# Tensor decompositions à la Steinberg for representations of additive categories

Aurélien DJAMENT

CNRS, Laboratoire Paul Painlevé, Lille

30 April 2021

-∢ ⊒ ▶

Online talk for the second meeting of ANR AlMaRe http://massuyea.perso.math.cnrs.fr/meeting2.html

#### Report on a joint work with Antoine Touzé and Christine Vespa https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02103934

Original french version of these notes: https://math.univlille1.fr/~djament/expose\_AIMaRe\_avril2021.pdf

#### 1 Two theorems of Robert Steinberg

- 2 Representations of small categories
  - (Hom-)polynomial functors
  - Antipolynomial functors
- 3 Global decomposition à la Steinberg
- 4 Tensor decomposition of simple polynomial functors

#### 5 Strategy of the proof of the global decomposition theorem

### A first theorem due to R. Steinberg

#### Theorem (R. Steinberg)

Let  $n \geq 3$  be an integer. A finite-dimensional complex representation of the group  $\operatorname{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  is irreducible if and only if it is isomorphic to the tensor product of an irreducible representation factorising through the morphism  $\operatorname{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/i)$  induced by reduction modulo an integer i > 0 and of an irreducible polynomial representation (that is: whose action is given by polynomials in the coefficients of matrices) of  $\operatorname{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ . Moreover, two such irreducible representations are isomorphic if and only if their tensor decompositions are isomorphic.

### Steinberg tensor product Theorem

Let p be a prime number,  $q = p^r$  a power of p and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . One can associate to each p-restricted (that is: whose each part is repeated no more than p - 1 times) partition whose parts are  $\leq n - 1$  an irreducible representation of the algebraic group  $\mathrm{SL}_n$  in characteristic p. The representations of the finite groups  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  obtained by taking points over the field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  are called *elementary representations*.

(Another definition, in terms of functors, of elementary representations will be given later.)

### Steinberg tensor product Theorem (2)

#### Theorem (R. Steinberg)

A representation of the finite group  $SL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  over a field containing  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is irreducible if and only if it is isomorphic to a tensor product

$$M_0 \otimes M_1^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes M_{r-1}^{(r-1)}$$

where  $M_i$  are elementary representations and the exponent <sup>(i)</sup> denotes *i*-th iteration of Frobenius twist.

Moreover, two such representations are isomorphic if and only if their decompositions are isomorphic.

#### Functor categories

Let C be an (essentially) small category and K be a field. We denote by  $\mathcal{F}(C; K)$  the category of functors from C to K-vector spaces. One may think of it as the category of representations over K of C (which may be thought as a monoid with several objects).

The category  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C}; K)$  is a nice abelian category: it has enough projectives and injectives and arbitrary (co)limits. It is a Grothendieck category.

We will be interested with the case when the source category is *additive*. A fundamental instance is the category P(R) of finitely-generated projective left modules over a ring R.

### Non-additive representations of additive categories

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an (essentially) small additive category. One is often interested with the category Add( $\mathcal{A}$ ;  $\mathcal{K}$ ) of *additive* functors from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{K}$ -vector spaces, especially in representation theory, from Auslander's work. It is also a nice abelian category.

Add $(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{K})$  is a thick (that is: stable under subquotients and extensions) subcategory of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{K})$ , which is stable under all limits and colimits. Nevertheless,  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{K})$  is generally much harder to understand than Add $(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{K})$ .

For example, Add(P(R); K) is equivalent to the category of (K, R)-bimodules, but the structure of  $\mathcal{F}(P(R); K)$  remains widely unknown when R = K is a finite field.

#### An historical motivation

Let *i* and *n* be natural numbers and *V* an abelian group. The Eilenberg-MacLane space K(V, n) (that is: a pointed topological space whose homolopy is *V* in degree *n* and zero elsewhere) gives rise, by taking singular homology, to functors  $V \mapsto H_i(K(V, n); K)$  which one may see as objects of  $\mathcal{F}(Ab^{fg}; K)$  (where  $Ab^{fg}$  is the category of finitely generated abelian groups), which were studied by Eilenberg and MacLane during the 1950's.

