

Gaussian, Lobatto and Radau positive quadrature rules with a prescribed abscissa

Bernhard Beckermann,^{*} Jorge Bustamante,[†]
Reinaldo Martínez-Cruz,[‡] & José M. Quesada[§]

May 4, 2013

email address: `jbusta@cfm.buap.mx`

Postal address: Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Facultad de Ciencias Físico-Matemáticas, FCFM, BUAP, Apartado Postal 1152, Puebla, Pue. C.P. 72000, México

Telephone and fax numbers: Tel (222) 2295637, Fax 2295636.

Abstract

For a given $\theta \in (a, b)$, we investigate the question whether there exists a positive quadrature formula with maximal degree of precision which has the prescribed abscissa θ plus possibly a and/or b , the endpoints of the interval of integration. This study relies on recent results on the location of roots of quasi-orthogonal polynomials. The above positive quadrature formulae are useful in studying problems in one-sided polynomial L_1 approximation.

Keywords: Positive quadrature formulas, Lobatto-Radau quadrature formulas, orthogonal polynomials, Interlacing property.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 65D32 and 33C25

^{*}Laboratoire Painlevé UMR 8524 (ANO-EDP), UFR Mathématiques – M3, UST Lille, F-59655 Villeneuve d’Ascq CEDEX, France. E-mail: `bbecker@math.univ-lille1.fr`. Supported in part by the Labex CEMPI (ANR-11-LABX-0007-01).

[†]Facultad de Ciencias Físico-Matemáticas, Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Pue, México

[‡]Facultad de Ciencias Físico-Matemáticas, Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Pue, México

[§]Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Jaén, Jaén, Spain. Partially supported by Junta de Andalucía. Research Group FQM0268

1 Introduction

Let σ be a positive measure on a compact interval, say, $[a, b]$, such that the support of $d\sigma$ contains an infinite set of points. In what follows we will be interested in n -point quadrature formulas having the form

$$I_\sigma(f) := \int_a^b f(x)d\sigma(x) = Q_n(f) + R_n(f), \quad Q_n(f) = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_{n,j} f(x_{n,j}), \quad (1)$$

with $x_{n,j} \in [a, b]$ distinct called the abscissas, and $\lambda_{n,j} \in \mathbb{R}$ the weights. This formula is said to be positive if $\lambda_{n,j} > 0$ for all j , and to have a degree of precision m if m is the maximal integer such that $R_n(f) = 0$ for all polynomials of degree $\leq m$. In what follows, all our formulas will have degree of precision $m \geq n - 1$, and thus the weights are computable from the abscissas by integrating Lagrange polynomials. Any polynomial w_n of degree n with roots $x_{n,1}, \dots, x_{n,n}$ will be called a generating polynomial of Q_n .

It is well known that there exist unique n -point quadrature formulas of degree of precision $2n - 1$, the so-called Gauss rules. Also, by relaxing the degree of precision, one may prescribe one or both endpoints as abscissas: there exist unique so-called (left or right) n -point Radau rules with abscissa a or b and degree of precision $2n - 2$, and a unique n -point Lobatto rule with abscissas a and b and degree of precision $2n - 3$. The existence and uniqueness of such formulas can be seen from the fact that Q_n has a degree of precision $m \geq n - 1$ if and only if we have the orthogonality

$$\int_a^b x^j w_n(x) d\sigma(x) = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, m - n \quad (2)$$

for a generating polynomial w_n , which should have simple roots in $[a, b]$. Denoting by $\omega(x) \in \{1, b - x, x - a, (b - x)(x - a)\}$ the prescribed part of the generating polynomial, we see from (2) that for finding the remaining abscissas we have to find the roots of the orthogonal polynomial w_n/ω of degree $n - \deg \omega$ with respect to the positive measure $\omega d\sigma$ on $[a, b]$ for any of these four quadrature formulas. It is well known that these roots are simple and in (a, b) , and that all the resulting quadrature formulas are positive.

