Also, since it is required in the spectral sequences of (4.3) and (5.9), we give the structure of  $H_*(\mathbb{S}P^n(\Sigma C_g))$  again for all  $n, g$ . In the case of  $\mathbb{S}P^n(C_g)$  we follow the description given by I.G. Macdonald, [Mc], while the description for the suspension is taken from [M1] and unpublished work of N. Steenrod.

There is an evident pairing  $SP^n(X) \times SP^m(X) \xrightarrow{+} SP^{n+m}(X)$  given by addition of points and this turns  $SP^\infty(X)$  into an associative, abelian monoid with  $*$  as a two sided identity. The Dold and Thom states that

$$(8) \quad SP^\infty(X) \simeq \prod_1^\infty K(H_i(X; \mathbb{Z}), i)$$

is a product of Eilenberg-MacLane spaces if  $X$  is path connected [DT]. Applying this to  $X = C_g$  yields

$$(9) \quad SP^\infty(C_g) \simeq K(\mathbb{Z}^{2g}, 1) \times K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \simeq (S^1)^{2g} \times \mathbb{P}^\infty$$

where  $K(\mathbb{Z}, 1) \simeq S^1$  while  $K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \simeq \mathbb{P}^\infty$ , the infinite complex projective space. We then find that

$$H_*(SP^\infty(C_g); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \Lambda(e_1, \dots, e_{2g}) \otimes \Gamma(a)$$

where  $\Lambda(\cdot)$  denotes the exterior algebra on the stated generators while  $\Gamma(a)$  denotes the *divided power algebra* on  $a$ : the ring with  $\mathbb{Z}$ -generators  $a_i$   $i = 0, 1, \dots$  and multiplication  $a_i a_j = \binom{i+j}{j} a_{i+j}$ . This accords well with the multiplication of the  $SP^n(C_g)$  described above. Often however it is convenient to work with the cohomology rings so we need the following description.

**I.G. Macdonald's description of  $H^*(SP^k(C_g); \mathbb{Z})$ .** Consider the map  $[C_g]^*: C_g \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^\infty = K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)$ , taking the fundamental class to the dual of the orientation class, and the map  $\vee e_i: C_g \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}^{2g}, 1) \simeq (S^1)^{2g}$ . Both  $\mathbb{P}^\infty$  and  $(S^1)^{2g}$  are associative abelian  $H$ -spaces with the structure on  $\mathbb{P}^\infty$  coming from the identification  $SP^\infty(\mathbb{P}^1) = \mathbb{P}^\infty$  described earlier.

This allows us to extend  $[C_g]^*$  and  $\vee e_i$  to a multiplicative map

$$\theta(k): (C_g)^k \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^\infty \times (S^1)^{2g}$$

which, by definition factors through the symmetric product  $SP^k(C_g)$ . (In the limit, as  $k \mapsto \infty$  this gives the Dold-Thom equivalence  $SP^\infty(C_g) \rightarrow K((\mathbb{Z})^{2g}, 1) \times K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)$ .)

With respect to the maps  $\theta(k)$  we can describe the cohomology ring  $H^*(SP^k(C_g); \mathbb{Z})$  as follows:

**Theorem 20.** (I.G. Macdonald) *The cohomology ring of  $SP^k(C_g)$  over the integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  is generated by the elements*

$$f_1 = e_1^*, \dots, f_i = e_{2i-1}^*, \dots, f_g = e_{2g-1}^*, f'_1 = e_2^*, \dots, f'_g = e_{2g}^*, \text{ and } b$$

subject to the following relations:

- (i) The  $f_i$ 's and the  $f'_i$ 's anti-commute with each other and commute with  $b$ ;
- (ii) If  $i_1, \dots, i_a, j_1, \dots, j_b, k_1, \dots, k_c$  are distinct integers from 1 to  $g$  inclusive, then

$$f_{i_1} \cdots f_{i_a} f'_{j_1} \cdots f'_{j_b} (f_{k_1} f'_{k_1} - b) \cdots (f_{k_c} f'_{k_c} - b) b^q = 0$$

provided that

$$a + b + 2c + q = n + 1$$

If  $k < 2g$  all the relations above are consequences of those for which  $q = 0$ , and if  $n > 2g - 2$  all the relations are consequences of the single relation

$$b^{k-2g+1} \prod_{i=1}^g (f_i f'_i - b) = 0$$

Actually Macdonald only stated this result in [Mc] for fields of characteristic zero as coefficients, however, since the relevant invariant maps that he used to prove the result are actually surjective over  $\mathbb{Z}$  it is quite direct to extend the result to integers as well.

**The homology of  $\mathbf{SP}^n(X)$  for more general  $X$ .** The homology of the spaces  $\mathbf{SP}^n(X)$  splits according to a result of Steenrod, [D], (see also [M1]) which holds for  $X$  any  $CW$ -complex:

$$(10) \quad H_*(SP^\infty(X); \mathbb{Z}) = \bigoplus_j H_*(\mathbf{SP}^j(X), \mathbf{SP}^{j-1}(X); \mathbb{Z}).$$

Consequently, the ring  $H_*(SP^\infty(C_g); \mathbb{Z})$  is bigraded, by defining  $x \in H_*(SP^\infty(X); \mathbb{Z})$  to have bidegree  $(i, j)$  iff  $x \in H_j(\mathbf{SP}^i(C_g), \mathbf{SP}^{i-1}(C_g); \mathbb{Z}) \subset H_*(SP^\infty(C_g); \mathbb{Z})$ . The bigrading is multiplicative in the sense that the product map

$$\mathbf{SP}^m(X) \times \mathbf{SP}^n(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{SP}^{n+m}(X)$$

in homology induces a bigraded ring map

$$H_{i,j}(\mathbf{SP}^n(X); \mathbb{A}) \otimes H_{r,s}(\mathbf{SP}^m(X); \mathbb{A}) \longrightarrow H_{i+r, j+s}(\mathbf{SP}^{n+m}(X); \mathbb{A}),$$

where the first degree is the dimension and the second the bidegree.

The bigrading is preserved by the diagonal map so the cohomology ring is bigraded as well, and the two structures together form a bigraded Hopf algebra. In the case of the  $\mathbf{SP}^k(C_g)$  we have that the coproduct on each of the 1-dimensional generators is primitive while the 2-dimensional generator  $[C_g]$  in  $H_{1,2}(SP^\infty(C_g); \mathbb{Z})$  corresponding to the orientation class of  $C_g$  has coproduct

$$(11) \quad \Delta([C_g]) = [C_g] \otimes 1 + \sum_1^g (e_{2i-1} \otimes e_{2i} - e_{2i} \otimes e_{2i-1}) + 1 \otimes [C_g].$$

**The homology of  $\mathbf{SP}^n(\Sigma(C_g))$ .** We will need the cohomology and homology of the spaces  $\mathbf{SP}^n(\Sigma(C_g))$  where  $\Sigma X$  denotes the suspension of  $X$ . From the Dold-Thom theorem

$$(12) \quad SP^\infty(\Sigma C_g) \simeq \left( \prod_1^{2g} \mathbb{P}^\infty \right) \times SP^\infty(S^3)$$

where we can assume that the generator for the homology of each  $\mathbf{P}^\infty$  has bidegree  $(1, 2)$ . Thus, this amounts to describing the homology and cohomology of  $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$  and its associated bigrading.

With coefficients  $\mathbb{F}_p$  for any odd prime  $p$  we have, [M1],

$$\begin{aligned} H_*(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); \mathbb{F}_p) &\cong \Lambda(|a|, |\gamma_p|, \dots, |\gamma_{p^i}|, \dots) \\ &\otimes \Gamma(|a^{p-1}|a|) \otimes \Gamma(|\gamma_p^{p-1}|\gamma_p|) \otimes \dots \otimes \Gamma(|\gamma_{p^i}^{p-1}|\gamma_{p^i}|) \otimes \dots \end{aligned}$$

The generator  $\gamma_{p^i}$  corresponds to  $[\mathbf{SP}^{p^i}(C_g)]$  and  $|\gamma_{p^i}|$  has filtration degree  $p^i$  and dimension  $2p^i + 1$  while  $|\gamma_{p^{i-1}}^{p-1}|\gamma_{p^{i-1}}|$  has bidegree  $(p^i, 2p^i + 2)$ .

In the case of the prime 2 we have

$$(13) \quad H_*(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); \mathbb{F}_2) \cong \Gamma[|a|, |a_2|, \dots, |a_{2^i}|, \dots].$$

**Remark 6.10:** With  $\mathbb{F}_p$  coefficients, the divided power algebra  $\Gamma[a]$  splits as an algebra into a tensor product of truncated polynomial algebras [Car]

$$\Gamma[a] \cong \mathbb{F}_p[a]/a^p \otimes \mathbf{F}_p[a_p]/(a_p)^p \otimes \dots$$

When  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}$ , divided power algebras are isomorphic to polynomial algebras and the answer simplifies greatly; namely

$$(14) \quad H_*(SP^\infty(\Sigma C_g); \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[|e_1|, \dots, |e_{2g}|] \otimes \Lambda(a)$$

The answer is simpler to describe if we use cohomology. Here,  $H^*(SP^\infty(S^3); \mathbb{F}_p)$  is always a tensor product of an exterior algebra on odd dimensional generators and a polynomial algebra on the even dimensional generators. In other words in the formulae 6.8 above one replaces all the divided power algebras by polynomial algebras with generators of the same bidegrees to get the cohomology ring description.

We take advantage of the bigrading to write  $H_*(SP^\infty(\Sigma C_g); \mathbb{F}_p)$  in the form

$$\prod_1^\infty H_*(SP^n(\Sigma C_g), SP^{n-1}(\Sigma C_g); \mathbb{F}_p)$$

These summands can be written out more completely in terms of the bigrading of  $SP^\infty(S^3)$  as follows. First, we write  $H_*(SP^\infty(S^3); \mathbb{F}_p) = H_*(SP^\infty(\Sigma S^2); \mathbb{F}_p)$  in this way. The decomposition here has an alternate description,  $[C^2M^2]$ :

$$(15) \quad H_*(SP^\infty(S^3); \mathbb{F}_p) = \bigoplus_1^\infty \Sigma^{4i} \mathcal{D}_i^*(p)$$

where the  $\mathcal{D}_i$  are the Snaith splitting components of the loop space  $\Omega^2 S^3$  for the prime  $p$  and  $\mathcal{D}_i^*$  means dual, where we index the dual by  $\dim(\mathcal{D}_{i,j}) = -j$ .