One can say a lot of things about these functors, but it is very hard to given a complete description of them except when n and i are very small. These functors are generally not additive, but they have a fundamental property extending additivity, which was introduced by Eilenberg and MacLane: *polynomiality*.

#### Polynomial functions

One begins (following Eilenberg-MacLane) by defining polynomial functions between two abelian groups U and V. For  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , one defines the *d*-th *deviation* of a (set-)function  $f : U \to V$  as the function  $dev_d(f) : U^d \to V$  given by

$$\operatorname{dev}_d(f)(x_1,\ldots,x_d) := \sum_{I \subset \{1,\ldots,d\}} (-1)^{d - \operatorname{Card}(I)} f(x_I),$$

where

$$x_I := \sum_{i \in I} x_i.$$

One says that f is *polynomial* of degree  $\leq d$  if  $dev_{d+1}(f)$  is the zero function.

・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

### (Hom-)polynomial functors

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  be additive categories and  $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{E}$  be a functor. One says that F is Hom-*polynomial* if, for all objects x and y in  $\mathcal{A}$ , the function

$$F_{x,y}: \mathcal{A}(x,y) \to \mathcal{E}(F(x),F(y))$$

giving the effect of F on morphisms is polynomial.

If all functions  $F_{x,y}$  are polynomial of degree  $\leq d$ , one says that the functor F is *polynomial* of degree  $\leq d$ . (Only this last notion is classical.)

Hom-polynomial functors are generally much harder to study than polynomial functors. A Hom-polynomial functor of finite length is always polynomial.

### Example : elementary functors

For each  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , the *d*-th tensor power  $T^d : V \mapsto V^{\otimes d}$  (where the tensor product is taken over *K*) defines an endofunctor of *K*-vector spaces which is polynomial of degree *d*. The symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_d$  acts on  $T^d$ . One names *elementary functor* (over *K*) each endofunctor of *K*-vector spaces of the shape

$$\mathrm{Im}\,(\,T^d\otimes M)_{\mathfrak{S}_d}\to (\,T^d\otimes M)^{\mathfrak{S}_d}$$

(the map being the norm), where M a K-linear irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{S}_d$ . Such a functor is polynomial of degree d.

#### Example

The *d*-th exterior power  $\Lambda^d$  is an elementary functor of degree *d*.

### Ideals of an additive category

An *ideal* of an additive category  $\mathcal{A}$  is a subfunctor of  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{op}} \times \mathcal{A} \to \mathsf{Ab}.$ 

#### Example

If R is a ring, the ideals of the additive category P(R) identify with two-sided ideals of R (the ideal in the usual sense is obtained by evaluating the ideal in the categorical sense on (R, R)).

If  $\mathcal{I}$  is an ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ , one may build a category  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}$  with the same objects as  $\mathcal{A}$ , and morphisms  $(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I})(x, y) := \mathcal{A}(x, y)/\mathcal{I}(x, y)$ . One has a canonical additive functor  $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}$  which is identity on objects.

#### K-cotrivial ideals

An additive category A is called *K*-*trivial* if, for all objects x and y of A, the following conditions are fulfiled:

- the abelian group  $\mathcal{A}(x, y)$  is finite;
- e the tensor product A(x, y) ⊗<sub>Z</sub> K is zero (that is: the order of A(x, y) is invertible in K).

The second condition implies that the only Hom-polynomial functors of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{K})$  are constant functors.

An ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of an additive category  $\mathcal{A}$  is called *K*-*cotrivial* if the category  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}$  is *K*-trivial.

#### Antipolynomial functors

#### Definition

A functor F of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}; K)$  is called *antipolynomial* if there is a K-cotrivial ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that F factorises through the canonical functor  $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}$ .

Antipolynomial functors form a class of functors which may be thought as "orthogonal" to the one of (Hom-)polynomial functors.

# Global decomposition (general form)

One denotes by  $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{fd}}(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{K})$  the full subcategory of functors of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{K})$  whose values are finite-dimensional  $\mathcal{K}$ -vector spaces.

