

Homogenization of a semi-linear PDE with  
discontinuous averaged coefficients via BSG

With A. Elouaflin Université d'Abidjan and E. F.  
Université de Provence

Journées de Probabilités 2008  
Lille, 01-05 septembre 2008

We consider the sequence of semilinear PDEs indexed

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial v^\varepsilon}{\partial s}(s, x_1, x_2) = L^\varepsilon(x_1, x_2)v^\varepsilon(s, x_1, x_2) + f\left(\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}, x_2, v^\varepsilon\right) \\ v^\varepsilon(0, x_1, x_2) = H(x_1, x_2) \end{cases}$$

where

$$L^\varepsilon(x_1, x_2) = a_{00}\left(\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}, x_2\right)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2 x_1} + a_{ij}\left(\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}, x_2\right)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{2i}\partial x_{2j}}$$

with  $a_{ij}$  and  $b_i^{(1)}$ ,  $:\mathbb{R}^{d+1} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$

$$f : \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{and} \quad H : \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$$

The main goal is to show, by using BSDEs, that if each  $g \in \{a, b, f\}$  has a Česaro-average,

$$\bar{g}(x_1, x_2) := \frac{\lim_{x_1 \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{x_1} \int_0^{x_1} \rho(t, x_2) g(t, x_2) dt}{\lim_{x_1 \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{x_1} \int_0^{x_1} \rho(t, x_2) dt} + \frac{\lim_{x_1 \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{1}{-x_1} \int_0^{x_1} \rho(t, x_2) g(t, x_2) dt}{\lim_{x_1 \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{1}{-x_1} \int_0^{x_1} \rho(t, x_2) dt}$$

With  $\rho := \frac{1}{a_{00}}$

Then,

i)  $v^\varepsilon(x_1, x_2)$  converges to  $v(x_1, x_2)$ ,

ii)  $v(x_1, x_2)$ , is a unique  $L^p$ -viscosity solution to the averaged PDE

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial v}{\partial s}(s, x_1, x_2) = \bar{L}(x_1, x_2)v(s, x_1, x_2) + \bar{f}(x_1, x_2, v(s, x_1, x_2)) \\ v(0, x_1, x_2) = H(x_1, x_2) \end{cases}$$

where  $\bar{L}(x_1, x_2) := \sum_{i,j} \bar{a}_{ij}(x_1, x_2) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_i \bar{b}_i(x_1, x_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$

In 2001, R.Z. Khashmiskii and N.V. Krylov, SPA 2001 considered the following system of SDEs

$$\begin{cases} U_t^{1,\varepsilon} = U_1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \varphi(U_s^{1,\varepsilon}, U_s^{2,\varepsilon}) dW_s \\ U_t^{2,\varepsilon} = U_2 + \int_0^t b^{(1)}(U_s^{1,\varepsilon}, U_s^{2,\varepsilon}) ds + \int_0^t \sigma^{(1)}(U_s^{1,\varepsilon}, U_s^{2,\varepsilon}) dW_s \end{cases}$$

They prove that if the averaged system

$$\begin{cases} X_t^1 = \int_0^t \bar{\varphi}(X_s^1, X_s^2) dW_s \\ X_t^2 = U_2 + \int_0^t \bar{b}^{(1)}(X_s^1, X_s^2) ds + \int_0^t \bar{\sigma}^{(1)}(X_s^1, X_s^2) dW_s \end{cases}$$

has a weakly unique solution. Then,  $(\varepsilon U^{1,\varepsilon}, U^{2,\varepsilon}) \xrightarrow{law}$

As a consequence, Khashmiskii & Krylov prove that if  $\psi(x_1, x_2) \in C_b^\infty$ , the problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial s}(s, x_1, x_2) = \bar{L}(x_1, x_2) \\ \bar{v}(0, x_1, x_2) = \psi(x_1, x_2) \end{cases}$$

has a unique bounded solution  $\bar{v}(t, x_1, x_2) \in W_{d+1, l}^{1,2}$ , and any bounded solution  $v_\varepsilon(t, x_1, x_2) \in W_{d+1, loc}^{1,2}$  of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial v^\varepsilon}{\partial s}(s, x_1, x_2) = \mathcal{L}^\varepsilon(x_1, x_2)v^\varepsilon(s, x_1, x_2) \\ v^\varepsilon(0, x_1, x_2) = \psi(x_1, x_2) \end{cases}$$

we have,  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} v_\varepsilon(t, x_1, x_2) = v(t, x_1, x_2)$

We use the idea of Khashmiskii & Krylov, to solve

We put  $B := (W, \widetilde{W}) := \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$ -Brownian motion.