Motivated by some problems related with the best one-sided polynomial approximation of Heaviside functions [3], the aim of this paper is to study the question whether for a given $\theta \in (a, b)$ there exists a positive quadrature formula of the above type having the additional prescribed abscissa θ , if we are willing to lower the corresponding degree of precision by 1. For Gauss rules this question has been fully treated already in [2, Theorem 2.9], we will give below an equivalent characterization. In [2, Theorem 2.17] the authors discuss the situation of two prescribed abscissa $\theta \in (a, b)$ and $c \in \{a, b\}$, but it seems for us that for the θ given by the authors the weight corresponding to the abscissa c might be negative.

As in [1, 2, 4], a central role in this study are played by quasi-orthogonal polynomials as introduced by Shohat [7]. Given a $\theta \in (a, b)$, we call an n -point

quadrature rule a quasi Gauss rule (and quasi left Radau rule, quasi right Radau rule or quasi Lobatto rule, respectively) with abscissa θ if it has the prescribed abscissas in $\{\theta\}$ (and $\{\theta, a\}$, $\{\theta, b\}$, and $\{\theta, a, b\}$, respectively), all other abscissas in $(a, b) \setminus \{\theta\}$, and has degree of precision $2n - 2$ (and $2n - 3$, $2n - 3$, and $2n - 4$, respectively).

Denote by

$$\begin{aligned} a < x_{n,1}^G < \dots < x_{n,n}^G < b, & a = x_{n,1}^{LR} < \dots < x_{n,n}^{LR} < b, \\ a < x_{n,1}^{RR} < \dots < x_{n,n}^{RR} = b, & a = x_{n,1}^L < \dots < x_{n,n}^L = b, \end{aligned}$$

the abscissas of the classical Gauss, the left Radau, the right Radau and the Lobatto n -point rules for the measure σ , respectively. It is shown implicitly in the proof of Theorem 1.1 below (and can be alternatively established directly using [8, Theorem 3.3.4] due to A. Markov) that

$$j = 1, \dots, n : \quad x_{n,j}^{LR} < x_{n,j}^G < x_{n,j}^{RR}, \quad (3)$$

$$j = 1, \dots, n - 1 : \quad x_{n-1,j}^G < x_{n,j+1}^{LR} < x_{n,j+1}^L, \quad (4)$$

$$j = 1, \dots, n - 1 : \quad x_{n,j}^L < x_{n,j}^{RR} < x_{n-1,j}^G, \quad (5)$$

$$j = 1, \dots, n - 2 : \quad x_{n-1,j}^{RR} < x_{n,j+1}^L < x_{n-1,j+1}^{LR}. \quad (6)$$

We have the following main result, which will be proved in the next section.

Theorem 1.1. (a) *There exists a positive n -point quasi Gauss rule with abscissa θ for $n \geq 1$ if and only if*

$$\theta \in \bigcup_{j=1}^n (x_{n,j}^{LR}, x_{n,j}^{RR}) \setminus \{x_{n,j}^G\}.$$

(b) *There exists a positive n -point quasi left Radau rule with abscissa θ for $n \geq 2$ if and only if*

$$\theta \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{n-1} (x_{n-1,j}^G, x_{n,j+1}^L) \setminus \{x_{n,j+1}^{LR}\}.$$

(c) *There exists a positive n -point quasi right Radau rule with abscissa θ for $n \geq 2$ if and only if*

$$\theta \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{n-1} (x_{n,j}^L, x_{n-1,j}^G) \setminus \{x_{n,j}^{RR}\}.$$

(d) *There exists a positive n -point quasi Lobatto rule with abscissa θ for $n \geq 3$ if and only if*

$$\theta \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{n-2} (x_{n-1,j}^{RR}, x_{n-1,j+1}^{LR}) \setminus \{x_{n,j+1}^L\}.$$

(e) *Defining $\omega(x) = 1, x - a, b - x, (b - x)(x - a)$, respectively, and $\nu = n - \deg \omega$, a generating polynomial for any such quadrature formula is given by*

$$w_n(x) = \omega(x) \left(p_\nu(x, \omega\sigma) - \frac{p_\nu(\theta, \omega\sigma)}{p_{\nu-1}(\theta, \omega\sigma)} p_{\nu-1}(x, \omega\sigma) \right) \quad (7)$$

with $p_j(x, \omega\sigma)$ the j th orthonormal polynomial with respect to the positive measure $\omega d\sigma$, hence the above quadrature formulas are unique.