#### Theorem

Let F be a finitely-generated functor of  $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{fd}}(\mathcal{A}; K)$ . There is a functor B of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}; K)$ , unique up to isomorphism, such that:

- **()** *F* is isomorphic to the composite of the diagonal  $A \to A \times A$  and *B*;
- On the bifunctor B is Hom-polynomial with respect to the first variable: for each object x of A, the functor B(-,x) of F(A; K) is Hom-polynomial;
- Solution that B factorises through the canonical additive functor A × A → A × A/I (in particular, B is antipolynomial with respect to the second variable).

マヨン イラン イラン

## Global decomposition (case of simple functors)

#### Theorem

Let F be a simple functor of  $\mathcal{F}^{fd}(\mathcal{A}; K)$ . There is a functor B of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}; K)$ , unique up to isomorphism, such that:

- **§** *F* is isomorphic to the composite of the diagonal  $A \to A \times A$  and *B*;
- Ithe bifunctor B is polynomial with respect to the first variable;
- So there is a K-cotrivial ideal I of A such that B factorises through the canonical functor A × A → A × A/I.

Moreover, B is simple, and F and B have the same endomorphism (skew-)field.

Conversally, if B is a simple bifunctor fulfilling conditions 2 and 3 above, its precomposition by the diagonal of A is a simple functor of  $\mathcal{F}(A; K)$ .

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

### Case of a big enough field

#### Corollary

Let us assume that K contains all roots of unit. Then a functor of  $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{fd}}(\mathcal{A}; K)$  is simple if and only if it is isomorphic to the tensor product of a simple antipolynomial functor and of a simple polynomial functor. Moreover, the decomposition is unique up to isomorphism.

This corollary is similar to the first theorem of Steinberg that we mentioned; the proofs of both statements have numerous similarities. Nevertheless, their relations are not completely understood.

### Splitting field of an additive category

#### Definition

A field K is called a **splitting field** of the (essentially) small additive category A if the endomorphism (skew-)field of each simple functor with finite-dimensional values of Add(A; K) is reduced to K.

If R is a ring, K is a splitting field of the category P(R) if and only if it is a splitting field of the K-algabra  $R^{\text{op}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$  in the usual sense of representation theory.

#### Example

If K is algebraically closed, it is a splitting field of A.

< 回 > < 三 > < 三 >

### Tensor decomposition of simple polynomial functors

#### Theorem

Let us assume that K is a splitting field of A. Then a polynomial functor of  $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{fd}}(\mathcal{A}; K)$  is simple if and only if it is isomorphic to a tensor product

$$\bigotimes_{\pi} E_{\pi} \circ \pi$$

labelled by a complete set of representatives of isomorphism classes of simple functors with finite-dimensional values  $\pi$  of Add(A; K), where  $E_{\pi}$  are elementary endofunctors of K-vector spaces, all but a finite number isomorphic to the constant functor K.

Moreover, the functors  $E_{\pi}$  appearing in this decomposition are unique up to isomorphism.

### Example: a theorem of N. Kuhn (1)

Let p be a prime number, r > 0 be an integer and  $q := p^r$ . The finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is a splitting field of  $P(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and, thanks to Galois theory of finite fields, a complete set of representatives of isomorphism classes of simple  $(\mathbb{F}_q, \mathbb{F}_q)$ -bimodules is given by  ${}^{(i)}\mathbb{F}_q$  for  $0 \le i < r$ , meaning  $\mathbb{F}_q$  endowed with the obvious structure of left  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -vector space, and of the structure of right  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -vector space obtained by twisting the obvious one by the *i*-th iteration of the Frobenius morphism  $x \mapsto x^p$ .

### Example: a theorem of N. Kuhn (2)

Le previous theorem says us then that the simple objects of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathsf{P}(\mathbb{F}_q); \mathbb{F}_q)$  are exactly the tensor products  $E_0 \otimes E_1^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes E_{r-1}^{(r-1)}$ , where  $E_i$  are elementary functors and the exponent  ${}^{(i)}$  denotes precomposition by the *i*-ème iteration of the Frobenius twist functor (that is: tensorisation with  ${}^{(i)}\mathbb{F}_q$ ).