We denote,  $b := (0, b^{(1)})^*$ ,  $a_{00} := \frac{1}{2}\varphi^2$ ,

$a_{ij} := \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^{(1)}\sigma^{(1)*})_{ij}$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, d$ , and  $\sigma := \left($

One has  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{(d+1) \times k}$  with

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_{00} = \varphi, \\ \sigma_{0j} = 0, j = 1, \dots, k-1 \\ \sigma_{i0} = 0, i = 1, \dots, d \\ \sigma_{ij} = \sigma_{ij}^{(1)}, i = 1, \dots, d, j = 1, \dots, k-1 \end{cases}$$

The PDEs (1) is connected to the sequence of decoupled

$$\begin{cases} X_s^\varepsilon = X_0^\varepsilon + \int_0^s b\left(\frac{X_u^{1,\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}, X_u^{2,\varepsilon}\right)du + \int_0^s \sigma\left(\frac{X_u^{1,\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}, X_u^{2,\varepsilon}\right)dB_u \\ Y_s^\varepsilon = H(X_t^\varepsilon) + \int_s^t f\left(\frac{X_u^{1,\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}, X_u^{2,\varepsilon}, Y_u^\varepsilon\right)du - \int_s^t Z_u^\varepsilon dM_u^{X^\varepsilon} \end{cases}$$

where  $M^{X^\varepsilon}$  is a martingale part of the process  $X^\varepsilon := (X_s^\varepsilon, Y_s^\varepsilon, Z_s^\varepsilon)$

We show that :

1) the sequence of processes  $(X_t^\varepsilon, Y_t^\varepsilon, \int_s^t Z_u^\varepsilon dM_u^{X^\varepsilon}) \xrightarrow{\text{law}} (X_t, Y_t, \int_s^t Z_u dM_u^X)$  which is the unique solution to the FBSDE,

$$\begin{cases} X_s = x + \int_0^s \bar{b}(X_u)du + \int_0^s \bar{\sigma}(X_u)dB_u, 0 \leq s \leq t. \\ Y_s = H(X_t) + \int_s^t \bar{f}(X_u, Y_u)du - \int_s^t Z_u dM_u^X, 0 \leq s \leq t. \end{cases}$$

where  $\bar{\sigma}$ ,  $\bar{b}$  and  $\bar{f}$  (defined below) are the averages of



2) As a consequence, we establish that  $v^\varepsilon(x_1, x_2) \rightarrow v(x_1, x_2)$  which solves the following PDE in the  $L^p$ -viscosity sense

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial v}{\partial s}(s, x_1, x_2) = \bar{L}(x_1, x_2)v(s, x_1, x_2) + \bar{f}(x_1, x_2, v(s, x_1, x_2)) \\ v(0, x_1, x_2) = H(x_1, x_2) \end{cases}$$

where  $\bar{L}(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{i,j} \bar{a}_{ij}(x_1, x_2) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_i \bar{b}_i(x_1, x_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  is the averaged operator.

**$L^p$ -viscosity solution** (L. Caffarelli et al. (CPAM 19

Let  $p$  be an integer such that  $p > N = d + 2$ .

-(a)- A continuous function  $v$  is a  $L^p$ -viscosity sub  
PDE (9), if

$$v(T, x) \leq H(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$$

and

for every  $\varphi \in W_{p,loc}^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d+1}, \mathbb{R})$  and  $(t_0, x_0) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$  at which  $v - \varphi$  has a local maximum, one has

$$\text{ess} \lim_{(s,x) \rightarrow (t_0,x_0)} \inf \left\{ -\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s}(s, x_1, x_2) - G(s, x, \varphi(s, x)) \right\}$$

Here

$$G(s, x, \varphi(s, x)) = \bar{L}(x_1, x_2)\varphi(s, x_1, x_2) + \bar{f}(s, x_1, x_2,$$

is assumed to be merely measurable on the variable  $s$ .