Then, going back to (6.7) we see that we can write the term

$$(16) \quad H_*(SP^n(\Sigma C_g), SP^{n-1}(\Sigma C_g); \mathbb{F}_p) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^n \Sigma^{4j} \mathcal{D}_j^*(p) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p[b_1, \dots, b_{2g}]_{n-j}$$

and  $\mathbb{F}_p[b_1, \dots, b_{2g}]_{n-j}$  is the free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module on the degree  $n-j$  monomials in the variables  $b_1, \dots, b_{2g}$ . There are  $\binom{n-j+2g-1}{2g-1}$  such monomials.

**Example:** What follows is a list of generators for  $H_*(SP^j(\Sigma M), SP^{j-1}(\Sigma M); \mathbb{Z}_p)$  in the  $E^1$  term mod  $(p)$  of the spectral sequence of (4.3) together with their tridegrees

<i>Generator</i>	<i>trigrading</i>
$ M $	$(0, 1, 3)$
$ e_i $	$(0, 1, 2)$
$ \gamma_{p^i} $	$(0, p^i, 2p^i + 1)$
$ \gamma_{p^{i-1}}^{p-1} \gamma_{p^{i-1}} $	$(0, p^i, 2p^i + 2).$

**Explicit  $d^1$ -differentials in the spectral sequence.** In 4.3 the differential  $d^1$  is implicitly determined. Now that we have the explicit form of the homology groups  $H_*(SP^n(C_g))$  and  $H_*(SP^n(\Sigma C_g))$  we can make  $d^1$  explicit. For example we have

$$(17) \quad d^1(|f_1|^{s_1} \dots |f_{2g}|^{k-s_1-\dots-s_{2g-1}}) = \sum_1^{2g} f_i \otimes |f_1|^{s_1} \dots |f_i|^{s_i-1} \dots |f_{2g}|^{k-s_1-\dots-s_{2g-1}}$$

and

$$d^1(|C_g||f_1|^{s_1} \dots |f_{2g}|^{k-s_1-\dots-1}) = [C_g] \otimes |f_1|^{s_1} \dots |f_{2g}|^{k-s_1-\dots-1} - (d^1(|f_1|^{s_1} \dots |f_{2g}|^{k-s_1-\dots-1})) (1 \otimes |C_g|).$$

It is easily checked that this part of the  $d^1$ -differential is injective to the term  $\tilde{H}^*(C_g; \mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathbb{F}[|f_1|, \dots, |f_{2g}|]_{k-1}$  with cokernel spanned by the monomials of the following form:

$$(18) \quad \{f_t |f_i|^{j_i} |f_{i+1}|^{j_{i+1}} \dots |f_{2g}|^{k-1-j_1-\dots-j_{2g-1}}\}, \text{ where } j_i > 0, \text{ and } t > i.$$

**Remark 6.18:** This is the only time the  $d^1$  differential on the elements  $|f_1|^{i_1} \dots |f_{2g}|^{i_{2g}}$  is non-trivial, since in the remaining parts of the spectral sequence their images lie in the part which has been collapsed out.

**Example 6.19:** If  $g = 1$  then the differentials in this region have the form  $d^1(|f_1|^s |f_2|^{k-s}) = f_1 \otimes |f_1|^{s-1} |f_2|^{k-s} + f_2 \otimes |f_1|^s |f_2|^{k-s-1}$  provided  $s$  and  $k-s$  are both greater than zero and

$$d^1(|T||f_1|^s |f_2|^{k-s-1}) = f_1 f_2 \otimes |f_1|^s |f_2|^{k-s-1} - f_1 \otimes |T||f_1|^{s-1} |f_2|^{k-s-1} - f_2 \otimes |T||f_1|^s |f_2|^{k-s-2}.$$

The role of this last differential can be regarded as identifying terms of the form  $f_1 f_2 \otimes |f_1|^s |f_2|^{k-s-1}$  with terms involving  $|T|^*$ . Also, the first of these differentials is injective but not surjective. The quotient has as a basis the set of images of the elements  $f_2 \otimes |f_1|^s |f_2|^{k-s-1}$  with  $s > 0$ . Consequently it has dimension  $k-2$ .

## 8. THE STABLE RANGE

In the case where  $i \geq 2g$ , we've seen already that the Abel-Jacobi map becomes an analytic fibration, and hence the projection  $E_{i,\dots,i} \rightarrow J(C_g)$  fibers as

$$(19) \quad \mathbb{P}^{i-g} \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^{i-g} \longrightarrow E_{i,\dots,i} \longrightarrow J(C_g)$$

Because of this and other stabilization properties (§8), we refer to the range  $i \geq 2g$  as *stable*. In this case, we see that the relative groups

$$\tilde{H}(LE_i, \mathbb{A}) \cong H_*(E_{i,i,\dots,i}, \bigcup E_{i,\dots,i-1,\dots,i}; \mathbb{A})$$

are given by

$$\begin{aligned} [H_*(\mathbb{P}^{i-g}, \mathbb{P}^{i-g-1}; \mathbb{A})]^{n+1} & \quad \otimes H_*(J(C_g); \mathbb{A}) \\ & \cong \Sigma^{2(i-g)(n+1)} H_*(J(C_g); \mathbb{A}). \end{aligned}$$

Dually, in cohomology the relative group is given as

$$(20) \quad b_0^{i-g} b_1^{i-g} \dots b_n^{i-g} \otimes H^*(J(C_g); \mathbb{A}) = (b_0 \dots b_n)^{i-g} \otimes H^*(J(C_g); \mathbb{A}),$$

a form which is often of more use in calculations.

**Remark 7.4:** Of course, in both these forms, the inclusions  $\mathbb{P}^{i-g} \subset \text{SP}^i(C_g)$  induce injections in homology onto  $\mathbb{Z}$ -direct summands, but even more, from Macdonald's results (6.4) it follows that the inclusion of pairs

$$(\mathbb{P}^{i-g}, \mathbb{P}^{i-g-1}) \subset (\text{SP}^i(C_g), \text{SP}^{i-1}(C_g))$$

induces an inclusion in homology sending  $H_{2(i-g)}(\mathbb{P}^{i-g}, \mathbb{P}^{i-g-1}; \mathbb{Z})$  isomorphically to the group  $H_{2(i-g)}(\text{SP}^i(C_g), \text{SP}^{i-1}(C_g); \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ . (We will explore this fact further below.)

It follows then that in this stable range the  $E_1$ -term for  $\text{Hol}_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n)$  injects into the corresponding  $E_1$ -term for the *Div*-space as was asserted at the end of §4. In particular this gives as a corollary to the results of [K1],

**Lemma 21.** *The spectral sequence of (4.3) collapses at  $E_1$  in the stable range for  $n \geq 2$ .*

Now we turn to some fairly direct calculations which lead to the determination of the  $d^1$ -differential in the  $\text{Hol}_k^*$  spectral sequence for  $n = 1$  in the stable range.

More exactly, in the stable range the relative group injects,

$$H^*(E_{i,i}, E_{i,i-1} \cup E_{i-1,i}; \mathbb{A}) \subset H^*(E_{i,i}, \mathbb{A})$$

as the principal ideal

$$(21) \quad ((b_0 b_1)^{i-2g} W_0 W_1) \subset H^*(E_{i,i}; \mathbb{A})$$

where  $W_j$  is the polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} W_j & = \prod_{t=1}^g (f_{2t-1} f_{2t} - b_j) \\ & = (-1)^g \left[ b_j^g - \left( \sum_1^g f_{2t-1} f_{2t} \right) b_j^{g-1} + \dots + (-1)^g \left( \prod_1^{2g} f_t \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

which generates the kernel of the restriction map to  $H^*(E_{i-1,i}; \mathbb{A})$  and  $H^*(E_{i,i-1}; \mathbb{A})$  respectively according to Macdonald's theorem (6.4).

**Lemma 22.** *In the stable range the differential  $d_1$  in the spectral sequence (4.3) vanishes for  $n > 1$ . For  $n = 1$ , it has the form*

$$d_1(W_0 W_1 b_0^{i-2g} b_1^{i-2g}) = 2 \left( \sum_1^g f_{2i-1} f_{2i} \right) (W_0 W_1 b_0^{i-2g-1} b_1^{i-2g-1}) |_{C_g^*}$$

and it is multiplicative in the remaining generators. That is to say,

$$\Theta W_0 W_1 b_0^{i-2g} b_1^{i-2g} \xrightarrow{d_1} \pm \Theta d_1(W_0 W_1 b_0^{i-2g} b_1^{i-2g})$$



PROOF: We check the action map  $M \times E_{i-1, \dots, i-1} \rightarrow E_{i, \dots, i}$  in cohomology. The term  $f_{2r-1}f_{2r} - b_i$  maps to

$$f_{2r-1} \otimes f_{2r} - f_{2r} \otimes f_{2r-1} + 1 \otimes (f_{2r-1}f_{2r} - b_i)$$

since  $f_{2r-1}f_{2r} - b = 0$  in  $H^2(C_g; \mathbb{Z})$ . Hence

$$W_i \mapsto \sum_{r=1}^g (f_{2r-1} \otimes f_{2r} - f_{2r} \otimes f_{2r-1}) 1 \otimes W_i(r) + 1 \otimes W_i$$

where, as is evident  $W_i(r) = \prod_{j \neq r} (f_{2j-1}f_{2j} - b_i)$ . Thus, since  $f_i f_j = 0$  in  $H^*(C_g; \mathbb{Z})$  for  $\langle i, j \rangle \neq \langle 2r-1, 2r \rangle$  for some  $r$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} W_0 W_1 &\mapsto \sum_{r=1}^g 2b \otimes (f_{2r-1}f_{2r} W_0(r) W_1(r)) \\ &\quad + (f_{2r-1} \otimes f_{2r} - f_{2r} \otimes f_{2r-1}) 1 \otimes (W_0(r) W_1 + W_0 W_1(r)) \\ &\quad + 1 \otimes W_0 W_1. \end{aligned}$$

Next note that  $W_i b_i^{i-2g} = 0$  in  $H^*(E_{i-1, \dots, i-1}; \mathbf{Z})$ , so the image of  $(b_0 b_1)^{i-2g} W_0 W_1$  is  $2b \otimes \sum f_{2r-1} f_{2r} b_0^{i-2g-1} b_1^{i-2g-1} W_0 W_1$  since  $b_i W_i(r) f_{2r-1} f_{2r} = W_i f_{2r-1} f_{2r}$ . This proves the desired result.  $\blacksquare$

**Remark 7.9:** Actually, what the argument above really determines is the coaction map in cohomology. Given the action map

$$SP^r(C_g) \times LE_i \longrightarrow LE_{i+r}$$

described earlier, and suppose  $i \geq 2g$ , then

$$U_{i+k} \mapsto b^k \otimes \frac{2^k}{k!} \left( \sum_1^g f_{2r-1} f_{2r} \right)^k U_i$$

where  $U_j$  is the generating class above for  $H^{2(j-g)}(LE_j; \mathbb{Z})$  when  $n = 1$ .