This result is an analogue of Steinberg's tensor product Theorem. It was already gotten by Kuhn (with different methods).

## Examples of applications: tensor products (1)

The following statement is easily deduced from our theorem of polynomial decomposition à la Steinberg. No assumption on the field K is needed.

#### Corollary

The class of polynomial functors of finite length of  $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{fd}}(\mathcal{A}; K)$  is stable under tensor product.

### Examples of applications: tensor products (2)

The statement below uses *both* decomposition theorems à la Steinberg that we gave. To avoid a technical statement, we deal only with a source category of the shape P(R), where R is some ring. Here again, the field K may be arbitrary.

#### Corollary

The class of functors of finite length of  $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{fd}}(\mathsf{P}(R); K)$  is stable under tensor product.

# Global theorem: rôle of unipotents

Let *F* be a finitely-generated functor of  $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{fd}}(\mathcal{A}; K)$ . If *x* and *y* are objects of  $\mathcal{A}$ , we denote by u[f] the automorphism of  $x \oplus y$  whose components  $x \to x$  and  $y \to y$  are identities,  $f : x \to y$  and  $0 : y \to x$  (the letter *u* abbreviates the word *unipotent*). A byproduct of the proof of our first theorem is the following:

#### Proposition

The functor F is Hom-polynomial (resp. antipolynomial) if and only if F(u[f]) is a unipotent (resp. absolutely semi-simple) automorphism for each morphism f of A.

The multiplicative Jordan decomposition of the F(u[f]) will allow to get our decomposition à la Steinberg.

### Global theorem: the K-cotrivial ideal

If x and y are objects of  $\mathcal{A}$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{I}(x, y)$  the set of morphisms  $f \in \mathcal{A}(x, y)$  such that, for each object t of  $\mathcal{A}$ , the automorphism  $F(u[f] \oplus t)$  of  $F(x \oplus y \oplus t)$  is unipotent.

The key step of the proof of the theorem is to prove the following result.

#### Lemma

 ${\mathcal I}$  is a K-cotrivial ideal of  ${\mathcal A}$ 

The intervention of ' $\oplus t$ ' in the previous definition is essential to establish that  $\mathcal{I}$  is an ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . But, once the lemma obtained, we will use only the unipotence of F(u[f]).

### Continuation of the proof

Let us write the multiplicative Jordan decomposition as F(u[f]) = U(f).D(f) = D(f).U(f), where U(f) is unipotent and D(f) is absolutely semi-simple. We get a commutative diagram

We get a commutative diagram



(the top arrow being the canonical morphism of abelian groups and  $\overline{g}$  denoting the class of  $g \in \mathcal{A}(x, y)$  in  $(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I})(x, y)$ ).

4 周 ト 4 戸 ト 4 戸 ト -

#### The factorisation of F

Then, we postcompose these functions with the linear map

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(F(x\oplus y), F(x\oplus y)) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(F(x \hookrightarrow x \oplus y), F(x \oplus y \twoheadrightarrow y))} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(F(x), F(y))$$

which, precomposed with  $f \mapsto F(u[f])$ , gives the function

$$F_{x,y}: \mathcal{A}(x,y) \xrightarrow{f \mapsto F(f)} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(F(x),F(y));$$

what gives the factorisation of F.

### From unipotence to polynomiality

To prove the Hom-polynomial property with respect to the first variable of this factorisation, we use the following elementary lemma:

#### Lemma

Let M be an abelian group, V a K-vector space of finite dimension d and  $\rho: M \to E := \operatorname{End}_{\kappa}(V)$  a function such that  $\rho(u + v) = \rho(u).\rho(v)$  for each  $(u, v) \in M^2$ . We suppose that  $\rho$  takes values in unipotent automorphisms of V. Then  $\rho$  defines a polynomial function of degree  $\leq d - 1$  from M to the underlying additive group of E.

#### Thank you for your attention!

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

2