-(b)- A function  $v \in \mathcal{C}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d+1}, \mathbb{R})$  is a  $L^p$ -viscosity solution of PDE (9), if  $v(T, x) \geq H(x)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$  and for every  $\varphi \in W_{p,loc}^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d+1}, \mathbb{R})$  and  $(t_0, x_0) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$  at which  $v_l - \varphi$  has a local minimum, one has

$$\operatorname{ess\,lim}_{(s,x) \rightarrow (t_0,x_0)} \sup \left\{ -\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s}(s, x_1, x_2) - G(s, x, \varphi(s, x_1, x_2)) \right\} \leq 0$$

-(c)- A function  $v \in \mathcal{C}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d+1}, \mathbb{R}^L)$  is a  $L^p$ -viscosity solution to PDE (9) if it is both a  $L^p$ -viscosity sub-solution and a  $L^p$ -viscosity super-solution.

## **Proofs.** Step 1

Assume that **(A)**, **(B)** hold. Then,

- By Khasminskii & Krylov (SPA 2001) : *the process*  $(X^{1,\varepsilon}, X^{2,\varepsilon})$  *converges in law to the process*  $X := (X^1, X^2)$  *and*
- By Krylov (SPA 2004) : The limit  $X = (X^1, X^2)$  *is a* weak solution to the forward component of FBSDE

Step 2 Let  $M^\varepsilon :=$  the mart. part of  $Y^\varepsilon$ .

Arguing as in Pardoux (1999), we show that :

There exists  $(Y, M)$  and a countable subset  $D$  of  $[0, t]$ , along a subsequence of  $\varepsilon$ ,

(i)  $(X^\varepsilon, Y^\varepsilon, M^\varepsilon) \xrightarrow{\text{law}} (X, Y, M)$  on  $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}([0, t], \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{D}$

The space  $\mathcal{D}$  is endowed with the Jakubowski S-topology

(ii)  $(Y^\varepsilon, M^\varepsilon) \longrightarrow (Y, M)$  in finite-distribution on  $D^c$ .

(iii)  $Y_s = H(X_t) + \int_s^t \bar{f}(X_u^1, X_u^2, Y_u) du - (M_t - M_s)$

The strong uniqueness of the BSDE  $(\bar{f}, H(X_t))$  allows us to conclude that,  $M_r = \int_0^r Z_u dM_u^X$ .

Step 3 The function  $v(t, x) := Y_0^{(t, x)}$  is continuous and an  $L^p$ -viscosity solution to PDE (9).

Remark : The main difficulty, in the proof, stays in the identification of the limit as  $\int \bar{f}(\dots)$

1) The identification of the limit as  $\int \bar{f}(\dots)$

2) The continuity of  $Y_0^{(t, x)}$  in  $(t, x)$ .

3) the fact that  $Y_0^{(t, x)}$  is a  $L^p$  viscosity solution

The point 1) can be proved by using the almost everywhere representation theorem (proved by Skorokhod) and the following lemma which is an extension, of Krylov result, to FBSDEs.

**Lemma 1** Assume **(A)**, **(B)**, (C2) and (C3). For  $V^\varepsilon(x_1, x_2, y)$  denote the solution of the following eq

$$\begin{cases} a_{00}(\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}, x_2) D_{x_1}^2 u(x_1, x_2, y) = f(\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}, x_2, y) - \bar{f}(x_1, x_2, y) \\ u(0, x_2) = D_{x_1} u(0, x_2) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then,

(i)  $D_{x_1} V^\varepsilon(x_1, x_2, y) = x_1(1 + |x_2|^2 + |y|^2) \beta(\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}, x_2, y)$