## 9. STABILIZATION

For  $d \geq g$ , any  $n \geq 1$  and any neighborhood  $U_\epsilon(*)$ , there are  $n+1$  divisors  $D_i \subset SP^d(U_\epsilon(*))$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n$  with  $\mu(D_0) = \mu(D_1) = \dots = \mu(D_n)$  and  $\bigcap D_i = \emptyset$ . By deforming  $C_g - *$  to  $C_g - U_\epsilon$  and then adding in the corresponding divisors, one obtains a stabilization map  $\tau$  which one can iterate

$$(22) \quad \text{Hol}_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n) \xrightarrow{\tau} \text{Hol}_{k+d}^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n) \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \text{Hol}_{k+rd}^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n)$$

obtaining in the limit a space  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \text{Hol}_{k+rd}^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n)$  which is homotopy equivalent to any component in the mapping space  $\text{Map}_*^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n)$ . Note that this last statement is a consequence of Segal's stabilization theorem, [S].

The inclusion  $\tau$  descends to a map of spectral sequences and by an argument similar to one in [C<sup>2</sup>M<sup>2</sup>], we see the corresponding map on the  $E_1$ -term is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \cup(b_0 b_1 \cdots b_n) \otimes & \quad id: H^*(LE_i; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^*(SP^j(\Sigma C_g), SP^{j-1}(\Sigma C_g); \mathbb{Z}) \\ & \longrightarrow H^*(LE_{i+1}; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^*(SP^j(\Sigma C_g), SP^{j-1}(\Sigma C_g); \mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

This relation between inclusion at the level of mapping spaces and cupping with  $b_0 \cdots b_n$  in the Poincaré duals is quite important and follows basically by checking normal bundles (cf [K1]). In any case the content of the preceding remarks can be summarized in

**Lemma 23.** *In the stable range the cohomology of  $\text{Hol}_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n)$  is isomorphic to the cohomology of  $\text{Map}_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n)$  via the natural inclusion.*

This is again direct from the stabilization via cupping with  $b_0 \cdots b_n$  and Segal's stability theorem.

The differentials which we know appear come from comparison with the *Div*-space spectral sequence. These are the differential  $d_1$  already described and the further differentials which only hold mod  $(p)$  for odd  $p$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(|\gamma_{p^i}|^*) &= \frac{1}{p^i} (\sum_1^g f_{2j-1} f_{2j})^{p^i}, \\ d(|\gamma_{p^i}^{p-1}|^*) &= \left[ \frac{1}{p^i} (\sum_1^g f_{2j-1} f_{2j})^{(p-1)p^i} \right] |\gamma_{p^i}|^*. \end{aligned}$$

The last differential in (8.4) is called the Kudo differential, [Ku]. There are no differentials for  $p = 2$  (see next remark). Similarly, for  $n > 2$  all the differentials are zero for all  $p$  and hence, even over the integers.

**Remark 8.5:** There is a sequence of Serre fibrations

$$\Omega^2(\mathbb{P}^n) \longrightarrow \text{Map}^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n) \longrightarrow (\Omega(\mathbb{P}^n))^{2g} \xrightarrow{f^\dagger} \Omega(\mathbb{P}^n)$$

induced from the cofibration sequence associated to  $C_g$ ;

$$S^1 \xrightarrow{f} \bigvee^{2g} S^1 \hookrightarrow C_g \longrightarrow S^2$$

which gives  $\text{Map}^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n)$  as the total space of a principal  $\Omega^2(\mathbb{P}^n)$  fibration with classifying map  $f^\dagger$ . Then the discussion above shows by dualizing that in the Serre spectral sequence of the fibration

$$(23) \quad \Omega^2(\mathbb{P}^n) \longrightarrow \text{Map}^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n) \longrightarrow (\Omega(\mathbb{P}^n))^{2g}$$

we have (for  $n = 1$ ) the differentials  $d^1(|b|^*) = 2 \sum_1^g f_{2j-1} f_{2j}$ , the transgressive mod( $p$ ) differentials from  $|b^{p^i}|^*$  to the divided power of  $(\sum_1^g f_{2j-1} f_{2j})$ , and the Kudo differentials for  $p$  odd. Of course, for  $p = 2$  the differentials in the Serre spectral sequence are totally transgressive from the fiber to the base. Hence, there are no differentials and  $E_2 = E_\infty$ . Similarly, for  $n > 2$  there are no differentials for any  $p$  and  $E_2 = E_\infty$  in this spectral sequence even with integer coefficients though the only way we know to prove this is via the results of [K2].

## 10. THE GENUS ONE CASE

When  $g = 1$ , then  $\mu: M_1 \rightarrow J(M_1)$  is a holomorphic homeomorphism identifying the Jacobi variety with  $T$  itself. Also, the stable range starts immediately in this case and hence, for all  $n \geq 1$  the Abel-Jacobi map  $\mu$  is a fibration

$$\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \longrightarrow \text{SP}^n(T) \xrightarrow{\mu} T$$

In the spectral sequence for  $QE_k(n)$  with  $n \geq 2$  (dual to  $\text{Hol}_k^*(T, \mathbb{P}^n)$ ) there are no differentials except for those at the tail end (6.15) and (6.16) but these are the only differentials and  $E^1 = E^\infty$  away from this region while  $E^2 = E^\infty$  for the entire spectral sequence. In the case  $n = 1$  the only differential is again  $d_1$  which now also has a stable component given in (5.9), and again  $E^2 = E^\infty$ .

It also follows that duality gives the isomorphism

$$(24) \quad \tilde{H}^{2k(n+1)-2n-*}(\text{Hol}_k^*(T, \mathbb{P}^n); \mathbb{F}) \cong H_*(\underbrace{QE_{k, \dots, k}}_{n+1}; \mathbb{F})$$

for all  $k \geq 2$ , with  $\text{Hol}_1^*(T, \mathbb{P}^n)$  being empty for all  $n$ .

We now determine these homology groups explicitly for  $n = 1$ . First, in the case where  $\mathbb{F}$  has characteristic 2 there are no stable differentials and  $E^1 = E^\infty$  in this range. Second, in case  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} E^1(\text{Hol}_k^*) &= \prod_{i=2}^k \Sigma^{4(i-1)} H_*(T; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes (\mathbb{Q}[|e_1|, |e_2|]_{k-i} \oplus |[T]| \otimes \mathbb{Q}[|e_1|, |e_2|]_{k-i-1}) \\ &\quad \oplus \tilde{H}_*(T; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes (\mathbb{Q}[|e_1|, |e_2|]_{k-1} \oplus |[T]| \otimes \mathbb{Q}[|e_1|, |e_2|]_{k-2}) \\ &\quad \oplus \mathbb{Q}[|e_1|, |e_2|]_k + |T| \mathbb{Q}[|e_1|, |e_2|]_{k-1} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbb{Q}[|e_1|, |e_2|]_r$  is the  $(r+1)$ -dimensional subspace spanned by the monomials of degree  $r$ ; that is:  $|e_1|^r, |e_1|^{r-1}|e_2|, \dots, |e_2|^r$ . The only differential is  $d_1$ , generated by

$$d_1(\Sigma^{4(k-j-1)} e_1 e_2 |T|) = \Sigma^{4(k-j)} 1$$

and the unstable differentials of (6.15) and (6.16). Thus one has directly (compare (6.19) for the last term) that

$$\begin{aligned} E^2 &= \prod_{i=2}^k \Sigma^{4(i-1)} \tilde{H}_*(T; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[|e_1|, |e_2|]_{k-i} \oplus \Sigma^{4(i-1)} |T|(1, e_1, e_2) \mathbb{Q}[|e_1|, |e_2|]_{k-i-1} \\ &\quad \oplus \mathbb{Q}\{e_2 |e_1|^{k-1}, e_2 |e_1|^{k-2} |e_2|, \dots, e_2 |e_1| |e_2|^{k-2}\} \\ &\quad \oplus |T|(1, e_1, e_2) \mathbb{Q}[|e_1|, |e_2|]_{k-2} \\ &= E^\infty \end{aligned}$$

In the remaining case where  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  has characteristic  $p$  an odd prime, as explained in (6.6), (6.7) we can write the  $E_1$ -term as

$$\prod_{i+j=k} \Sigma^{4(i-1)} H^*(T; \mathbb{F}) \otimes \sum_{l=0}^j \Sigma^{4l} \mathcal{D}_j^*(p) \otimes \mathbb{F}[b_1, b_2]_{j-l}$$

where  $\mathcal{D}_j^* = 0$  if  $j \neq pk$  or  $pk + 1$  and with the usual special considerations at the tail end of the filtration. Incidentally, the case  $j = pk + 1$  implies that  $\Sigma^{4j} \mathcal{D}_j^* = |M| \Sigma^{4(j-1)} \mathcal{D}_{j-1}^*$ . The differential is as before and we have that in the stable range

$$\begin{aligned} E^2 &= \prod_{i=0}^k \Sigma^{4(i+j-1)} \tilde{H}_*(T; \mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{D}_j^* \otimes \mathbb{F}[b_1, b_2]_{k-i-j} \oplus \Sigma^{4(i+j-2)} |T| \mathbb{F}[b_1, b_2]_{k-i-j-1} \\ &= E^\infty \end{aligned}$$

In each case the homology injects into  $H_*(\text{Map}_k^*(T, \mathbf{P}^1); \mathbb{F})$ . Thus the geometric interpretation of each of the homology and cohomology classes in  $H_*(\text{Hol}_k^*(T, \mathbb{P}^1); \mathbf{F})$  can be regarded as the same as that for the corresponding class in the mapping space.