Since the intervals occurring in Theorem 1.1(b) and in Theorem 1.1(c) are distinct and the union of their closures give the full interval $[a, b]$ (and similarly those in Theorem 1.1(a) for n replaced by $n - 1$ and in Theorem 1.1(d)), we may draw two different conclusions for any prescribed abscissa $\theta \in [a, b]$.

Corollary 1.2. *If $n \geq 3$ and*

$$\theta \in \bigcup_{j=1}^n \{x_{n,j}^{LR}, x_{n,j}^{RR}, x_{n,j}^L\} \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{n-1} \{x_{n-1,j}^G\}$$

then there exists either an $(n - 1)$ -point Gauss rule, an n -point Radau rule, or an n -point Lobatto rule with abscissa θ . Else, there exists a positive n -point quasi either left or right Radau rule with abscissa θ .

If $n \geq 3$ and

$$\theta \in \bigcup_{j=1}^n \{x_{n,j}^L\} \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{n-1} \{x_{n-1,j}^G, x_{n-1,j}^{LR}, x_{n-1,j}^{RR}\}$$

then there exists either an $(n - 1)$ -point Gauss rule, an $(n - 1)$ -point Radau rule or an n -point Lobatto rule with abscissa θ . Else, there exists either a positive $(n - 1)$ -point quasi Gauss rule with abscissa θ or a positive n -point quasi Lobatto rule with abscissa θ .

Remark 1.3. In order to understand better the restrictions for the parameter θ in Theorem 1.1, let us have a closer look at the quasi left Radau rule of Theorem 1.1(b). As θ approaches the exceptional point $x_{n,j+1}^{LR}$, our quadrature formula becomes the classical n -point left Radau rule, having a degree of precision $2n - 2$ and not $2n - 3$ as required for a quasi Radau rule. For θ approaching the left endpoint $x_{n-1,j}^G$ we obtain the classical $(n - 1)$ -point Gauss rule (with degree of precision $2n - 3$) since the weight corresponding to the abscissa a does vanish, see proof of Lemma 2.3 below. Finally, for θ approaching the right endpoint $x_{n,j+1}^L$, the right-hand abscissa of our quadrature formula approaches b (see Lemma 2.2 below), and we obtain the classical n -point Lobatto formula (and degree of precision $2n - 3$). Similar phenomena do occur for the other three quadrature formulas of Theorem 1.1, we omit details.

2 Proofs

Let $\{p_n(x, \sigma)\}$ be the family of orthonormal polynomials on $[a, b]$ with respect to $d\sigma$ normalized to have positive leading coefficients. The roots of $p_n(x, \sigma)$ are known to be simple and in (a, b) , we will enumerate them more explicitly as

$$x_{n,1}(\sigma) < \dots < x_{n,n}(\sigma)$$

and use the convention $x_{n,0}(\sigma) = a, x_{n,n+1}(\sigma) = b$. If $\omega(x) \in \{1, b-x, x-a, (b-x)(x-a)\}$, we use the notation $p_n(x, \omega\sigma)$ for the polynomials corresponding to the measure $\omega d\sigma$. We also introduce the rational function

$$f_n(x, \sigma) = \frac{p_n(x, \sigma)}{p_{n-1}(x, \sigma)}.$$

As usual \mathbb{P}_n denotes the family of all algebraic polynomials of degree not greater than n .