(ii) for any

$K^\varepsilon \in \{V^\varepsilon, D_{x_2} V^\varepsilon, D_{x_2}^2 V^\varepsilon, D_{x_1} D_{x_2} V^\varepsilon, D_y V^\varepsilon, D_y^2 V^\varepsilon, D_{x_1} D_y V^\varepsilon, D_{x_1} D_y^2 V^\varepsilon\}$   
it holds,

$$K^\varepsilon(x_1, x_2, y) = x_1^2(1 + |x_2|^2 + |y|^2) \beta(\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}, x_2, y)$$

where  $\beta(x_1, x_2, y)$  is some bounded function which

$$\lim_{|x_1| \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{(x_2, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}} |\beta(x_1, x_2, y)| = 0$$



The point 2) is proved as follows,

Let  $(t_n, x_n) \rightarrow (t, x)$ . We assume that  $t > t_n > 0$ . We

$$Y_s^{t_n, x_n} = H(X_{t_n}^{x_n}) + \int_s^{t_n} \bar{f}(X_u^{x_n}, Y_u^{t_n, x_n}) du - \int_s^{t_n} Z_u^{t_n, x_n} dW_u$$

where  $X^{x_n} \xrightarrow{\text{law}} X^x$ .

Since  $H$  is a bounded continuous function and  $\bar{f}$  satisfies the Lipschitz condition, one can easily show that the sequence  $\{(Y^{t_n, x_n}, \int_0^{\cdot} 1_{[s, \cdot]} dW_u)\}_{n \geq 1}$  is tight in  $\mathcal{D}([0, t] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$  endowed with the **S**-topology.

We rewrite equation (11) as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_s^{t_n, x_n} &= H(X_{t_n}^{x_n}) + \int_s^t \bar{f}(X_u^{x_n}, Y_u^{t_n, x_n}) du - \int_s^t \mathbf{1}_{[s, t_n]}(u) \bar{f}(X_u^{x_n}, Y_u^{t_n, x_n}) du \\
 &\quad - \int_{t_n}^t \bar{f}(X_u^{x_n}, Y_u^{t_n, x_n}) du. \\
 &= A_n^1 + A_n^2
 \end{aligned}$$

- *Convergence of  $A_n^2$*

One has  $\mathbb{E} \left| \int_{t_n}^t \bar{f}(X_u^{x_n}, Y_u^{t_n, x_n}) du \right| \leq K|t - t_n|$ . Hence zero in probability.

- *Convergence of  $A_n^1$*

Denote by  $(Y', M')$  the weak limit of  $\{(Y^{t_n, x_n}, \int_0^\cdot \mathbf{1}_{[s, t_n]}(u) \bar{f}(X_u^{x_n}, Y_u^{t_n, x_n}) du)\}$ .

Arguing as previously, we show that  $\int_s^t \bar{f}(X_u^{x_n}, Y_u^{t_n, x_n}) du \rightarrow \int_s^t \bar{f}(X_u', Y_u')$ .

Passing to the limit in (12), we obtain that

$$Y'_s = H(X_t^x) + \int_s^t \bar{f}(X_u^x, Y'_u) du - (M'_t - M'_s), \quad s \in$$

The uniqueness of the considered BSDE ensures that  $Y_s^{t,x}$   $\mathbb{P}$ -ps. Hence  $Y^{t_n, x_n} \xrightarrow{\text{law}} Y^{t,x}$ . As in (i), one  $Y_0^{t_n, x_n} \xrightarrow{\text{law}} Y_0^{t,x}$  which yields to the continuity of  $Y_0^{t,x}$

**3. Proof of  $L^p$  viscosity solution** We assume that  $v$  is continuous. We only prove that  $v$  is  $L^p$ - viscosity sub-solution.

Note that the definition of  $L^p$ - viscosity sub-solution is equivalent to the following : for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $r > 0$ , there exists a set  $B_r(t_0, x_0)$  of positive measure such that,  $\forall (s, x_1, x_2) \in B_r(t_0, x_0)$ ,

$$-\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s}(s, x_1, x_2) - \bar{L}(x_1, x_2)\varphi(s, x_1, x_2) - f(s, x_1, x_2, v(s, x_1, x_2)) \leq \varepsilon$$

Since  $\varphi \in W_{p,loc}^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d+1}, \mathbb{R})$  and  $p > d + 2$ ,  $\varphi$  has a continuous version which will be considered below.

Let  $(t_0, x_0) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$  be a local maximum of  $v$ . We assume that  $v(t_0, x_0) = \varphi(t_0, x_0)$ .