For example with rational coefficients we have the following corollary to 9.3 and 9.1

**Lemma 24.** *Let  $I = (|e_1|, |e_2|)$  be the augmentation ideal in  $\mathbb{Q}[|e_1|, |e_2|]$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} H_*(\text{Hol}_k^*(T, \mathbb{P}^1); \mathbb{Q}) &\cong \mathbb{Q}(1, e_1, e_2, h_{2,1}, h_{2,2}, v_3) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[|e_1|, |e_2|]/I^{k-1} \\ &\quad \oplus \mathbb{Q}\{e_2|e_1|^{k-1}, \dots, e_2|e_1||e_2|^{k-2}\} \end{aligned}$$

In particular the Poincaré series for  $H_*(\text{Hol}_k^*(T, \mathbb{P}^1); \mathbb{Q})$  is

$$(1 + 2x + 2x^2 + x^3)(1 + 2x^2 + 3x^4 + \dots + (k-1)x^{2(k-2)}) + (k-2)x^{2(k-1)-1}$$

Note how this compares with the corresponding Poincaré series for the mapping space  $H_*(\text{Map}^*(T, \mathbb{P}^1); \mathbb{Q})$ :

$$\frac{(1 + 2x + 2x^2 + x^3)}{(1 - x^2)^2}$$

The case  $n \geq 2$ . Any component in the mapping space is given as the total space of the Serre fibration

$$\Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \rightarrow E \rightarrow (S^1 \times \Omega S^{2n+1})^2$$

with

$$H_*(\text{Map}^*(T, \mathbb{P}^n); \mathbb{F}) = H_*(\Omega^2 S^{2n+1}; \mathbb{F}) \otimes H_*(T; \mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathbb{F}[g_{2n}, g'_{2n}]$$

Consequently, with  $\mathbb{Q}$  as coefficients the Poincaré series for the mapping space has the form

$$\frac{(1+x)^2(1+x^{2n-1})}{(1-x^{2n})^2}$$

while for  $\text{Hol}_k^*(T, \mathbb{P}^n)$  we have

$$(1+x)^2(1+x^{2n-1})(1+2x^{2n}+3x^{4n}+\dots+(k-1)x^{(k-2)2n})+(k-2)x^{2(k-1)n-1}$$

**Remark 9.5:** Notice that in this case all the calculations were independent of the particular elliptic curve  $T$  we were studying, even though different  $T$  are not holomorphically isomorphic. In fact this is not unexpected since we have

**Theorem 25.** *Let  $T$  be any non-singular elliptic curve, then the homeomorphism type of  $\text{Hol}_k^*(T, \mathbb{P}^n)$  is independent of  $T$ .*

**PROOF:** Let  $T$  and  $T'$  be two given tori with corresponding lattices  $\Lambda$  and  $\Lambda' \subset \mathbf{C}$ . Then there is a map  $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda'$  which induces a homeomorphism

$$T = \mathbf{C}/\Lambda \xrightarrow{f} T' = \mathbf{C}/\Lambda'$$

and such that the following commutes for all  $n$ ;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{SP}^n(T) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{SP}^n(f)} & \mathrm{SP}^n(T') \\ \downarrow \mu & & \downarrow \mu \\ T & \xrightarrow{f} & T'. \end{array}$$

It follows therefore that  $E_{i,i,\dots,i} \cong E'_{i,i,\dots,i}$  where  $E_{i,i,\dots,i}$  (resp.  $E'_{i,i,\dots,i}$ ) is the pushout as in §3

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{i,i,\dots,i} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{SP}^i(T) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{SP}^i(T) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & T \times \cdots \times T \end{array}$$

(respectively,  $T$  is replaced by  $T'$ ). It is now clear that

$$\mathrm{Hol}_n^*(T, \mathbb{P}^n) \cong \tilde{E}_{i,\dots,i} - \mathrm{Image}(\nu) \cong \tilde{E}'_{i,\dots,i} - \mathrm{Image}(\nu) \cong \mathrm{Hol}_n^*(T, \mathbb{P}^n)$$

and the proof is complete.  $\blacksquare$

## 11. THE DECOMPOSITION FOR HYPERELLIPTIC CURVES

The surface  $C_g$  is hyperelliptic if and only if there is a degree two (branched) holomorphic map  $f: C_g \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ . From the point of view of the Abel-Jacobi maps,  $C_g$  is hyperelliptic if and only if we have a cofibering of the form

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SP}^2(C_g) \longrightarrow \mathrm{SP}^2(C_g)/\mathbb{P}^1 = W_2 \subset J(C_g)$$

The holomorphic embedding  $\mathbb{P}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SP}^2(C_g)$  is now constructed by associating to  $z \in \mathbb{P}^1$  the pair  $f^{-1}(z) \in \mathrm{SP}^2(C_g)$  where the points are counted with multiplicity, i.e. the ramification points are counted twice. The image  $\tau \in J(C_g)$  of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  under  $\mu_2$  is called the hyperelliptic point of  $C_g$ .

**Lemma 26.** *Suppose that  $C_g$  is hyperelliptic and  $\tau \in J(C_g)$  is the hyperelliptic point. Let  $k \geq 1$  and  $t \leq \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$ . Then*

(a) *for  $k \leq g$ , the space  $W_k^t$  is  $\mu_{k-2t}(\mathrm{SP}^{k-2t}(C_g)) + t\tau$ ,*

(b) *for  $2g-1 > k > g$ ,  $t > k-g$ , we also have  $W_k^t = \mu_{k-2t}(\mathrm{SP}^{k-2t}(C_g)) + t\tau$ .*

PROOF: Note that  $\mathrm{SP}^r(\mathbb{P}^1) = \mathbb{P}^r$  so the pairing

$$\mathrm{SP}^{2l}(C_g) \times \mathrm{SP}^{k-2l}(C_g) \longrightarrow \mathrm{SP}^k(C_g)$$

induces an action

$$\nu: \mathbb{P}^l \times \mathrm{SP}^{k-2l}(C_g) \xrightarrow{=} \mathrm{SP}^l(\mathbb{P}^1) \times \mathrm{SP}^{k-2l}(C_g) \longrightarrow \mathrm{SP}^k(C_g)$$

and the image of  $\nu(\langle p_1, \dots, p_l \rangle, \langle m_1, \dots, m_{k-2l} \rangle)$  under the Abel-Jacobi map is  $l\tau + \mu_{k-2l}(\langle m_1, \dots, m_{k-2l} \rangle)$ . From this the fact that  $W_k^t$  is at least as large as asserted in (a) follows directly. The inclusion argument for (b) is a similar dimension check when we recall that generically  $\mu_{g+s}^{-1}(j) = \mathbb{P}^s$ , so we are checking for points with inverse image  $\mathbb{P}^t$  where  $t > s$  and  $\nu(\mathbb{P}^t \times \mathrm{SP}^{g+s-2t}(C_g)) \subset \mu_{g+s}^{-1}(W_{g+s}^t)$ .

The converse is given on page 13 of [ACGH] for  $k \leq g$  where it is pointed out that as a consequence of the geometric version of the Riemann-Roch theorem every  $\mathbb{P}^t$  inverse image under the Abel-Jacobi map for  $\mathrm{SP}^k(C_g)$  has the form  $\mu^{-1}(t\tau) + m_1 + \cdots + m_{k-2t}$  where no two of the  $m_i$ 's are conjugate in  $C_g$  under the hyperelliptic involution. To obtain the remaining part of the converse one uses the residual series isomorphism

$$W_k^t \cong W_{2g-2-k}^{g-k+t-1}, \quad D \leftrightarrow K - D$$

which holds for all curves  $C_g$ ,  $k \geq g$ .  $\blacksquare$

For later use we also specify an appropriate base point for the construction. Let  $* \in C_g$  be one of the ramification points of  $f: C_g \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ , then  $2\mu(*) = \tau$ , and we choose  $*$  as the base point in  $C_g$ . Also the base point of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  is  $\langle *, * \rangle$  and the inclusion  $f: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathrm{SP}^2(C_g)$  is based. With respect to this choice, the composite inclusion

$$\mathrm{SP}^k(C_g) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SP}^{k+1}(C_g) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SP}^{k+2}(C_g)$$

commutes with the  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -action. Moreover, in the actual construction of the Abel-Jacobi map  $\mu$  given at the beginning of section 2 we can take our base point in  $C_g$  to be  $*$ , so that  $\mu(*) = 0 \in J(C_g)$ , and the hyperelliptic point  $\tau = 0$  as well. This has the advantage that the inclusion  $W_k^t + \tau \subset W_{k+2}^{t+1}$  becomes simply  $W_k^t \subset W_{k+1}^{t+1}$ .

## 12. SOME PRELIMINARY CONSTRUCTIONS

Let  $V$  be a space with a commutative, associative multiplication. For example

$$V = SP^\infty(X, *), \quad V = \coprod_1^\infty SP^n(X), \quad V = \coprod_1^\infty SP^i(X) \times SP^i(X),$$

etc. If  $f: X \rightarrow V$  is any continuous map then  $f$  defines an action

$$\mu_f: \coprod SP^k(X) \times V \longrightarrow V$$

by  $\mu_f(\langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle, v) = f(x_1)f(x_2) \cdots f(x_k)v$ .

Suppose now that  $V, f_1: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow V$  and  $V', f_2: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow V'$  are given with  $V, V'$  as above. Then we can form the bicomplex

$$B(f_1, f_2) := V \times_{f_1} \left( \coprod_k SP^k(I \times \mathbb{P}^1) \right) \times_{f_2} V'$$

defined by the equivalence relation built from the basic relations

$$\begin{aligned} (v, \langle (t_1, p_1), \dots, (t_k, p_k) \rangle, v') &\sim \\ &\begin{cases} (v_1 \cdot f_1(p_i), \langle (t_1, p_1), \dots, \widehat{(t_i, p_i)}, \dots, (t_k, p_k) \rangle, v') & \text{if } t_i = 0, \\ (v_1, \langle (t_1, p_1), \dots, \widehat{(t_i, p_i)}, \dots, (t_k, p_k) \rangle, f_2(p_i) \cdot v') & \text{if } t_i = 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

**Remark:** This is just the 2-sided bar construction which is associated to the homological functor  $Tor_A(M_{A,A} N)$ . But here, left and right modules are equivalent since  $A$  is commutative.

**Remark:** This is an unbased construction. If  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are based maps with  $f_i(*) = id, i = 1, 2$ , then there is an associated reduced construction,  $\tilde{B}(f_1, f_2)$ . Also, the fact that we are using  $\mathbb{P}^1$  plays no real role in the definition. It is just there because that is all we use in the applications of this construction to our study of  $Hol_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n)$  for  $C_g$  hyperelliptic.

**Example:** Suppose that  $V = \coprod SP^k(X)$  and  $f_1: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow SP^i(X)$ , then write

$$V_j = \coprod_{k=0}^\infty SP^{j+ik}(X), \quad 0 \leq j < i$$

so we have separate actions on each  $V_j$ .

**Example 11.5:** Suppose  $f_2: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow *$  where  $*$  has the obvious commutative and associative action. Then

$$B(f_1, f_2) = V \times_{f_1} \coprod SP^n(I \times \mathbb{P}^1) \times_{f_2} * \simeq V \times_{f_1} \coprod SP^n(c\mathbb{P}^1)$$

is a space we have seen many times before.

**Example 11.6:**  $\Delta_{n+1}: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)^{n+1}$  induces an action on  $\left( \coprod SP^k(\mathbb{P}^1) \right)^{n+1}$  which restricts, in a manner similar to that in example (1) to an action on

$$\mathcal{E}_n(i_1, \dots, i_{n+1}) = \coprod_{k=0}^\infty SP^{i_1+k}(\mathbb{P}^1) \times \cdots \times SP^{i_{n+1}+k}(\mathbb{P}^1)$$

for each  $(n+1)$ -tuple  $(i_1, \dots, i_{n+1})$  of non-negative integers with at least one of the  $i_j = 0$ .

