

Canberra, 13-17 July 2009

Propagation estimates for the Schrödinger equation

Jean-Marc Bouclet, Lille 1

Workshop on Harmonic Analysis and Spectral Theory

Consider a differential operator in divergence form, on \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 3$,

$$P = -\operatorname{div}(G(x)\nabla),$$

with $G(x)$ a real, positive definite matrix, such that,

$$c \leq G(x) \leq C, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

for some $C, c > 0$.

Under weak regularity assumptions on G , P has a selfadjoint realization on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and one may define its *resolvent*

$$R(z) = (P - z)^{-1} : \operatorname{Dom}(P) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R},$$

which is bounded on L^2 :

$$\|R(z)\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq |\operatorname{Im}(z)|^{-1}.$$

One may (and will) more generally consider powers of the resolvent

$$R(z)^k = (P - z)^{-k} = \partial_z^{k-1} (P - z)^{-1} / (k-1)!$$

In this talk, we are interested in the limit $\boxed{\text{Im}(z) \rightarrow 0}$ of (powers of) the resolvent.

1. If $\text{Re}(z) < 0$: no problem ! $R(z)$ is bounded on L^2 since

$$\text{Re} (u, (P - z)u)_{L^2} \geq c \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 - \text{Re}(z) \|u\|_{L^2}^2,$$

hence

$$\|R(z)f\|_{L^2} \leq -\frac{1}{\text{Re}(z)} \|f\|_{L^2}.$$

2. If $\text{Re}(z) = 0$. The situation is more difficult but, under very general conditions one may define

$$P^{-1} = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-tP} dt : L^{\frac{2d}{d+2}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

One uses heat kernel bounds

$$0 \leq [e^{-tP}] (x, y) \lesssim t^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-c\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}}, \quad t > 0,$$

which imply

$$[P^{-1}] (x, y) \lesssim |x - y|^{2-d},$$

and then concludes with Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality.

If $\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0$?

One needs much stronger assumptions on G . Here we will assume that, for some $\rho > 0$,

$$|\partial^\alpha (G(x) - I_d)| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-\rho - |\alpha|}. \quad (1)$$

This is a flatness assumption at infinity: P is a *long range* perturbation of $-\Delta$ (*short range* $\leftrightarrow \rho > 1$).

The spectrum of P is then the half line $[0, +\infty)$.

Absence of embedded eigenvalues Any $u \in L^2$ such that

$$Pu = \lambda u,$$

for some $\lambda \geq 0$, is identically 0. (Most general proof by Koch-Tataru '06; previous results by Froese-Herbst-Hoffmann-Ostenhoff and Cotta-Ramuniso-Krüger-Schrader)

Consider the *generator of dilations* (on L^2)

$$A = \frac{x \cdot \nabla + \nabla \cdot x}{2i},$$

ie the selfadjoint generator of the unitary group

$$e^{i\tau A}\varphi(x) = e^{\tau \frac{d}{2}}\varphi(e^\tau x).$$

One controls the behavior of the resolvent as $\text{Im}(z) \rightarrow 0$ as follows.

Jensen-Mourre-Perry weighted estimates For any $I \in (0, +\infty)$ and any $k \geq 1$,

$$\sup_{\text{Re}(z) \in I} \|(A + i)^{-k} R(z)^k (A - i)^{-k}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} < \infty.$$

Furthermore, the limits

$$R(\lambda \pm i0)^k = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} R(\lambda \pm i\epsilon)^k, \quad \lambda > 0,$$

exist (in weighted spaces) and

$$R(\lambda \pm i0)^k = \partial_\lambda^{k-1} R(\lambda \pm i0) / (k-1)!.$$

Here the weights $(A \pm i)^{-1}$ may be replaced by $\langle x \rangle^{-1}$.