We will argue by contradiction. Assume that there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s}(s, x_1, x_2) + \bar{L}(x_1, x_2)\varphi(s, x_1, x_2) + \bar{f}(s, x_1, x_2, v) \geq \varepsilon_0,$$

$\lambda$ -a.s in  $B_{r_0}(t_0, x_0)$

Define

$$\tau = \inf \left\{ s \geq t_0; \quad |X_s^{t_0, x_0} - x_0| > r \right\} \wedge (t_0 + r_0)$$

Since  $X$  is a Markov diffusion,  $Y_s^{t_0, x_0} = v(s, X_s^{t_0, x_0})$  and  $Z_s^{t_0, x_0}$  is a process

$(\bar{Y}_s, \bar{Z}_s) := ((Y_{s \wedge \tau}^{t_0, x_0}), 1_{[0, \tau]}(s)(Z_s^{t_0, x_0}))_{s \in [t_0, t_0 + r_0]}$  solving

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Y}_s &= v(\tau, X_\tau^{t_0, x_0}) + \int_s^{t_0 + r_0} 1_{[0, \tau]}(u) \bar{f}(u, X_u^{t_0, x_0}) \\ &\quad - \int_s^{t_0 + r_0} \bar{Z}_u dM_u^{X^{t_0, x_0}}, \quad s \in [t_0, t_0 + r_0]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Itô-Krylov formula, the process  $(\hat{Y}_s, \hat{Z}_s) = (\varphi(s, X_{s \wedge \tau}^{t_0, x_0}), 1_{[0, \tau]}(s) \nabla \varphi(s, X_s^{t_0, x_0}))_{s \in [t_0, t_0 + r_0]}$  solving the BSDE

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{Y}_s &= \varphi(\tau, X_\tau^{t_0, x_0}) - \int_s^{t_0+r_0} \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau]}(u) \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial u} + \bar{L}\varphi \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_s^{t_0+r_0} \widehat{Z}_u dM_u^{X^{t_0, x_0}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From the choice of  $\tau$ ,  $(\tau, X_\tau^{t_0, x_0}) \in B_{r_0}(t_0, x_0)$ .  
Therefore,  $v(\tau, X_\tau^{t, x}) \leq \psi(\tau, X_\tau^{t_0, x_0})$  and hence,  
the strict comparison theorem  $\implies \bar{Y}_{t_0} < \widehat{Y}_{t_0}$ , i.e.  
 $\varphi(t_0, x_0)$ , which contradicts our assumptions.

Caffarelli, L., Crandall, M.G., Kocan, M., Świech, A. Existence and uniqueness of viscosity solutions of fully nonlinear equations with measurable coefficients. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 49, 365-397, 1996.

Jakubowski, A. A non-Skorohod topology on the Skorohod space. *Electron. J. Probab.* 2, paper no. 4, pp.1-21, 1997.

Khasminskii, R. Z ; Krylov, N. V. On averaging principle for diffusion processes with null-recurrent fast components. *Stochastic Processes and their applications*, 93, 229-240, 2001.

Krylov, N. V. On weak uniqueness for some diffusion processes with continuous coefficients. *Stochastic Processes and their applications*, 113, 37-64, 2004.



Pardoux, E. BSDEs, weak convergence and homogeneous semilinear PDEs in *F. H Clarke and R. J. Stern (eds) Analysis, Differential Equations and Control*, 503-520. Academic Publishers., 1999.

Averaged coefts. For function  $g \in \{b_i, a_{ij}, f\}$ , we de

$$g^+(x_2) := \lim_{x_1 \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{x_1} \int_0^{x_1} g(t, x_2) dt,$$

$$g^-(x_2) := \lim_{x_1 \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{1}{-x_1} \int_0^{x_1} g(t, x_2) dt$$

$g^\pm$  is called the Cesaro limit (or mean) of  $g$ .