13. MODELS FOR THE SPACES  $E_{i,\dots,i}$ ,  $LE_{i,\dots,i}$  AND  $W_k^t$  WHEN  $C_g$  IS HYPERELLIPTIC

We specialize to

$$BH(f, n) = \left( \coprod_m \mathrm{SP}^m(C_g) \right) \times_f \left( \coprod_m \mathrm{SP}^m(I \times \mathbb{P}^1) \right) \times_{f_2} \mathcal{E}_n(0, 0, \dots, 0)$$

where  $f: \mathbb{P}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SP}^2(C_g)$  embeds  $\mathbb{P}^1$  as the inverse image under the Abel-Jacobi map of the hyperelliptic point, 0, for some hyperelliptic structure on  $C_g$  and  $f_2$  is the structure map  $\Delta_{n+1}$  of (11.6).

This construction specializes, as in (11.5), to give two disjoint subspaces,

$$\begin{aligned} 12.3 \quad BH_0(f, n) &= V_0 \times_f \left( \coprod_m \mathrm{SP}^m(I \times \mathbb{P}^1) \right) \times_{f_2} \mathcal{E}_n(0, \dots, 0) \\ BH_1(f, n) &= V_1 \times_f \left( \coprod_m \mathrm{SP}^m(I \times \mathbb{P}^1) \right) \times_{f_2} \mathcal{E}_n(0, \dots, 0) \end{aligned}$$

where  $V_0 = \coprod \mathrm{SP}^{2k}(C_g)$  and  $V_1 = \coprod \mathrm{SP}^{2k+1}(C_g)$ . Each  $BH_\epsilon(f, n)$  breaks up into further components as follows. We define a grading by letting

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (m_1, \dots, m_l), \langle (t_1, p_1), \dots, (t_r, p_r) \rangle, (w_{s,1}, \dots, w_{s,n+1}) \rangle \\ \in \mathrm{SP}^l(C_g) \times \mathrm{SP}^r(I \times \mathbb{P}^1) \times \prod_1^{n+1} \mathrm{SP}^s(\mathbb{P}^1) \end{aligned}$$

have grading degree  $l + 2r + 2s$ . This grading is obviously preserved by the identifications and hence induces the desired decomposition of the quotient  $BH_\epsilon(f)$ . We write  $\mathcal{G}_s(f, n)$  for the component of points of grading degree  $s$ .

This is the general case, however, there is a special case  $BH_\epsilon(f, -1)$  which is also needed where  $V_2 \sim *$  consists of a single point. In this case the grading degree is *not preserved* by the identifications, and the grading only gives a *filtration* of  $BH_\epsilon(f, -1)$ . We write  $\mathcal{F}_k(BH_\epsilon(f, -1))$  for the filtering subspace consisting of all points of grading degree  $\leq k$ . Moreover, in this case the identification has the form  $(v, (t, x), *) \sim (vf(x), *)$  when  $t = 0$  and  $(v, (t, x), *) \sim (v, *)$  when  $t = 1$ .

**Lemma 27.** *We have the following homotopy equivalences in the case where  $C_g$  is hyperelliptic.*

- (a)  $\mathcal{G}_k(BH_\epsilon(f, n)) \simeq E_{k,\dots,k}$  for  $k \equiv \epsilon \pmod{2}$  provided  $k \leq g$
- (b)  $\mathcal{F}_k(BH_\epsilon(f, -1)) \simeq W_k$ ,  $k \equiv \epsilon \pmod{2}$  and  $k < g$ .

These constructions are modeled on the analogous constructions in [M2] and the proofs are the same. The point of these constructions is to handle the algebraic complexities of systematically collapsing out  $\mathbb{P}^n$ 's. As one sees from the lemma, the effect is to introduce  $\mathrm{SP}^n(\Sigma\mathbb{P}^1)$ 's which provide a measure of the geometry of the collapsed spaces as the collapsing gets more and more complex.

14. SOME SPECTRAL SEQUENCES FOR THE  $BH_\epsilon(f, n)$ 

We can bifilter  $\mathcal{G}_k(BH_\epsilon(f, n))$  and  $\mathcal{F}_k(BH_\epsilon(f, -1))$  by the number of  $\mathrm{SP}^t(I \times \mathbb{P}^1)$  terms appearing, obtaining spectral sequences converging to the various spaces above as well as certain useful quotients. The  $E^1$ -terms are as follows:

$$\coprod_{k+2l+2t=v} H_*(\mathrm{SP}^k(C_g)) \otimes H_*(\mathrm{SP}^l(\Sigma\mathbb{P}_+^1), \mathrm{SP}^{l-1}(\Sigma\mathbb{P}_+^1)) \otimes (H_*(\mathbb{P}^t))^{n+1}$$

converging to  $H_*(E_{v,\dots,v})$  with field coefficients and  $v < g$ ,

$$\coprod_{k+2l \leq v} H_*(\mathrm{SP}^k(C_g)) \otimes H_*(\mathrm{SP}^l(\Sigma\mathbb{P}_+^1), \mathrm{SP}^{l-1}(\Sigma\mathbb{P}_+^1))$$

with  $k \equiv v \pmod{2}$  converging to  $H_*(W_v)$  for  $v < g$ , and

$$\coprod_{k+2l=v} H_*(\mathrm{SP}^k(C_g)) \otimes H_*(\mathrm{SP}^l(\Sigma\mathbb{P}_+^1), \mathrm{SP}^{l-1}(\Sigma\mathbb{P}_+^1))$$

converging to  $H_*(W_v/W_v^1)$ . (The notation  $\Sigma\mathbb{P}_+^1$  means the reduced suspension on the union of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  with a disjoint base point denoted  $+$ , it has the homotopy type of the wedge  $\Sigma(\mathbb{P}^1) \vee S^1$ .)

If we relativize we obtain a spectral sequence with  $E^1$ -term

$$\prod_{k+2(l+t)=v} H_*(\mathrm{SP}^k(C_g), \mathrm{SP}^{k-1}(C_g)) \otimes H_*(\mathrm{SP}^l(\Sigma\mathbb{P}_+^1), \mathrm{SP}^{l-1}(\mathbb{P}_+^1)) \otimes \tilde{H}_*(S^{2(n+1)t}) \\ \implies \tilde{H}_*(LE_{v,\dots,v}).$$

All these spectral sequences are algebraic in the sense of [K1], and can be modeled by simply replacing the terms  $H_*(\mathrm{SP}^l(\Sigma\mathbb{P}^1))$  by the cobar construction on

$$H_*\left(\prod \mathrm{SP}^l(\mathbb{P}_+^1)\right) = \mathbb{Z}[t] \otimes \Gamma(b)$$

In particular, the relative spectral sequence splits as a direct sum of spectral sequences each of the form

$$\left[ \prod_{k+2l=v-2t} H_*(\mathrm{SP}^k(C_g), \mathrm{SP}^{k-1}(C_g)) \otimes H_*(\mathrm{SP}^l(\Sigma\mathbb{P}_+^1), \mathrm{SP}^{l-1}(\Sigma\mathbb{P}_+^1)) \right] \otimes \tilde{H}_*(S^{2t(n+1)}) \\ \implies \Sigma^{2t(n+1)} (H_*(W_{v-2t}/(W_{v-2t}^1 \cup W_{v-2t-1})))$$

for any  $n > 0$ .

In the case where the coefficients are the rationals these spectral sequences becomes quite simple. First  $H_*(\mathrm{SP}^\infty(\Sigma\mathbb{P}_+^1); \mathbb{Q}) = \Lambda(h_1, h_3)$ , and

$$H_*(\mathrm{SP}^i(\Sigma\mathbb{P}^1), \mathrm{SP}^{i-1}(\Sigma\mathbb{P}^1); \mathbb{Q}) = 0$$

for  $i \geq 3$ . Second, when we write

$$H_*(\mathrm{SP}^k(C_g), \mathrm{SP}^{k-1}(C_g); \mathbb{Z}) = \bigoplus_{s=0}^k \Lambda_s(e_1, \dots, e_{2g}) \otimes [C_g]^{k-s},$$

for  $k < g$ , we see that the action map

$$*[\mathbb{P}^1]: H_*(\mathrm{SP}^k(C_g), \mathrm{SP}^{k-1}(C_g); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{*+2}(\mathrm{SP}^{k+2}(C_g), \mathrm{SP}^{k-1}(C_g); \mathbb{Z})$$

is just multiplication by  $-\sum_{j=1}^g e_{2j-1}e_{2j}$  since

$$[\mathbb{P}^1] = [C_g] - \sum_1^g e_{2j-1}e_{2j}$$

in  $H_*(\mathrm{SP}^2(C_g); \mathbb{Z})$ . Third, from [BCM], this map is injective in homology for  $k \leq g-2$ . Thus we have

**Lemma 28.** For  $v \leq g$ ,  $H_*(LE_{v,\dots,v}; \mathbb{Q})$  is given by

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\lfloor v/2 \rfloor} \Sigma^{2t(n+1)} H_*(\mathrm{SP}^{v-2t}(C_g), \mathrm{SP}^{v-2t-1}(C_g); \mathbb{Q}) / \mathrm{im} \left( * \left( \sum_1^g e_{2j-1}e_{2j} \right) \right)$$

This has Poincaré series  $\sum_{t=0}^{\lfloor v/2 \rfloor} x^{2t(n+1)} S_{v-2t}$ , where  $S_r = \sum_{l \leq r} \left[ \binom{2g}{l} - \binom{2g}{l-1} \right] x^{2r-l}$ .

