

# TRUNCATED MOMENTS AND INTERPOLATION

F.-H. Vasilescu

Mathematics Department  
University of Lille 1, France

August 29th-September 1st, 2013:  
Anniversary Conference  
Faculty of Sciences–150 years

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
  - Interpolation Spaces
  - Square Positive Functionals
  - Truncated Moment Problem
  - Moments and Interpolation
- 2 Idempotents with Respect to Square Positive Functionals
  - Again about Square Positive Functionals
  - Idempotents with Respect to a *SPF*
- 3 Integral Representations of Square Positive Functionals
  - Integral Representations
  - Main Results
- 4 Continuous Point Evaluations

# DEDICATION

**This talk is dedicated to my successive professors of analysis at the Faculty of Mathematics of the University of Bucharest**

**Nicolae DINCULEANU,  
Solomon MARCUS,  
Romulus CRISTESCU,  
Miron NICOLESCU (in memoriam),**

**as well as to**

**Ciprian FOIAȘ,**

**the supervisor of my PhD thesis.**

# Abstract

**The aim of this talk is to present a new approach to truncated moment problems, based on the use of the space of characters of some associated finite dimensional commutative Banach algebras.**

## Some Notation

We fix an integer  $n \geq 1$  associated with the euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and for every integer  $m \geq 0$  we denote by  $\mathcal{P}_m$  the vector space of all polynomials in  $n$  real variables, with complex coefficients, of total degree less or equal to  $m$ . The vector space of all polynomials in  $n$  real variables, with complex coefficients, will be denoted by  $\mathcal{P}$ . The vector space (over  $\mathbb{R}$ ) of all polynomials from  $\mathcal{P}_m$  with real coefficients will be denoted by  $\mathcal{R}\mathcal{P}_m$ .

Whenever it is necessary to specify the value of  $n$ , we write  $\mathcal{P}_m^n = \mathcal{P}_m$ , respectively  $\mathcal{P}^n = \mathcal{P}$ .

# Interpolation Spaces

Let  $\Xi = \{\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(d)}\}$  a finite family of distinct points in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $C(\Xi)$  the set of all maps defined on  $\Xi$ , with complex values, regarded as a  $C^*$ -algebra, endowed with the natural operations, and with the norm *sup*.

A vector subspace  $\mathcal{S} \subset C(\Xi)$  is said to be an *interpolation space* for the set  $\Xi$  if for every  $f \in C(\Xi)$  we can find an element  $p \in \mathcal{S}$  such that

$$f(\xi^{(k)}) = p(\xi^{(k)}), \quad k = 1, \dots, d.$$

# Interpolation Spaces

Let  $\Xi = \{\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(d)}\}$  a finite family of distinct points in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $C(\Xi)$  the set of all maps defined on  $\Xi$ , with complex values, regarded as a  $C^*$ -algebra, endowed with the natural operations, and with the norm *sup*.

A vector subspace  $\mathcal{S} \subset C(\Xi)$  is said to be an *interpolation space* for the set  $\Xi$  if for every  $f \in C(\Xi)$  we can find an element  $p \in \mathcal{S}$  such that

$$f(\xi^{(k)}) = p(\xi^{(k)}), \quad k = 1, \dots, d.$$

# Lagrange's Polynomials

Denote by  $\mathcal{P}_m(\Xi)$  the set of all restrictions of polynomials from  $\mathcal{P}_m$  to  $\Xi$ . If  $m \geq 2(d-1)$ , then the space  $\mathcal{P}_m(\Xi)$  is an interpolation space on  $\Xi$ , via *Lagrange's polynomials*:

$$\pi_k(x) = \frac{\prod_{j \neq k} \|x - \xi^{(j)}\|^2}{\prod_{j \neq k} \|\xi^{(k)} - \xi^{(j)}\|^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad k = 1, \dots, d,$$

whose degree is equal to  $2(d-1)$ .



# Interpolation Degree

The *interpolation degree* of the set  $\Xi$  is the number  $g_{\Xi}$  equal to the smallest integer  $m \geq 1$  such that  $\mathcal{P}_m(\Xi)$  is an interpolation space for  $\Xi$ . Obviously,  $g_{\Xi} \leq 2(d - 1)$ , and in special cases the inequality is strict (because there exist other interpolation polynomials, depending on the geometric configuration of the set  $\Xi$ ).