We put,  $\rho(x_1, x_2) := a_{00}(x_1, x_2)^{-1} = [\frac{1}{2}\varphi^2(x_1, x_2)]^{-1}$

$$g^\pm(x_1, x_2) := g^+(x_2)1_{\{x_1 > 0\}} + g^-(x_2)1_{\{x_1 \leq 0\}}$$

and

$$\bar{g}(x_1, x_2) := \frac{(\rho g)^\pm(x_1, x_2)}{\rho^\pm(x_1, x_2)}$$

We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}(x_1, x_2) &= \frac{\lim_{x_1 \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{x_1} \int_0^{x_1} \rho(t, x_2) g(t, x_2)}{\lim_{x_1 \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{x_1} \int_0^{x_1} \rho(t, x_2) dt} \\ &+ \frac{\lim_{x_1 \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{1}{-x_1} \int_0^{x_1} \rho(t, x_2) g(t, x_2)}{\lim_{x_1 \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{1}{-x_1} \int_0^{x_1} \rho(t, x_2) dt} \end{aligned}$$

$\bar{b}$ ,  $\bar{a}$  and  $\bar{f}$  may have discontinuity at  $x_1 = 0$ .

Assumptions. We consider the following conditions,

**(A1)** The function  $b^{(1)}, \sigma^{(1)}, \varphi$  are uniformly Lipschitz continuous in variables  $(x_1, x_2)$ ,

**(A2)** for each  $x_1$ , their derivative in  $x_2$  up to and including first order derivatives are bounded continuous functions

**(A3)**  $a := (\sigma^{(1)}\sigma^{(1)*})$  is uniformly elliptic, i.e. :  $\exists \Lambda > 0$   
 $\mathbb{R}^d, \quad \xi^* a(x) \xi \geq \Lambda |\xi|^2$ .

Moreover, there exists positive constants  $C_1, C_2, C_3$

$$\begin{cases} (i) & C_1 \leq a_{00}(x_1, x_2) \leq C_2 \\ (ii) & \sum_{i=1}^d [a_{ii}(x_1, x_2) + b_i^2(x_1, x_2)] \leq C_3(1 + |x_1|) \end{cases}$$

**(B1)** Let  $D_{x_2}u$  and  $D_{x_2}^2u$  denote respectively the gradient and the matrix of second derivatives of  $u$  with respect to  $x_2$ . The following limits are uniform in  $x_2$ ,

$$\frac{1}{x_1} \int_0^{x_1} \rho(t, x_2) dt \longrightarrow \rho^\pm(x_2) \quad \text{as } x_1 \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\frac{1}{x_1} \int_0^{x_1} D_{x_2}\rho(t, x_2) dt \longrightarrow D_{x_2}\rho^\pm(x_2) \quad \text{as } x_1 \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\frac{1}{x_1} \int_0^{x_1} D_{x_2}^2\rho(t, x_2) dt \longrightarrow D_{x_2}^2\rho^\pm(x_2) \quad \text{as } x_1 \rightarrow \infty$$

**(B2)** For every  $i$  and  $j$ , the funct.  $\rho b_i, D_{x_2}(\rho b_i), D_{x_2}^2(\rho b_i), \rho a_{ij}, D_{x_2}^2(\rho a_{ij})$  have limits in Česaro sense.

**(B3)** For every  $k \in \{\rho, \rho b_i, D_{x_2}(\rho b_i), D_{x_2}^2(\rho b_i), \rho a_{ij}, D_{x_2}^2(\rho a_{ij})\}$  there exists a bounded function  $\alpha$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{x_1} \int_0^{x_1} k(t, x_2) dt - k_{\pm}(x_1, x_2) = (1 + |x_2|^2) \alpha(x_1, x_2) \\ \lim_{|x_1| \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\alpha(x_1, x_2)| = 0. \end{cases}$$

**(C1)** There are positive constants  $C_4, C_5$  such that for  $(x_1, x_2, y, y') \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^2$  :

$$\begin{cases} (i) & |f(x_1, x_2, y) - f(x_1, x_2, y')| \leq C_4 |y - y'|, \\ (ii) & H \text{ is a continuous bounded function and } |f(x_1, x_2, y)| \leq C_5. \end{cases}$$