Similarly, we can analyze  $H_*(W_j; \mathbb{Q})$ . We have

**Lemma 29.** (a) The inclusion  $W_j \subset J(C_g)$  induces an injection in rational homology  $H_*(W_j; \mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow H_*(J(C_g); \mathbb{Q}) = \Lambda(e_1, \dots, e_{2g})$  with image the subvector space spanned by the subspaces

$$\{\Lambda_s(e_1, \dots, e_{2g})[C_g]^t \mid s+t \leq j\}$$

where  $[C_g] = \sum_1^g e_{2i-1}e_{2i}$  is the image of the fundamental class of  $C_g$  under the Abel-Jacobi map  $\mu_*$ , (b)  $H_*(W_{j-1}; \mathbb{Q})$  injects into  $H_*(W_j; \mathbb{Q})$  under the inclusion so the relative groups are given as

$$H_*(W_j, W_{j-1}; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_*(W_j; \mathbb{Q}) / H_*(W_{j-1}; \mathbb{Q})$$

PROOF: We have that the  $E^1$ -term of the spectral sequence above is given as

$$\begin{aligned} H_*(\mathrm{SP}^j(C_g); \mathbb{Q}) &\oplus H_*(\mathrm{SP}^{j-2}(C_g); \mathbb{Q})(1, h_1, h_3) \\ &\oplus \sum_{2 \leq 2l \leq j} H_*(\mathrm{SP}^{j-2l}(C_g); \mathbb{Q})(1, h_1, h_3, h_1 h_3) \end{aligned}$$

$d_1(\Theta h_1) = i_*(\Theta) - \Theta$  where  $\Theta \in H_*(\mathrm{SP}^{j-2l}(C_g))$  and  $i: \mathrm{SP}^{j-2l}(C_g) \rightarrow \mathrm{SP}^{j-2l+2}(C_g)$  is a base point inclusion. Similarly  $d_1(\Theta h_1 h_3) = d_1(\Theta h_1) h_3 \pm (\Theta h_1) d_1(h_3)$  where, of course, this last term is just multiplication by  $[C_g] - \sum e_{2i-1} e_{2i}$ . Ignoring the last piece one sees that the  $d_1$ -differential on  $h_1$  reduces the calculation of  $E^2$  to the following complex:

$$H_*(\mathrm{SP}^{j-2}(C_g); \mathbb{Q}) h_3 \longrightarrow H_*(\mathrm{SP}^j(C_g); \mathbb{Q}), \quad \Theta h_3 \mapsto \Theta([C_g] - \sum_1^g e_{2i-1} e_{2i})$$

from which the first statement of the lemma follows. The second statement is then immediate.  $\blacksquare$

**The remaining groups  $H_*(LE_{v, \dots, v}; \mathbb{Q})$  in the hyperelliptic case.** It is convenient to write  $v = g + s$ ,  $1 \leq s < g - 1$  when  $v > g$ . In this case we have that  $J(C_g)$  is filtered by  $W_0 \subset W_1 \subset \dots \subset W_{g-1} \subset J(C_g)$ , and over points in  $W_{g-s-2l} - W_{g-s-2l-2}$  the inverse image in  $E_{v, \dots, v}$  is  $(\mathbb{P}^{s+l})^{n+1}$ , while the inverse image over  $J(C_g) - W_{g-s-2}$  is  $(\mathbb{P}^s)^{n+1}$ . Thus, we obtain a spectral sequence with  $E^1$ -term

$$\begin{aligned} H_*(J(C_g), W_{g-s-2}) &\otimes (H_*(\mathbb{P}^s))^{n+1} \oplus \sum_{l=1}^{[g-s/2]} H_*(W_{g-s-2l}, W_{g-s-2l-2}) \otimes (H_*(\mathbb{P}^{s+l}))^{n+1} \\ &\implies H_*(E_{g+s, \dots, g+s}) \end{aligned}$$

with field coefficients.

Similarly, the corresponding  $E^1$ -term for  $H_*(LE_{g+s, \dots, g+s})$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} H_*(J(C_g), W_{g-s-1}) &\otimes (H_*(\mathbb{P}^s, \mathbb{P}^{s-1}))^{n+1} \\ &\oplus \sum_{l=1}^{[g-s/2]} H_*(W_{g-s-2l}, W_{g-s-2l-1}) \otimes (H_*(\mathbb{P}^{s+l}, \mathbb{P}^{s+l-1}))^{n+1} \\ &\implies H_*(LE_{g+s, \dots, g+s}). \end{aligned}$$

Note here that in the formula above the term involving the relative groups

$$H_*(W_{g-s-2l-1}, W_{g-s-2l-2})$$

is zero. That is because, in this region of  $J(C_g)$  and this range for  $v$  the inverse image of a point is  $\mathbb{P}^{s+l}$  in both  $E_{g+s, \dots, g+s}$  and  $E_{g+s-1, \dots, g+s-1}$ .

Again, because of this gap the spectral sequence collapses and  $E^1 = E^\infty$ . Of course the calculations become considerably more complex with  $\mathbb{F}_p$  coefficients.

## 15. THE $Ext$ -GROUPS FOR $\Lambda_g/S_g$

By inspection, in the spectral sequence of (5.9) we find that the  $d_1$ -differential gives rise to a series of complexes that occur in the calculation of  $Ext$ -groups for the ring  $V_g$  defined below. In this section we calculate these  $Ext$ -groups and apply the results in section 16 to determine the structure of the rational homology of the space  $Hol_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n)$  with  $C_g$  hyperelliptic and  $n \geq 2$ .

**Notation 14.1:**

- (1)  $\Lambda_g = \Lambda(e_1, \dots, e_{2g}) = H_*(J(C_g), \mathbb{Q})$ ,
- (2)  $f_g = \sum_{i=1}^g e_{2i-1} e_{2i} \in \Lambda_g$ ,
- (3)  $S_g \subset \Lambda_g$  is the ideal  $(f_g)$ , and
- (4)  $\Lambda_g/S_g = V_g$  is the quotient.



$V_g$  occurs quite often in both our study of  $\text{Hol}_d^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n)$  and  $\text{Map}_d^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n)$ . In particular we need the groups  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda_g}^{*,*}(V_g, \mathbb{Q})$  which we will describe as modules over  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda_g}^{*,*}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[h_1, \dots, h_{2g}]$  where  $h_i = |e_i|^*$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2g$ .

In [BCM] it is shown that

$$*f_g : (\Lambda_g)_i \longrightarrow (\Lambda_g)_{i+2}$$

is injective for  $i \leq g-1$  and surjective for  $i \geq g-1$ . Consequently,  $(V_g)_i = 0$  for  $i > g$  while  $(V_g)_i = \mathbb{Q}^{N(g,i)}$  for  $0 \leq i < g$  where

$$N(g, i) = \begin{cases} \binom{2g}{i} - \binom{2g}{i-2} & \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq g, \\ 2g & \text{for } i = 1, \\ 1 & \text{for } i = 0. \end{cases}$$

As a special case note that

$$V_1 = \Lambda_1 / \{e_1 e_2\},$$

so there is a short exact sequence of  $\Lambda_1$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{e_1 e_2} \Lambda_1 \longrightarrow V_1 \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $\mathbb{Q}$  denotes the ‘‘trivial’’ module given by the augmentation map  $\epsilon: \Lambda_g \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\epsilon(e_i) = 0$ ,  $\epsilon(1) = 1$ . This exact sequence gives rise to the long exact sequence of  $\text{Ext}$ -groups:

$$\dots \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda_1}^{i-1, j-2}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \text{Ext}_{\Lambda_1}^{i, j}(V_1, \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda_1}^{i, j}(\Lambda_1, \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda_1}^{i, j}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \dots$$

and since  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda_1}^{i, j}(\Lambda_1, \mathbb{Q}) = \begin{cases} 0 & (i, j) \neq (0, 0), \\ \mathbb{Q} & (i, j) = (0, 0), \end{cases}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{\Lambda_1}^{*,*}(V_1, \mathbb{Q}) &= \mathbb{Q}e_{0,0} \oplus \text{Ext}_{\Lambda_1}^{*,*}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q})P_{1,2} \\ &= \mathbb{Q}e_{0,0} \oplus \mathbb{Q}[h_1, h_2]P_{1,2} \end{aligned}$$

where  $P_{1,2}$  is a generating element in  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda_1}^{1,2}(V_1, \mathbb{Q})$ .

Before we can describe the structure of the modules  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda_g}^{*,*}(V_g, \mathbb{Q})$  for  $g > 1$  we need some preliminary constructions.

First, we will often be dealing with a situation where we have a ring  $R_{i+1} = R_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} R_1$  given as the tensor product of augmented rings, together with a module,  $M$ , over  $R_i$ .  $M$  becomes a module over  $R_{i+1}$  via the following obvious composition

$$(R_i \otimes R_1) \otimes M \xrightarrow{id \otimes id} (R_i \otimes R_1) \otimes (M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes T \otimes 1} (R_i \otimes M) \otimes (R_1 \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\mu_i \otimes \epsilon} M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q} = M$$

Then, by change of rings,

$$\text{Ext}_{R_{i+1}}(M, \mathbb{Q}) = \text{Ext}_{R_i}(M, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes \text{Ext}_{R_1}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Second, we will need to consider modules of the following kind: given a module  $M$  over the ring  $R$  and an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow K \hookrightarrow (R)^N \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

then  $K$  is written  $\Omega M$  and is unique up to direct sum with a free  $R$ -module. The following result is well known.

**Lemma 30.**  $\text{Ext}_R^{i, j}(\Omega M, N) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{i+1, j}(M, N)$  for all  $i \geq 1$ , and

$$\text{Ext}_R^{0, *}(\Omega M, N) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{1, *}(M, N)$$

is surjective for all  $R$ -modules  $N$ .

We say  $\Omega M$  is *minimal* if the natural map  $Ext_R^{0,*}(\Omega M, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow Ext_R^{1,*}(M, \mathbb{Q})$  is an isomorphism as well. Of course,  $\Omega M$  is minimal if and only if the map  $R^N \rightarrow M$  induces isomorphisms of  $Ext^{0,*}(\cdot, \mathbb{Q})$ -groups.

Consider the following module:

$$14.4 \quad M_1 = I \subset \mathbb{Q}[h_1, h_2]$$

is the augmentation ideal,  $I = (h_1, h_2)$ . Note that  $M_1 = \Omega \mathbb{Q}$  and is even minimal for  $R = \mathbb{Q}[h_1, h_2]$ , so

$$Ext_{\mathbb{Q}[h_1, h_2]}^{i,j}(M_1, \mathbb{Q}) = Ext_{\mathbb{Q}[h_1, h_2]}^{i+1,j}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q})$$

for all  $j$  and  $i \geq 0$ . Note that  $Ext_{\mathbb{Q}[h_1, h_2]}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}) = \Lambda(|h_1|^*, |h_2|^*)$  so

$$Ext_{\mathbb{Q}[h_1, h_2]}^{i,j}(M_1, \mathbb{Q}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}\{|h_1|^*, |h_2|^*\} & \text{if } (i, j) = (0, 2), \\ \mathbb{Q}\{|h_1|^* |h_2|^*\} & \text{if } (i, j) = (1, 4) \end{cases}$$

It follows that a minimal resolution for  $M_1$  over  $\mathbb{Q}[h_1, h_2]$  has the form

$$\mathbb{Q}[h_1, h_2]h_{1,2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}[h_1, h_2]\{|h_1|, |h_2|\} \longrightarrow M_1 \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $[h_i] \mapsto h_i$  and  $h_{1,2} \mapsto h_1[h_2] - h_2[h_1]$ .