In the next statement which goes back essentially to Shohat [7] we will enumerate some classical necessary and sufficient conditions for a certain quadrature formula to exist. For the sake of completeness, each time a proof is provided.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\omega(x) \in \{1, x-a, b-x, (b-x)(x-a)\}$, $\nu = n - \deg \omega$, and $\theta \in (a, b)$.*

(a) *If $p_{\nu-1}(\theta, \omega\sigma) = 0$ then there exists no n -point quadrature formula of degree of precision $\geq n + \nu - 2$ having as prescribed abscissas the roots of $(x - \theta)\omega(x)$.*

(b) *If $p_\nu(\theta, \omega\sigma) = 0$ then there exists a unique n -point quadrature formula of degree of precision $\geq n + \nu - 2$ having as prescribed abscissas the roots of $(x - \theta)\omega(x)$, namely the Gauss/Radau/Lobatto rule which has degree of precision $= n + \nu - 1$.*

(c) *If $p_{\nu-1}(\theta, \omega\sigma)p_\nu(\theta, \omega\sigma) \neq 0$ then there exists at most one n -point quadrature formula of degree of precision $\geq n + \nu - 2$ having as prescribed abscissas the roots of $(x - \theta)\omega(x)$. Such a quadrature formula has the generating polynomial w_n defined in (7).*

(d) *Conversely, if $p_{\nu-1}(\theta, \omega\sigma)p_\nu(\theta, \omega\sigma) \neq 0$ and provided that the roots of w_n/ω with w_n as in (7) are simple and in (a, b) and that the weights corresponding to the roots of ω are positive, the polynomial w_n of (7) generates a positive n -point quadrature formula of degree of precision $n + \nu - 2$ having as prescribed abscissas the roots of $(x - \theta)\omega(x)$.*

Proof. For a proof of parts (a)–(c), let Q_n be an n -point quadrature formula as in (1), of degree of precision $\geq n + \nu - 2$, with generating polynomial W having roots including θ and the roots of ω . Then for $j = 0, 1, \dots, \nu - 2$ we have

$$R_n(x^j W) = 0 = I_\sigma(x^j W) = \int_a^b x^j W(x) d\sigma(x).$$

Expanding W/ω in the basis of the $p_j(x, \omega\sigma)$ we deduce the equivalent property that

$$W(x) = \omega(x)(c_1 p_\nu(x, \omega\sigma) + c_2 p_{\nu-1}(x, \omega\sigma))$$

for some real constants c_1, c_2 . Notice that $c_1 \neq 0$ since otherwise Q_n would be an $(n - 1)$ -point rule. Since $W(\theta) = 0 \neq \omega(\theta)$, we conclude that $c_1 p_\nu(\theta, \omega\sigma) + c_2 p_{\nu-1}(\theta, \omega\sigma) = 0$. By the interlacing property of orthogonal polynomials [8, p. 46], the quantities $p_\nu(\theta, \omega\sigma)$ and $p_{\nu-1}(\theta, \omega\sigma)$ do not vanish simultaneously. Thus $p_{\nu-1}(\theta, \omega\sigma) \neq 0$, as claimed in part (a). Also, notice that in case

$p_\nu(\theta, \omega\sigma) = 0$ the roots of W become the roots of $\omega(x)p_\nu(x, \omega\sigma)$, that is, we obtain the classical Gauss/Radau/Lobatto rule described in the introduction. This shows claim (b).

In the remaining case $p_{\nu-1}(\theta, \omega\sigma)p_\nu(\theta, \omega\sigma) \neq 0$ we have shown that $w_n = W/c_1$ as in (7) is a generating polynomial for Q_n . Observing that

$$R_n(p_{\nu-1}(x, \omega\sigma)w) = I_\sigma(p_{\nu-1}(x, \omega\sigma)w) = c_2 \int_a^b p_{\nu-1}(x, \omega\sigma)^2 \omega(x) d\sigma(x) \neq 0,$$

we conclude that there is a unique such Q_n , which has degree of precision precisely $n + \nu - 2$, as claimed in part (c).