**(C2)**  $\rho f$  has a limit in Cesaro sense and there exist measurable function  $\beta$  such that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{x_1} \int_0^{x_2} \rho(t, x_2) f(t, x_2, y) dt - (\rho f)_\pm(x_1, x_2, y) = (1 - \beta(x_1, x_2, y)) \\ \lim_{|x_1| \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{(x_2, y) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}} |\beta(x_1, x_2, y)| = 0, \end{array} \right.$$

where  $(\rho f)_\pm(x_1, x_2, y) := (\rho f)^+(x_2, y) \mathbf{1}_{\{x_1 > 0\}} + (\rho f)^-(x_2, y) \mathbf{1}_{\{x_1 < 0\}}$

**(C3)** For each  $x_1$ ,  $\rho f$  has a derivatives up to a second order in  $x_2$  uniformly in  $y$  and these derivatives are bounded by  $\beta(x_1, x_2, y)$ .  
(C2).

Throughout the paper, **(A)** stands for conditions (A1), (A2), (A3); **(B)** for conditions (B1), (B2), (B3) and **(C)** for conditions (C1), (C2), (C3).

**Remarque 1** (i) Whenever  $f$  does not depends on  $\tilde{Y}_0^{t,x}$  is a  $L^p$ -viscosity solution of the PDE

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial v}{\partial s}(s, x_1, x_2) = \bar{L}(x_1, x_2)v(s, x_1, x_2) + f(x_1, x_2, v(s, \\ v(0, x_1, x_2) = H(x_1, x_2), \quad x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \end{cases}$$

where  $(X^x, \tilde{Y}_s^{t,x}, \tilde{Z}_s^{t,x}; \quad 0 \leq s \leq t)$ , solves the decou

$$\begin{cases} X_s^x = x + \int_0^s \bar{b}(X_u^x)du + \int_0^s \bar{\sigma}(X_u^x)dB_u, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t. \\ \tilde{Y}_s^{t,x} = H(X_t^x) + \int_s^t g(X_u^x, \tilde{Y}_u^{t,x})du - \int_s^t \tilde{Z}_u^{t,x} dM_u^{X^x}, \quad 0 \end{cases}$$



(ii) Since  $f$  satisfies **(C)** and  $\rho$  is bounded, one can show that  $\bar{f}$  satisfies (C1). Therefore, for a fixed positive  $\epsilon$ , the BSDE with data  $(H(X_t^x), \bar{f})$  admit a unique solution  $(Y_s^{t,x}, Z_s^{t,x})_{0 \leq s \leq t}$ . Moreover, if the function  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$  is continuous,  $Y_0^{t,x}$  is a  $L^p$ -viscosity solution of PDE

## proof of the identification of $\int \bar{f}$

There exists a positive constant  $C$  which does not depend on  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$\sup_{\varepsilon} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |Y_s^\varepsilon|^2 + \int_0^t |Z_s^\varepsilon|^2 d\langle M^{X^\varepsilon} \rangle_s \right) \right\} \leq C$$

**Lemma 2**  $\int_0^t \bar{f}(X_u^{1,\varepsilon}, X_u^{2,\varepsilon}, Y_u^\varepsilon) du \xrightarrow{\text{law}} \int_0^t \bar{f}(X_u^1, X_u^2, Y_u) du$

The proof of this Lemma is based on the following,

**Lemma 3** Assume (A2-i), (B1).

Let  $X_s^1 = x_1 + \int_0^s \bar{\varphi}(X_u^1, X_u^2) dW_u$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq t$ . Then, for any sequence, the set

$D_{B(0, \frac{1}{n})} = \left\{ s : s \in [0, t] / X_s^1 \in B(0, \frac{1}{n}) \right\}$  satisfies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|D_{B(0, \frac{1}{n})}|}{t} = 0$  where  $|\cdot|$  stands for the Lebesgue's measure on  $[0, t]$ .