Next we consider  $M_1$  as a module over  $\mathbb{Q}[h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4] = \mathbb{Q}[h_1, h_2] \otimes \mathbb{Q}[h_3, h_4]$  as above and define  $M_2$  as the kernel of the surjective homomorphism

$$\pi_2: \mathbb{Q}[h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4]\{b_1, b_2\} \longrightarrow M_1 \longrightarrow 0,$$

$\pi_2(b_i) = h_i$ . Clearly  $M_2 = \Omega M_1$  as a module over  $\mathbb{Q}[h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4]$  and is minimal as well. Consequently we have

$$\begin{aligned} Ext_{\mathbb{Q}[h_1, \dots, h_4]}^{0,*}(M_2, \mathbb{Q}) &= Ext_{\mathbb{Q}[h_1, \dots, h_4]}^{1,*}(M_1, \mathbb{Q}) \\ &= Ext_{\mathbb{Q}[h_1, h_2]}^{2,*}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus Ext_{\mathbb{Q}[h_1, h_2]}^{1,*}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes Ext_{\mathbb{Q}[h_3, h_4]}^{1,*}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}) \\ &= \mathbb{Q}^5 \end{aligned}$$

with generators  $|h_1|^* |h_2|^*$ ,  $|h_1|^* |h_3|^*$ ,  $|h_1|^* |h_4|^*$ ,  $|h_2|^* |h_3|^*$ ,  $|h_2|^* |h_4|^*$ . Likewise,

$$\begin{aligned} Ext^1(M_2, \mathbb{Q}) &= Ext^2(M_1, \mathbb{Q}) \\ &\cong Ext_{\mathbb{Q}[h_1, h_2]}^2(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes Ext_{\mathbb{Q}[h_3, h_4]}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}) \\ &\quad \oplus Ext_{\mathbb{Q}[h_1, h_2]}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes Ext_{\mathbb{Q}[h_3, h_4]}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}) \\ &= \mathbb{Q}^4. \end{aligned}$$

To obtain  $M_3$  regard  $M_2$  as a module over  $\mathbb{Q}[h_1, \dots, h_6] = R_3$  and define  $M_3 = \Omega M_2$ , the kernel in the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M_3 \hookrightarrow R_3^5 \longrightarrow M_2 \longrightarrow 0$$

where the map to  $M_2$  takes the basis for  $R_3^5$  to the five generators of  $M_2$  corresponding to the description above.  $M_3$  is again minimal.

In general  $M_n$  is given as a module over  $R_n = \mathbb{Q}[h_1, \dots, h_{2n}]$ , indeed is a submodule of a free  $R_n$ -module, and  $M_{n+1}$  is  $\Omega M_n$  where  $M_n$  is now regarded as a module over  $R_{n+1} = R_n \otimes \mathbb{Q}[h_{2n+1}, h_{2n+2}]$ .

Note that for  $M_1$  we have  $Ext^0(M_1, \mathbb{Q}) = Ext^{0,2}(M_1, \mathbb{Q})$  and for  $M_2$ ,  $Ext^0(M_2, \mathbb{Q}) = Ext^{0,4}(M_2, \mathbb{Q})$ . In other words, for these modules  $Ext^0$  is concentrated in a single bidegree. Also, note that  $Ext_{R_n}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}) = \Lambda(|h_1|^*, \dots, |h_{2n}|^*)$  has each generator  $|h_i|^*$  occurring in bidegree  $(1, 2)$  so all the elements in  $Ext^i$  occur in a single bidegree  $(i, 2i)$  here as well. In fact we have by a direct induction

**Lemma 31.** *The action map*

$$Ext_{R_n}^{*,*}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes Ext_{R_n}^{0,*}(M_n, \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow Ext_{R_n}^{i,j}(M_n, \mathbb{Q})$$

is surjective in all degrees and  $Ext_{R_n}^{0,j}(M_n, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$  unless  $j = 2n$ . Consequently,

$$Ext_{R_n}^{i,j}(M_n, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$$

unless  $j = 2n + 2i$ .

As an immediate corollary we see that the  $M_i$ , each being minimal, are all unique, since, in as much as all the generators of  $M_i$  occur in the same degree the map of the minimal free module onto  $M_i$  is well defined up to an isomorphism, hence the same is true of the kernel,  $M_{i+1}$ . With this background discussion complete we are able to state our main result.

**Theorem 32.** *Suppose  $g \geq 2$ . Then*

$$\text{Ext}_{\Lambda_g}^{i,j}(V_g, \mathbb{Q}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & \text{if } (i, j) = (0, 0), \\ \mathbb{Q} & \text{if } (i, j) = (1, 2), \\ (M_g)_{i-2, j+i-4} & \text{if } i \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

In particular, as a module over  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda_g}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q})$

$$\text{Ext}_{\Lambda_g}(V_g, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q} \oplus M_g$$

where the first generator gives  $\text{Ext}^{0,0}$ , the second gives  $\text{Ext}^{1,2}$  and the rest is shifted by  $(2, -i + 4)$ .

**Example:** We have

$$\text{Ext}_{\Lambda_2}(V_2, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}e_{0,0} \oplus \mathbb{Q}P_{1,2} \oplus \mathbb{Q}[h_1, \dots, h_4]\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_5\}/\mathcal{R}$$

where  $b_i \in \text{Ext}_{\Lambda_2}^{2,4}(V_2, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  is the set of four relations

$$\mathcal{R} = \{h_5b_1, h_6b_1, h_5b_3 - h_6b_2, h_5b_5 - h_6b_4\}$$

PROOF: A resolution of  $V_g$  over  $\Lambda_g$  starts in the following way

$$0 \longrightarrow K_g \hookrightarrow \Lambda_g P_{1,2} \xrightarrow{*f_g} \Lambda_g e_{0,0} \longrightarrow V_g \longrightarrow 0$$

and we have already seen that  $(K_g)_i = 0$  for  $i \leq g + 1$ . Thus it follows that

$$\text{Ext}_{\Lambda_g}^{r,s}(V_g, \mathbb{Q}) = 0 \text{ whenever } r \geq 2 \text{ and } s \leq g + 1.$$

On the other hand, since  $K_g$  has  $N(g, g)$  generators in  $g + 2$ , it follows that

$$\text{Ext}_{\Lambda_g}^{2,g+2}(V_g, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}^{N(g,g)}$$

We now give an inductive analysis of the  $V_g$ . In particular we assume the theorem is true for  $V_{g-1}$  and begin by establishing a relation between  $V_g$  and  $V_{g-1}$ . Actually, we start with  $g = 1$  where the theorem is not quite true as stated, but  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda_1}(V_1, \mathbb{Q})$  is given as an extension

$$0 \longrightarrow M_1 \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda_1}(V_1, \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}e_{0,0} \oplus \mathbb{Q}P_{1,2} \longrightarrow 0$$

which turns out to be sufficient to start the induction. There is a surjection

$$\pi_g: V_g \longrightarrow V_{g-1}$$

induced by the projection  $p_g: \Lambda_g \longrightarrow \Lambda_{g-1}$ ,

$$p_g(e_i) = \begin{cases} e_i & \text{for } i \leq 2g - 2, \\ 0 & \text{for } i = 2g - 1 \text{ or } i = 2g, \end{cases}$$

which takes  $f_g$  to  $f_{g-1}$ . Let  $N_g$  be the kernel of  $\pi_g$  and  $\mathcal{K}_g$  be the kernel of  $p_g$ . Then we can write

$$\mathcal{K}_g \cong \Lambda_{g-1}\{e_{2g-1}, e_{2g}, e_{2g-1}e_{2g}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}_g/f_g\mathcal{K}_g = V_{g-1} \otimes \mathbb{Q}\{e_{2g-1}, e_{2g}, e_{2g-1}e_{2g}\}$$

as a module over  $\Lambda_g$ . However, the surjection

$$\bar{p}_g: \mathcal{K}_g/f_g\mathcal{K}_g \longrightarrow N_g \longrightarrow 0$$

is *not an isomorphism*. In fact we have

**Lemma 33.** *The kernel of  $\bar{p}_g$  is a direct sum of trivial modules over  $\Lambda_g$  concentrated in degree  $g + 1$ ,*

$$\text{Ker}(\bar{p}_g) = \text{Ker}(\bar{p}_g)_{g+1} \cong \mathbb{Q}^{N(g-1, g-1)}$$

as a module over  $\Lambda_g$ .

PROOF: For  $2 \leq i \leq g - 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Dim}(N_g)_i &= \left[ \binom{2g}{i} - \binom{2g}{i-2} \right] - \left[ \binom{2g-2}{i} - \binom{2g-2}{i-2} \right] \\ &= \left\{ 2 \binom{2g-2}{i-1} + \binom{2g-2}{i-2} \right\} - \left\{ 2 \binom{2g-2}{i-3} + \binom{2g-2}{i-4} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

using the expansion

$$\binom{r}{i} = \binom{r-2}{i} + 2 \binom{r-2}{i-1} + \binom{r-2}{i-2}$$

valid for  $r \geq i \geq 2$ . This shows that  $\bar{p}_g$  is an isomorphism in this range. Likewise,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Dim}(N_g)_g &= \binom{2g}{g} - \binom{2g}{g-2} \\ &= \binom{2g-2}{g} + 2 \binom{2g-2}{g-1} - 2 \binom{2g-2}{g-3} - \binom{2g-2}{g-4} \\ &= \left[ \binom{2g-2}{g-2} - \binom{2g-2}{g-4} \right] - 2 \left[ \binom{2g-2}{g-1} - \binom{2g-2}{g-3} \right], \end{aligned}$$

using the equality  $\binom{2g-2}{g} = \binom{2g-2}{g-2}$ , which gives the isomorphism in dimension  $g$ . However,  $(N_g)_{g+1} = 0$  while

$$\text{Dim}((\mathcal{K}_g/f_g\mathcal{K}_g)_{g+1}) = \text{Dim}((V_{g-1})_{g-1}) = N(g-1, g-1)$$

and the lemma follows since  $(\mathcal{K}_g/f_g\mathcal{K}_g)_t = 0$  for  $t \geq g + 2$ . ■

Consequently we have a short exact sequence of  $\Lambda_g$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{N(g-1, g-1)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_g/f_g\mathcal{K}_g \longrightarrow N_g \longrightarrow 0$$

and a long exact sequence of *Ext*-modules

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\delta} \text{Ext}_{\Lambda_g}(N_g, \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda_g}(\mathcal{K}_g, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{i^*} \prod_{i=1}^{N(g-1, g-1)} \text{Ext}_{\Lambda_g}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}) \chi_i \xrightarrow{\delta} \cdots$$

where the generator,  $\chi_i$  for each of the  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda_g}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q})$  terms occurs in bidegree  $(0, g + 1)$ . By change of rings we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{\Lambda_g}(\mathcal{K}_g, \mathbb{Q}) &\cong \text{Ext}_{\Lambda_{g-1}}(V_{g-1}, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus \text{Ext}_{\Lambda(e_{2g-1}, e_{2g})}(\{e_{2g-1}, e_{2g}, e_{2g-1}e_{2g}\}, \mathbb{Q}) \\ &= \text{Ext}_{\Lambda_{g-1}}(V_{g-1}, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[h_{2g-1}, h_{2g}](b_1, b_2)/\mathcal{R} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{R}$  is the relation  $h_{2g}b_1 - h_{2g-1}b_2 = 0$ . By our inductive assumption this implies that the generators of  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda_g}(\mathcal{K}_g, \mathbb{Q})$  as a module over  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda_g}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q})$  occur in bidegrees  $(0, 1)$ ,  $(1, 3)$ , and  $(2, g + 2)$ . But in these bidegrees  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda_g}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q})e_{0, g+1}$  is identically zero. It follows that the map  $i^*$  in (\*) is zero so

$$\delta: \prod_{i=1}^{N(g-1, g-1)} \text{Ext}_{\Lambda_g}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}) \chi_i \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda_g}(N_g, \mathbb{Q})$$

is an injection so

$$\text{Ext}_{\Lambda_g}(N_g, \mathbb{Q}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N(g-1, g-1)} \text{Ext}_{\Lambda_g}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}) e_{1, g+1}^i \oplus \text{Ext}_{\Lambda_g}(\mathcal{K}_g, \mathbb{Q})$$

as modules over  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda_g}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q})$  up to a possible extension problem.