Conversely, under the assumptions of part (d) we may construct a quadrature formula (1) with abscissas $x_{n,j}$ being the roots of w_n (which have been supposed to be distinct elements of $[a, b]$), and weights

$$\lambda_{n,j} = \int_a^b \frac{w_n(x)}{w'_n(x_{n,j})(x - x_{n,j})} d\sigma(x) \quad (8)$$

obtained by integrating Lagrange polynomials [5, p. 80], and thus Q_n has degree of precision $\geq n - 1$. Since any $f \in \mathbb{P}_{n+\nu-2}$ can be written as $f = f_1 w_n + f_2$ with $f_1 \in \mathbb{P}_{\nu-2}, f_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$, we find that $R_n(f) = R_n(f_1 w_n) + R_n(f_2) = R_n(f_1 w_n)$, the latter vanishing by orthogonality. Hence Q_n has degree of precision $\geq n + \nu - 2$, and thus $n + \nu - 2$ by part (c).

It remains to discuss the positivity of the weights. If $\omega(x_{n,j}) = 0$, the property $\lambda_{n,j} > 0$ has been included in the assumptions. Else we observe that

$$P_j(x) := \frac{w_n(x)}{w'_n(x_{n,j})(x - x_{n,j})} \frac{P(x)}{P'(x_{n,j})(x - x_{n,j})}, \quad P(x) = \frac{w_n(x)}{\omega(x)}$$

is an element of $\mathbb{P}_{n+\nu-2}$ which is ≥ 0 on $[a, b]$ and $= 0$ only at a finite number of points, implying that $\lambda_{n,j} = Q_n(P_j) = I_\sigma(P_j) > 0$. \square

One learns from Lemma 2.1(c),(d) that there is a gap between our necessary and sufficient conditions for a certain quadrature formula to exist, namely the localization of the roots of w_n/ω in (a, b) , and the positivity of certain weights. Each of these conditions will be further analyzed in the next two lemmas.

We start by recalling results from Peherstorfer [6] and Brezinski et al. [1, Theorem 3] on the localization of roots of the quasi-orthogonal polynomial w_n of (7). The second part can be found in [2, Remark 2.11].

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\omega(x) \in \{1, x - a, b - x, (b - x)(x - a)\}$, $\nu = n - \deg \omega$, and $\theta \in (a, b)$ be such that $p_{\nu-1}(\theta, \omega\sigma)p_\nu(\theta, \omega\sigma) \neq 0$.*

The roots of w_n/ω with w_n as in (7) are simple and in (a, b) if and only if

$$f_\nu(a, \omega\sigma) < f_\nu(\theta, \omega\sigma) < f_\nu(b, \omega\sigma), \quad (9)$$

which again is equivalent to

$$\theta \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{\nu} \left(x_{\nu-1, j-1}(\omega_a \sigma), x_{\nu-1, j}(\omega_b \sigma) \right), \quad (10)$$

where $\omega_a(y) = (y - a)\omega(y)$ and $\omega_b(y) = (b - y)\omega(y)$.

Proof. From [8, p. 45] or [7, p. 463] it is known that the ν roots of the quasi-orthogonal polynomial w_n/ω are real and distinct, and that at least $\nu - 1$ of them lie in (a, b) . Thus it remains to localize the remaining root. The sufficiency of condition (9) has been shown in [1, Theorem 3(v)], and the necessity in [1, Theorem 3(iii) and (iv)].

In order to make the link with condition (10), we write the partial fraction decomposition

$$f_\nu(x, \omega\sigma) = \alpha x + \beta + \sum_{j=1}^{\nu-1} \frac{c_j}{x - x_{\nu-1,j}(\omega\sigma)},$$

where from the interlacing property of the roots of $p_\nu(x, \omega\sigma)$ and $p_{\nu-1}(x, \omega\sigma)$ [8, p. 46] it follows that $\alpha, c_1, \dots, c_{\nu-1} > 0$, $f_\nu(b, \omega\sigma) > 0$, and $f_\nu(a, \omega\sigma) < 0$. In particular, $x \mapsto f_\nu(x, \omega\sigma)$ is strictly increasing in each subinterval