# Associated Probability Measures

Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure concentrated on the set  $\Xi = \{\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(d)}\}$ . In other words,  $\mu = \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j \delta_j$ , with  $\sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j = 1$ ,  $\lambda_j > 0$  and  $\delta_j$  is the Dirac measure at the point  $\xi^{(j)}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, d$ .

We consider the Hilbert space  $L^2(\Xi, \mu)$ , endowed with the scalar product induced by the measure  $\mu$ , which coincides, as a vector space, with  $C(\Xi)$ .

# Associated Probability Measures

Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure concentrated on the set  $\Xi = \{\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(d)}\}$ . In other words,  $\mu = \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j \delta_j$ , with  $\sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j = 1$ ,  $\lambda_j > 0$  and  $\delta_j$  is the Dirac measure at the point  $\xi^{(j)}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, d$ .

We consider the Hilbert space  $L^2(\Xi, \mu)$ , endowed with the scalar product induced by the measure  $\mu$ , which coincides, as a vector space, with  $C(\Xi)$ .

# Associated Maps

The linear map  $\Lambda_\mu$ , given by

$$\Lambda_\mu : L^2(\Xi, \mu) \mapsto \mathbb{C}, \quad \Lambda_\mu(f) = \int_{\Xi} f(\xi) d\mu(\xi),$$

has the properties

- (i)  $\Lambda_\mu(\bar{f}) = \overline{\Lambda_\mu(f)}$ ,  $f \in L^2(\Xi, \mu)$ ;
- (ii)  $\Lambda_\mu(|f|^2) \geq 0$ ,  $f \in L^2(\Xi, \mu)$ ;
- (iii)  $\Lambda_\mu(1) = 1$ .

# Square Positive Functionals

Let us fix an integer  $m \geq 0$ , and let us consider the map

$\Lambda : \mathcal{P}_{2m} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  with the properties

(1)  $\Lambda(\bar{p}) = \overline{\Lambda(p)}$ ,  $p \in \mathcal{P}_{2m}$ ;

(2)  $\Lambda(|p|^2) \geq 0$ ,  $p \in \mathcal{P}_m$ ;

(3)  $\Lambda(1) = 1$ ,

clearly similar to (i)-(iii).

A map  $\Lambda : \mathcal{P}_{2m} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  with the properties (1)-(3) will be designated as a *square positive functional* (briefly, a *spf*).

# Square Positive Functionals

Let us fix an integer  $m \geq 0$ , and let us consider the map

$\Lambda : \mathcal{P}_{2m} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  with the properties

(1)  $\Lambda(\bar{p}) = \overline{\Lambda(p)}$ ,  $p \in \mathcal{P}_{2m}$ ;

(2)  $\Lambda(|p|^2) \geq 0$ ,  $p \in \mathcal{P}_m$ ;

(3)  $\Lambda(1) = 1$ ,

clearly similar to (i)-(iii).

A map  $\Lambda : \mathcal{P}_{2m} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  with the properties (1)-(3) will be designated as a *square positive functional* (briefly, a *spf*).

# Truncated Moment Problem

Given a map  $\Lambda : \mathcal{P}_{2m} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  with the properties (1)-(3), the *truncated moment problem* means to find necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a finite set  $\Xi$  and a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\Xi$  such that  $\Lambda(p) = \int_{\Xi} p(\xi) d\mu(\xi)$  for all  $p \in \mathcal{P}_{2m}$ .

The measure  $\mu$ , when exists is said to be a *representing measure* for  $\Lambda$ .

In virtue of a result by Tchakaloff (revised and improved by many authors), the measure  $\mu$  may be always supposed to be atomic.

The truncated moment problem has not always a solution, as follows from the following.

# Truncated Moment Problem

Given a map  $\Lambda : \mathcal{P}_{2m} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  with the properties (1)-(3), the *truncated moment problem* means to find necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a finite set  $\Xi$  and a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\Xi$  such that  $\Lambda(p) = \int_{\Xi} p(\xi) d\mu(\xi)$  for all  $p \in \mathcal{P}_{2m}$ .

The measure  $\mu$ , when exists is said to be a *representing measure* for  $\Lambda$ .