A formal computation

Consider the time dependent Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t u - Pu = 0, \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0 \in L^2,$$

ie $u(t) = e^{-itP}u_0$. By the Spectral Theorem

$$e^{-itP} = \int e^{-it\lambda} dE_\lambda,$$

where the spectral measure can be (formally) written as

$$2i\pi \frac{dE_\lambda}{d\lambda} = (P - \lambda - i0)^{-1} - (P - \lambda + i0)^{-1}.$$

Thus, by (formal) integrations by parts

$$t^k e^{-itP} = c_k \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-it\lambda} \left((P - \lambda - i0)^{-k-1} - (P - \lambda + i0)^{-k-1} \right) d\lambda.$$

Conclusion. If the R.H.S. is bounded in t , then we get a time decay for e^{-itP} .

Problem. To justify the integrations by parts, we need to know the behaviour of $(P - \lambda \pm i0)^{-k-1}$ at the thresholds: $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$.

Behavior of the resolvent as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ Under the non trapping condition, one has for all $k \geq 1$,

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-k} (P - \lambda \pm i0)^{-k} \langle x \rangle^{-k}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{k}{2}}, \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty.$$

From such well known estimates and the integrations by parts trick, one gets spectrally localized estimates of the form

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-k} e^{-itP} (1 - \varphi)(P) \langle x \rangle^{-k}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq C_{\varphi, k} \langle t \rangle^{-k},$$

if $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies $\varphi \equiv 1$ near 0, and $k \geq 0$.

To avoid the spectral cutoffs, we need to study the regime $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.

Results

Let $N(d)$ be the largest even integer $< \frac{d}{2} + 1$.

Theorem 1 *If $\nu > \frac{d}{2} + N(d)$, then, as $|\lambda| \rightarrow 0$*

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-\nu} (P - \lambda \pm i0)^{-N(d)} \langle x \rangle^{-\nu}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \lesssim \begin{cases} |\lambda|^{-1/2} & \text{if } d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \\ |\lambda|^{-\varepsilon} \text{ for any } \varepsilon & \text{if } d \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2 *Under the non trapping condition,*

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-\nu} e^{-itP} \langle x \rangle^{-\nu}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{1-N(d)}.$$

Main steps of the proof

Assume for simplicity that $G - I_d$ is small everywhere.

1 - Scaling

$$P - \lambda - i\epsilon = \lambda e^{i\tau A} (P_\lambda - 1 - i\mu) e^{-i\tau A}$$

with $\mu = \epsilon/\lambda$,

$$P_\lambda = -\operatorname{div} (G_\lambda(x) \nabla), \quad G_\lambda(x) = G\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{1/2}}\right),$$

and τ such that

$$(e^{-i\tau A} \varphi)(x) = \lambda^{-d/4} \varphi(x/\lambda^{1/2}).$$

Interest: prove estimates for the resolvent of P_λ near energy 1 (ie away from the 0 threshold).

Problem: behavior of the coefficients of P_λ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ (the condition (1) for G_λ is not uniform with respect to λ).

2- Jensen-Mourre-Perry estimates. We obtain, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sup_{\substack{\mu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus 0, \\ \lambda > 0}} \|(A + i)^{-k} (P_\lambda - 1 - i\mu)^{-k} (A - i)^{-k}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} < \infty.$$

These estimates rely on the positive commutator estimate

$$\begin{aligned} i[P_\lambda, A] &= -\operatorname{div} (2G_\lambda(x) - (x \cdot \nabla G_\lambda)(x)) \nabla \\ &\geq -\Delta, \end{aligned}$$

if $\|G_\lambda - I_d\|_\infty + \|x \cdot \nabla G_\lambda\|_\infty = \|G - I_d\|_\infty + \|x \cdot \nabla G\|_\infty$ is small enough, and on the fact that higher commutators

$$\operatorname{ad}_A^k(P_\lambda) = [A, \operatorname{ad}_A^{k-1}(P_\lambda)] \quad \operatorname{ad}_A^0(P_\lambda) = P_\lambda,$$

are bounded from H^{-1} to H^1 .