$$(x_{\nu-1,j-1}(\omega\sigma), x_{\nu-1,j}(\omega\sigma))$$

for $j = 1, \dots, \nu$, where we recall the convention $x_{\nu-1,0}(\omega\sigma) = a$ and $x_{\nu-1,\nu}(\omega\sigma) = b$. Since $f_\nu(a) < 0 < f_\nu(b)$, we may conclude that $\theta \in (x_{\nu-1,j-1}(\omega\sigma), x_{\nu-1,j}(\omega\sigma))$ satisfies (9) iff $\theta \in (x_{j-1}, y_j)$, with

$$x_{\nu-1,j-1}(\omega\sigma) \leq x_{j-1} < x_{\nu,j}(\omega\sigma) < y_j \leq x_{\nu-1,j}(\omega\sigma) \quad (11)$$

and x_{j-1} a root of $P_y(x) = p_\nu(x, \omega\sigma) - f_\nu(y, \omega\sigma)p_{\nu-1}(x, \omega\sigma)$ for $y = a$, and y_j for $y = b$, respectively. By construction, $P_a(x)/(x - a) \in \mathbb{P}_{\nu-1}$ is orthogonal to $\mathbb{P}_{\nu-2}$ with respect to the measure $\omega_a\sigma$. Thus $P_a(x)$ is a non-trivial multiple of $(x - a)p_{\nu-1}(x, \omega_a\sigma)$, showing that $x_0 = a$, and $x_j = x_{\nu-1,j}(\omega_a\sigma)$ for $j = 1, \dots, \nu - 1$. By a similar argument, $y_\nu = b$, and $y_j = x_{\nu-1,j}(\omega_b\sigma)$ for $j = 1, \dots, \nu - 1$. \square

We learn from the proof of Lemma 2.2 that condition (10) implies the hypothesis $p_{\nu-1}(\theta, \omega\sigma) \neq 0$, but it may happen that $p_\nu(\theta, \omega\sigma) = 0$.

We finally need to discuss the positivity of weights corresponding to prescribed abscissas being roots of ω for the n -point quadrature formula with generating polynomial w_n as in (7). The following result seems to be new.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\omega(x) \in \{1, x - a, b - x, (b - x)(x - a)\}$, $\nu = n - \deg \omega$, and $\theta \in J_j := (x_{\nu-1,j-1}(\omega_a\sigma), x_{\nu-1,j}(\omega_b\sigma))$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, \nu\}$ such that $p_\nu(\theta, \omega\sigma) \neq 0$, where as before $\omega_a(y) = (y - a)\omega(y)$ and $\omega_b(y) = (b - y)\omega(y)$.*

(a) *If $\omega(a) = 0$ then the weight corresponding to the prescribed abscissa a is > 0 if and only if $\theta > x_{\nu,j}(\tilde{\omega}_a\sigma)$, with $\tilde{\omega}_a(y) = \omega(y)/(y - a)$.*

(b) *If $\omega(b) = 0$ then the weight corresponding to the prescribed abscissa b is > 0 if and only if $\theta < x_{\nu,j}(\tilde{\omega}_b\sigma)$, with $\tilde{\omega}_b(y) = \omega(y)/(b - y)$.*

Proof. Since the second statement follows from the first after replacing x by $-x$, we only show part (a). We will write shorter $p_j(x, \tilde{\omega}_a\sigma) = p_j(x)$ and require in

what follows the so-called Christoffel-Darboux formula [8, p. 41-42]: there exist scalars $a_m > 0$ such that for all $m \geq 0$

$$a_m \frac{p_{m+1}(x)p_m(y) - p_{m+1}(y)p_m(x)}{x - y} = \sum_{j=0}^m p_j(x)p_j(y) =: K_m(x, y). \quad (12)$$

As before we observe that $K_m(x, a) \in \mathbb{P}_m$ is orthogonal to \mathbb{P}_{m-1} with respect to the measure $(x - a)\tilde{\omega}_a\sigma = \omega\sigma$, and hence

$$\frac{K_m(x, a)}{K_m(a, a)} = \frac{p_m(x, \omega\sigma)}{p_m(a, \omega\sigma)}. \quad (13)$$