The remainder of the proof is direct. The exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N_g \longrightarrow V_g \xrightarrow{\pi_g} V_{g-1} \longrightarrow 0$$

gives us the long exact sequence of *Ext*-groups

$$\cdots \text{Ext}_{\Lambda_g}^i(N_g, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \text{Ext}_{\Lambda_{g-1}}^{i+1}(V_{g-1}, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[h_{2g-1}, h_{2g}] \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda_g}(V_g, \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

The map  $\delta$  on the piece  $Ext_{\Lambda_g}(\mathcal{K}_g, \mathbb{Q})$  in  $Ext_{\Lambda_g}(N_g, \mathbb{Q})$  injects to  $Ext_{\Lambda_{g-1}}(V_{g-1}, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes I$  where  $I \subset \mathbb{Q}[h_{2g-1}, h_{2g}]$  is the augmentation ideal  $(h_{2g-1}, h_{2g})$ . The resulting quotient is  $Ext_{\Lambda_{g-1}}(V_{g-1}, \mathbb{Q})$  and so the calculation reduces to the determination of the map

$$\delta: \prod_{i=1}^{N(g-1, g-1)} Ext_{\Lambda_g}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbf{Q}) \chi_i \longrightarrow M_{g-1}$$

from the inductive assumption. However, we know  $Ext_{\Lambda_g}^{*,j}(V_g, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$  for  $* \geq 2$  and  $j \leq g+1$ . We also know that  $Ext_{\Lambda_{g-1}}^{2, g+1}(V_{g-1}, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}^{N(g-1, g-1)}$ , so it follows that  $\delta$  must give an isomorphism from  $(1, g+1)$  to  $(2, g+1)$ . Consequently, since  $M_{g-1}$  is generated over  $Ext_{\Lambda_{g-1}}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q})$  by the elements in this dimension it follows that  $\delta$  is surjective and the kernel is minimal, hence  $M_g$ , with the generators all occurring in bidegree  $(2, g+2)$ . The induction is complete.  $\blacksquare$

## 16. THE RATIONAL HOMOLOGY OF $HOL_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n)$ FOR $C_g$ HYPERELLIPTIC

We now use the results of section 11-section 15 to prove the following theorem

**Theorem 34.** *The map  $H_*(Hol_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n); \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_*(Map_k^*(C_g, \mathbb{P}^n); \mathbb{Q})$  is injective for  $k \geq 2g$  and  $n > 2$ .*

PROOF: Consider the subquotient of the  $E^1$  term in the spectral sequence of (5.9) converging to  $H_*(QE_{v, \dots, v}; \mathbb{Q})$  defined as the direct sum

$$\sum_{v=2s}^{g+s-1} H_*(W_{v-2s}, W_{v-2s-1}) \otimes \tilde{H}_*(S^{2s(n+1)}) \otimes H_*(SP^{k-v}(\Sigma C_g), SP^{k-v-1}(\Sigma C_g); \mathbb{Q})$$

for a given  $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  with the term

$$H_*(J(C_g), W_{g-s+1}) \otimes \tilde{H}_*(S^{2s(n+1)}) \otimes H_*(SP^{k-g-s}(\Sigma C_g), SP^{k-v-s-1}(\Sigma C_g); \mathbb{Q})$$

added but playing a special role. We have determined that

$$H_*(W_j, W_{j-1}) = \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor j/2 \rfloor} (V_g)_{j-2l} [M]^l$$

where  $[M] \sim \sum_1^g e_{2i-1} e_{2i}$  in  $H_*(J(C_g))$ . Also,

$$H_*(SP^k(\Sigma C_g), SP^{k-1}(\Sigma C_g)) = \mathbb{Q}[|e_1|, \dots, |e_{2g}|]_k \oplus \mathbb{Q}[|e_1|, \dots, |e_{2g}|]_{k-1} [C_g]$$

and the (sub-quotient)  $d_1$  differentials are given by  $d_1([C_g]) = [C_g]$ ,  $d_1(|e_i|) = e_i$  (including the map from the term  $H_*(W_{g-s+1}, W_{g-s}) \otimes \dots$  to the extreme term

$$H_*(J(C_g), W_{g-s}) \otimes \dots$$

If we first apply the differential to  $[C_g]$  we obtain the complex

$$\left\{ \sum_{v=2s}^{g+s-1} (V_g)_{v-2s} \otimes \mathbb{Q}[|e_1|, \dots, |e_{2g}|]_{k-v} \right\} \otimes \tilde{H}_*(S^{2s(n+1)}),$$

with differentials as specified as well as an appropriate quotient of the extreme term with differentials mapping to it from the  $v = g + s - 1$ -term in the sum above.

Note that the complex in brackets above is a piece of a direct summand,

$$\sum_{w=0}^{g-2} (V_g)_w \otimes \mathbb{Q}[|e_1|, \dots, |e_{2g}|]_{k-2s-w}$$

of the complex  $(V_g) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[|e_1|, \dots, |e_{2g}|]$  which has, as its homology the groups

$$Tor_*^{\Lambda_g}(\mathbb{Q}, V_g)$$

Here, the extreme term contains the next term in the resolution as a direct summand, but contains  $H_*(J(C_g), W_{g-s-1}) \otimes \dots$  as well as the complementary summand. On the other hand we have already

determined these *Tor*-groups in section 15 and have shown, in particular that  $Tor_{l,m}^{\Lambda_g}(\mathbb{Q}, V_g) = 0$  unless  $m = m(g, l)$  is

$$m(g, l) = \begin{cases} l + g & \text{if } l \geq 2, \\ 2 & \text{if } l = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } l = 0 \end{cases}$$

But, in the main part of the complex the  $m$ 's which appear are always less than  $m(g, l)$  so they contribute nothing by  $E^2$  while at  $E^2$  the term  $H_*(J(C_g), W_{g-s}) \otimes \cdots$  which is a surjective image of the stable term  $\tilde{H}(J(C_g)) \otimes \cdots$  contributes a quotient, consequently still a surjective image of the stable term, and the result follows for  $n > 2$  by the collapsing of the stable spectral sequence at  $E^2$ . ■

## REFERENCES

- [1] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P.A. Griffiths, J. Harris, *Geometry of Algebraic Curves Volume I*, Grundlehren **267**, Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- [2] C.-F. Bödigheimer, F.R. Cohen, R.J. Milgram, Truncated symmetric products and configuration spaces, *Math. Zeit.*, **214** (1993), 179-216.
- [3] C. Boyer, J. Hurtubise, B.M. Mann, R.J. Milgram, The topology of the space of rational maps into generalized flag manifolds, *Acta Math.*, **173** (1994), 61-101.
- [4] H. Cartan, *Seminaire Henri Cartan 1954-55*, exposés 2-11.
- [5] F.R. Cohen, R.L. Cohen, B.M. Mann, R.J. Milgram, The topology of rational functions and divisors of surfaces, *Acta Math.*, **166** (1991), 163-221.
- [6] A. Dold, Homology of symmetric products and other functors of complexes, *Ann. of Math.*, **68** (1958), 54-80.
- [7] A. Dold, R. Thom, Quasifaserungen und unendliche symmetrische Produkte, *Ann. of Math.*, **67** (1958), 239-281.
- [8] P. Griffiths, J. Harris, *Principles of Algebraic Geometry*, Wiley-Interscience, 1978.
- [9] M.A. Guest, The topology of the space of rational curves on a toric variety, *Acta Math.*, **174** (1995), 119-145.
- [10] R.C. Gunning, *Lectures on Riemann Surfaces: Jacobi Varieties*, Princeton U. Press, 1972.
- [11] J. Hurtubise, Holomorphic maps of a Riemann surface into a flag manifold, *J. Diff. Geom.* **1**, **43** (1996), 99-118.
- [12] S. Kallel, Divisor spaces on punctured Riemann surfaces, to appear in *transactions*.
- [13] S. Kallel, The topology of maps from a Riemann surface into complex projective space, *preprint*.
- [14] T. Kudo, A transgression theorem, *Mem. Fac. Sci., Kyusyu Univ. Ser. A*, **9** (1956), 79-81.
- [15] I.G. Macdonald, Symmetric products of an algebraic curve, *Topology* **1** (1962), 319-343.
- [16] A. Mattuck, Picard bundles, *Illinois J. Math.*, **5**(1961), 550-564.
- [17] B.M. Mann, R.J. Milgram, Some spaces of holomorphic maps to flag manifolds, *J. Diff. Geom.*, **33** (1991), 301-324.
- [18] B.M. Mann, R.J. Milgram, On the moduli space of  $SU(n)$  monopoles and holomorphic maps to flag manifolds, *J. Diff. Geom.*, **38** (1993), 39-103.
- [19] R.J. Milgram, The homology of symmetric products, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, **138** (1969), 251-265.
- [20] R.J. Milgram, The geometry of Toeplitz matrices, *Topology*, (to appear).
- [21] G. Segal, The topology of spaces of rational functions, *Acta Math.*, **143** (1979), 39-72.

Sadok Kallel

Centre de Recherches Mathématiques

Université de Montréal

C.P. 6128, Succursale centre-ville

Montréal, Quebec

R. James Milgram

Department of Mathematics, Stanford University

Stanford, CA 94305, U.S.A

THE FIRST AUTHOR HOLDS A POSTDOCTORAL FELLOWSHIP WITH CRM, MONTRÉAL

THE SECOND AUTHOR IS PARTIALLY SUPPORTED BY A GRANT FROM THE NSF AND A GRANT FROM THE CNRS