**Lemma 4**  $\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left| \int_0^s \left( f\left(\frac{X_u^{1, \varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}, X_u^{2, \varepsilon}, Y_u^\varepsilon\right) - \bar{f}(X_u^{1, \varepsilon}, X_u^{2, \varepsilon}) \right) dW_u \right|$  tends to 0 in probability as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

**Lemma 5**  $\int_0^t \bar{f}(X_u^{1,\varepsilon}, X_u^{2,\varepsilon}, Y_u^\varepsilon) du \xrightarrow{\text{law}} \int_0^t \bar{f}(X_u^1, X_u^2, Y_u) du$   
as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

The proof of this Lemma is based on the following,

**Lemma 6** Assume (A2-i), (B1).

Let  $X_s^1 = x_1 + \int_0^s \bar{\varphi}(X_u^1, X_u^2) dW_u$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq t$ . Then, for a

sequence, the set  $D_{B(0, \frac{1}{n})} = \left\{ s : s \in [0, t] / X_s^1 \in B(0, \frac{1}{n}) \right\}$   
satisfies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |D_{B(0, \frac{1}{n})}| = 0 \quad \mathbb{P} \text{ a.s.},$$

where  $|\cdot|$  stands for the Lebesgue's measure on  $[0, t]$ .

## Identification of the limits

**Proposition 1** *Let  $(\bar{Y}, \bar{M})$ , the limit process defined ???. Then,*

(i) *For every  $s \in [0, t] - D$ ,*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bar{Y}_s = H(X_t) + \int_s^t \bar{f}(X_u^1, X_u^2, \bar{Y}) du - (\bar{M}_t - \bar{M}_s) \\ \mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |\bar{Y}_s|^2 + |X_s^1|^2 + |X_s^2|^2 \right) \leq C. \end{array} \right.$$

(ii) *Moreover,  $\bar{M}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_s$ -martingale, where  $\mathcal{F}_s = \sigma\{X_u,$*

**Proposition 2** *Let  $(\tilde{Y}_s, \tilde{Z}_s, 0 \leq s \leq t)$  be the unique solution to the BSDE  $(H(X_t), \bar{f})$ . Then, for every  $s \in [0, t]$ ,  $\mathbb{E} \frac{1}{2} \left( [\bar{M} - \int_0^\cdot \tilde{Z}_u dM_u^X]_t - [\bar{M} - \int_0^\cdot \tilde{Z}_u dM_u^X]_s \right) = 0$ .*

**Proposition 3**  $\left( Y^\varepsilon, \int_0^\cdot Z_u^\varepsilon dM_u^{X^\varepsilon} \right) \xrightarrow{law} \left( \tilde{Y}, \int_0^\cdot \tilde{Z}_u dM_u^X \right)$

## Application to PDE.

**Proposition 4** (Continuity in law of the flow  $x \mapsto X^x$ )  
Assume **(A)**, **(B)**. Let  $X_s^x$  be the unique weak solution of the SDE (??), and

$$X_s^n := x_n + \int_0^s \bar{b}(X_u^n) du + \int_0^s \bar{\sigma}(X_u^n) dB_u, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t$$

Assume that  $x_n$  converges towards  $x = (x^1, x^2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$

$$X^n \xrightarrow{\text{law}} X^x.$$

**Théorème 1** Assume **(A)**, **(B)**, **(C)**. Let  $p >$

(i)  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} |Y_0^\varepsilon - v(t, x)|^2 = 0$ .

(ii)  $Y_0^{t, x} := v(t, x) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ , and it is a solution of PDE (??).



**Proof** (i) We shall prove that  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}|Y_0^\varepsilon - \bar{Y}_0|^2 = 0$

$$\begin{cases} Y_0^\varepsilon = H(X_t^\varepsilon) + \int_0^t f(\bar{X}_u^\varepsilon, X_u^{2,\varepsilon}, Y_u^\varepsilon) du - M_t^\varepsilon \\ \bar{Y}_0 = H(X_t) + \int_0^t \bar{f}(X_u, \bar{Y}_u) du - \bar{M}_t \end{cases}$$

> From Jakubowski (1997), the projection :  $y \mapsto \bar{y}$  is continuous in the S-topology. We then deduce that  $Y_0^\varepsilon$  converges towards  $\bar{Y}_0$  in distribution. Moreover, since  $Y_0^\varepsilon$  and  $\bar{Y}_0$  are deterministic and bounded, we have  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}|Y_0^\varepsilon - \bar{Y}_0|^2 = 0$ .  
 $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}|v^\varepsilon(t, x) - v(t, x)|^2 = 0$ .

(ii)  $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \mapsto Y^{t,x}$  is continuous in law and we derive the result.