Using (12) as well as the orthonormality of the p_j we deduce that

$$\int_a^b \frac{p_m(x, \omega\sigma)}{p_m(a, \omega\sigma)} \tilde{\omega}_a(x) d\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{K_m(a, a)} > 0.$$

According to (8) we may write the weight corresponding to the abscissa a as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{n,1} &= \int_a^b \frac{p_\nu(x, \omega\sigma) - f_\nu(\theta, \omega\sigma)p_{\nu-1}(x, \omega\sigma)}{p_\nu(a, \omega\sigma) - f_\nu(\theta, \omega\sigma)p_{\nu-1}(a, \omega\sigma)} \frac{\tilde{\omega}_a(x)}{\tilde{\omega}_a(a)} d\sigma(x) \\ &= \int_a^b \frac{\frac{p_\nu(x, \omega\sigma)}{p_\nu(a, \omega\sigma)} - \frac{f_\nu(\theta, \omega\sigma)}{f_\nu(a, \omega\sigma)} \frac{p_{\nu-1}(x, \omega\sigma)}{p_{\nu-1}(a, \omega\sigma)}}{f_\nu(a, \omega\sigma) - f_\nu(\theta, \omega\sigma)} \frac{\tilde{\omega}_a(x)}{\tilde{\omega}_a(a)} d\sigma(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{\tilde{\omega}_a(a)} \frac{1/K_{\nu-1}(a, a)}{f_\nu(\theta, \omega\sigma) - f_\nu(a, \omega\sigma)} \left(\frac{f_\nu(\theta, \omega\sigma)}{f_\nu(a, \omega\sigma)} - \frac{K_{\nu-1}(a, a)}{K_\nu(a, a)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Recall from Lemma 2.2 that $f_\nu(\theta, \omega\sigma) > f_\nu(a, \omega\sigma) < 0$, and trivially

$$\tilde{\omega}_a(a)K_{\nu-1}(a, a) > 0.$$

With the rational function

$$r(x) = \frac{f_\nu(x, \omega\sigma)}{f_\nu(a, \omega\sigma)} - \frac{K_{\nu-1}(a, a)}{K_\nu(a, a)},$$

we therefore may conclude that $\lambda_{n,1} > 0$ if and only if $r(\theta) > 0$. In order to discuss the sign of $r(\theta)$, recall from the proof of Lemma 2.2 that r is strictly decreasing in the interval J_j , and notice that we have the value $1 - K_{\nu-1}(a, a)/K_\nu(a, a) > 0$ at the left endpoint of J_j , and a strictly negative value at the right endpoint of J_j . Thus $\lambda_{n,1} > 0$ if and only if $\theta > x_j$, with x_j the unique root in J_j of r . Applying (12) and (13) we obtain the simplification

$$r(x) = \frac{K_{\nu-1}(a, a)}{K_\nu(a, a)} \left(\frac{K_\nu(x, a)}{K_{\nu-1}(x, a)} - 1 \right) = \frac{K_{\nu-1}(a, a)}{K_\nu(a, a)} \frac{p_\nu(a)p_\nu(x)}{K_{\nu-1}(x, a)}$$

with roots $x_{\nu,1}(\tilde{\omega}_a\sigma), \dots, x_{\nu,\nu}(\tilde{\omega}_a\sigma)$. Comparing with the ordered and disjoint intervals J_1, \dots, J_ν , we find that $x_{\nu,j}(\tilde{\omega}_a\sigma) \in J_j$, and thus $x_j = x_{\nu,j}(\tilde{\omega}_a\sigma)$, as claimed above. \square

We are now prepared to show our main Theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Statement (a). We set $\omega(x) = 1$, $\nu = n$, and w_n as in (7). Provided that θ is as indicated in Theorem 1.1(a), we conclude from Lemma 2.2 with

$$x_{n-1,j-1}(\omega_a\sigma) = x_{n,j}^{LR} < x_{n,j}(\omega\sigma) = x_{n,j}^G < x_{n-1,j}(\omega_b\sigma) = x_{n,j}^{RR}$$

that $w_n = w_n/\omega$ has all its roots in (a, b) . Thus the existence of a positive n -point quasi Gaussian quadrature with abscissa θ follows from Lemma 2.1(d).