The uniformity of the bounds w.r.t. λ is simply due to the fact that we only need to control the scale invariant norms

$$\|(x \cdot \nabla)^j G_\lambda\|_\infty = \|(x \cdot \nabla)^j G\|_\infty.$$

3- Elliptic estimates. Let $N = N(d)$. We show that we can improve L^2 bounds into

$$\sup_{\substack{\mu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus 0, \\ \lambda > 0}} \|(hA + i)^{-N} (P_\lambda - 1 - i\mu)^{-N} (hA - i)^{-N}\|_{H^{-N} \rightarrow H^N} < \infty.$$

for some fixed $h > 0$ small enough.

1. Choose h small to guarantee that $(hA \pm i)^{-1}$ is bounded on $H^{\pm N}$.
2. Pick $\phi \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$, $\phi \equiv 1$ near 1. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (P_\lambda - z)^{-N} &= \phi(P_\lambda)(P_\lambda - z)^{-N}\phi(P_\lambda) + (1 - \phi^2(P_\lambda))(P_\lambda - z)^{-N} \\ &= I + II. \end{aligned}$$

By the Spectral Theorem,

$$II = (P_\lambda + 1)^{-N/2} B_\lambda(z) (P_\lambda + 1)^{-N/2},$$

with $B_\lambda(z)$ bounded in L^2 uniformly w.r.t. $\lambda > 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(z) = 1$.

Lemma. *If the scale invariant norms $\|\partial^\alpha(G - I_d)\|_{L^{d/|\alpha|}}$ are small enough for $|\alpha| < d/2$, then*

$$\sup_{\lambda>0} \|(P_\lambda + 1)^{-N/2}\|_{H^{-N} \rightarrow L^2} \lesssim 1.$$

3. By setting

$$K_\lambda^- = (hA - i)^N \phi(P_\lambda), \quad K_\lambda^+ = (K_\lambda^-)^*,$$

observe that

$$I = K_\lambda^+ (hA + i)^{-N} (P_\lambda - 1 - i\mu)^{-N} (hA - i)^{-N} K_\lambda^-.$$

Lemma. *If the scale invariant norms*

$$\|(x \cdot \nabla)^j \partial^\alpha(G - I_d)\|_{L^{d/|\alpha|}}, \quad |\alpha| < \frac{d}{2}, \quad j \leq N(d),$$

are small enough, then

$$\sup_{\lambda>0} \|K_\lambda^- (hA - i)^{-N}\|_{H^{-N} \rightarrow L^2} < \infty.$$

4- Conclusion: Sobolev imbeddings . We obtain: for some $h > 0$,

$$\sup_{\substack{\lambda > 0, \\ \mu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}}} \|(hA + i)^{-N} (P_\lambda - 1 - i\mu)^{-N} (hA - i)^{-N}\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^{p'}} =: C_N < \infty,$$

with $N = N(d)$ and

$$p = \frac{2d}{d + 2s} \quad \text{with} \quad s = \begin{cases} \frac{d}{2} & \text{if } d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \\ \text{any } s < \frac{d}{2} & \text{if } d \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ N & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

But

$$(P - \lambda - i\epsilon)^{-N} = \lambda^{-N} e^{i\tau A} (P_\lambda - 1 - i\mu)^{-N} e^{-i\tau A}$$

and

$$\|e^{i\tau A}\|_{L^{p'} \rightarrow L^{p'}} = e^{\tau \left(\frac{d}{2} - \frac{d}{p'} \right)} = \lambda^{\frac{d}{4} \left(1 - \frac{2}{p'} \right)} = \lambda^{\frac{s}{2}},$$

thus

$$\|(hA + i)^{-N} (P - \lambda - i\epsilon)^{-N} (hA - i)^{-N}\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^{p'}} \leq C_N \lambda^{-N+s}.$$