Conversely, if $\theta = x_{n,j}(\omega\sigma) = x_{n,j}^G$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ then $p_n(\theta, \omega\sigma) = 0$, and there does not exist a n -point quasi Gaussian quadrature by Lemma 2.1(b). For any other value of $\theta \in (a, b)$, the polynomial $w_n = w_n/\omega$ has one of its roots outside (a, b) by Lemma 2.2, and thus an n -point quasi Gaussian quadrature cannot exist by Lemma 2.1(c).

Statement (b). We set $\omega(x) = x - a$, $\nu = n - 1$, and w_n as in (7). Provided that θ is as indicated in Theorem 1.1(b), we conclude from Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3(a) with

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n-2,j-1}(\omega_a\sigma) &< x_{n-1,j}(\tilde{\omega}_a\sigma) = x_{n-1,j}^G \\ &< x_{n-1,j}(\omega\sigma) = x_{n,j+1}^{LR} < x_{n-2,j}(\omega_b\sigma) = x_{n,j+1}^L \end{aligned}$$

that w_n/ω has all its roots in (a, b) , and that the weight $\lambda_{n,1}$ corresponding to $x_{n,1} = a$ is > 0 . Thus the existence of a positive n -point quasi left Radau quadrature with abscissa θ follows from Lemma 2.1(d).

The non-existence for other values of θ follows as above using again Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2, and Lemma 2.3(a).

Statement (c) follows from Theorem 1.1(b) after replacing x by $-x$, or, alternatively from Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2, and Lemma 2.3(b) with $\omega(x) = b - x$, $\nu = n - 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n-2,j-1}(\omega_a\sigma) &= x_{n,j}^L < x_{n-1,j}(\omega\sigma) = x_{n,j}^{RR} \\ &< x_{n-1,j}(\tilde{\omega}_b\sigma) = x_{n-1,j}^G < x_{n-2,j}(\omega_b\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Statement (d) follows from Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2, and Lemma 2.3(a),(b) with $\omega(x) = (x - a)(b - x)$, $\nu = n - 2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n-3,j-1}(\omega_a\sigma) &< x_{n-2,j}(\tilde{\omega}_a\sigma) = x_{n-1,j}^{RR} < x_{n-2,j}(\omega\sigma) = x_{n,j+1}^L \\ &< x_{n-2,j}(\tilde{\omega}_b\sigma) = x_{n-1,j+1}^{LR} < x_{n-3,j}(\omega_b\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Statement (e) follows from Lemma 2.1(c). □

Acknowledgements

A first version of this paper considered the special case of Jacobi weights on $(a, b) = (-1, 1)$. We thank Prof. K. Jordaan who kindly did let us know about her paper [4].

References

- [1] C. Brezinski, K.A. Driver and M. Redivo-Zagliac, Quasi-orthogonality with applications to some families of classical orthogonal polynomials, *Applied Numer. Math.*, 48 (2004), 157-168.
- [2] A. Bultheel, R. Cruz-Barroso and M. Van Barel, On Gauss-type quadrature formulas with prescribed nodes anywhere on the real line, *Calcolo* 47 (2010), 21-48.
- [3] J. Bustamante, J.M. Quesada, R. Martínez-Cruz, Best one-sided L_1 approximation to the Heaviside and sign functions, *J. Approx. Theory* 164-6 (2012), 791-802.
- [4] K. Driver, K. Jordaan and N. Mbuyi, Interlacing of the zeros of Jacobi polynomials with different parameters, *Numerical Algorithms*, 49 (2008), 143-152.
- [5] V. I. Krylov, *Approximate Calculation of Integrals*, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 2005.
- [6] F. Peherstorfer, Linear combinations of orthogonal polynomials generating positive quadrature formulas, *Math. Comp.* 55 (1990), 231-241.
- [7] J.A. Shohat, On mechanical quadratures, in particular, with positive coefficients, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 42 (1937), 461-496.
- [8] G. Szegő, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1939 (fourth edition, 1975).