In virtue of a result by Tchakaloff (revised and improved by many authors), the measure  $\mu$  may be always supposed to be atomic.

The truncated moment problem has not always a solution, as follows from the following.



# Truncated Moment Problem

Given a map  $\Lambda : \mathcal{P}_{2m} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  with the properties (1)-(3), the *truncated moment problem* means to find necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a finite set  $\Xi$  and a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\Xi$  such that  $\Lambda(p) = \int_{\Xi} p(\xi) d\mu(\xi)$  for all  $p \in \mathcal{P}_{2m}$ .

The measure  $\mu$ , when exists is said to be a *representing measure* for  $\Lambda$ .

In virtue of a result by Tchakaloff (revised and improved by many authors), the measure  $\mu$  may be always supposed to be atomic.

The truncated moment problem has not always a solution, as follows from the following.

# Truncated Moment Problem

Given a map  $\Lambda : \mathcal{P}_{2m} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  with the properties (1)-(3), the *truncated moment problem* means to find necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a finite set  $\Xi$  and a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\Xi$  such that  $\Lambda(p) = \int_{\Xi} p(\xi) d\mu(\xi)$  for all  $p \in \mathcal{P}_{2m}$ .

The measure  $\mu$ , when exists is said to be a *representing measure* for  $\Lambda$ .

In virtue of a result by Tchakaloff (revised and improved by many authors), the measure  $\mu$  may be always supposed to be atomic.

The truncated moment problem has not always a solution, as follows from the following.

## Example

Let  $\mathcal{P}_4^1$  be the space of polynomials in one real variable, denoted by  $t$ , of degree at most 4. We set  $\Lambda(1) = \Lambda(t) = \Lambda(t^2) = \Lambda(t^3) = 1$ ,  $\Lambda(t^4) = 2$ , and extend  $\Lambda$  to the space  $\mathcal{P}_4^1$  by linearity. The properties (1) and (3) are obvious. Moreover, if  $p(t) = x_0 + x_1 t + x_2 t^2 \in \mathcal{P}_2^1$ , then

$$\Lambda(|p|^2) = |x_0 + x_1 + x_2|^2 + |x_2|^2 \geq 0,$$

showing that  $\Lambda$  also satisfies (2). Nevertheless, one can see that  $\Lambda$  has no representing measure.

This also shows that the properties (1)-(3) are not sufficient to find a solution for the truncated moment problem. It is therefore necessary to find supplementary conditions to approach the existence of a solution of this problem.

## Example

Let  $\mathcal{P}_4^1$  be the space of polynomials in one real variable, denoted by  $t$ , of degree at most 4. We set  $\Lambda(1) = \Lambda(t) = \Lambda(t^2) = \Lambda(t^3) = 1$ ,  $\Lambda(t^4) = 2$ , and extend  $\Lambda$  to the space  $\mathcal{P}_4^1$  by linearity. The properties (1) and (3) are obvious. Moreover, if  $p(t) = x_0 + x_1 t + x_2 t^2 \in \mathcal{P}_2^1$ , then

$$\Lambda(|p|^2) = |x_0 + x_1 + x_2|^2 + |x_2|^2 \geq 0,$$

showing that  $\Lambda$  also satisfies (2). Nevertheless, one can see that  $\Lambda$  has no representing measure.

This also shows that the properties (1)-(3) are not sufficient to find a solution for the truncated moment problem. It is therefore necessary to find supplementary conditions to approach the existence of a solution of this problem.

# Introducing Idempotents

Let again  $\Xi = \{\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(d)}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $g = g_{\Xi}$  be the interpolation degree of the set  $\Xi$ . In particular, there exists a family  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_d\} \subset \mathcal{R}\mathcal{P}_g$  such that  $b_j(\xi^{(k)}) = 1$  if  $j = k$ , and  $b_j(\xi^{(k)}) = 0$  if  $j \neq k$ ,  $j, k = 1, \dots, d$ .

Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure concentrated on  $\Xi$ , and so  $\mu = \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j \delta_j$ , with  $\sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j = 1$ ,  $\lambda_j > 0$  and  $\delta_j$  is the Dirac measure at the point  $\xi^{(j)}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, d$ . Defining  $\Lambda_{\mu}(p) := \int_{\Xi} p(\xi) d\mu(\xi)$ ,  $p \in \mathcal{P}_g$ , we obtain

$$\Lambda_{\mu}(b_j^2) = \Lambda_{\mu}(b_j) = \lambda_j, \quad \Lambda_{\mu}(b_j b_k) = 0, \quad j, k = 1, \dots, d, \quad j \neq k.$$

Writing  $\rho = \sum_{j=1}^d \rho(\xi^{(j)}) b_j + r_\rho$ ,  $\rho \in \mathcal{P}_g$ ,  $r_\rho|_{\Xi} = 0$ , we get the equality

$$\Lambda_\mu(\rho) = \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j \rho(\xi^{(j)}), \quad \rho \in \mathcal{P}_g.$$

Particularly, if  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  are the independent variables from  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\xi^{(j)} = (\lambda_j^{-1} \Lambda_\mu(t_1 b_j), \dots, \lambda_j^{-1} \Lambda_\mu(t_n b_j)) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad j = 1, \dots, d,$$

expressing the points  $\xi^{(j)}$  in terms of  $\Lambda_\mu$  and  $b_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, d$ .

Elements similar  $b_1, \dots, b_d$  will later called *idempotents* with respect to  $\Lambda_\mu$ .

Writing  $\rho = \sum_{j=1}^d \rho(\xi^{(j)})b_j + r_\rho$ ,  $\rho \in \mathcal{P}_g$ ,  $r_\rho|_{\Xi} = 0$ , we get the equality

$$\Lambda_\mu(\rho) = \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j \rho(\xi^{(j)}), \quad \rho \in \mathcal{P}_g.$$

Particularly, if  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  are the independent variables from  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\xi^{(j)} = (\lambda_j^{-1} \Lambda_\mu(t_1 b_j), \dots, \lambda_j^{-1} \Lambda_\mu(t_n b_j)) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad j = 1, \dots, d,$$

expressing the points  $\xi^{(j)}$  in terms of  $\Lambda_\mu$  and  $b_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, d$ .

Elements similar  $b_1, \dots, b_d$  will later called *idempotents* with respect to  $\Lambda_\mu$ .

# Moments and Interpolation

The next result presents a connexion between the moment problem and the interpolation.

## Theorem 1

Let  $\Lambda : \mathcal{P}_{2m} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  a *spf* such that  $\Lambda(|p|^2) = 0$  implies  $p = 0$ .

Assume that there exists a family  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_d\} \subset \mathcal{RP}_m$  with the following properties:

$$\Lambda(b_j^2) = \Lambda(b_j) > 0, \quad \Lambda(b_j b_k) = 0, \quad j, k = 1, \dots, d, \quad j \neq k.$$

Put

$$\xi^{(j)} = ((\Lambda(b_j))^{-1} \Lambda(t_1 b_j), \dots, (\Lambda(b_j))^{-1} \Lambda(t_n b_j)) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad j = 1, \dots, d,$$

and  $\Xi = \{\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(d)}\}$ .



# Continuation

If the family  $\mathcal{B}$  is maximal with respect to the inclusion and if the points  $\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(d)}$  are distinct, then every polynomial  $p \in \mathcal{P}_m$  can be written under the form

$$p = \sum_{j=1}^d p(\xi^{(j)}) b_j.$$

Moreover,  $\Lambda$  has a representing measure with support in  $\Xi$  given by

$$\Lambda(p) = \int_{\Xi} p(\xi) d\mu(\xi), \quad p \in \mathcal{P}_{2m},$$

where  $\mu$  is the probability measure concentrated on the set  $\Xi$ , with weights  $\lambda_j = \Lambda(b_j)$  at points  $\xi^{(j)}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, d$ , respectively.

# Comments

- The conditions from Theorem 1 are also necessary.
- To find a maximal family  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_d\} \subset \mathcal{RP}_m$  with the properties

$$\Lambda(b_j^2) = \Lambda(b_j) > 0, \quad \Lambda(b_j b_k) = 0, \quad j, k = 1, \dots, d, \quad j \neq k,$$

is a solvable problem. Indeed, it is sufficient to endow the real space  $\mathcal{RP}_m$  with the inner product  $\langle p, q \rangle = \Lambda(pq)$ , to choose an orthonormal basis  $\{c_1, \dots, c_d\}$  with  $\Lambda(c_j) \neq 0$ ; then setting  $b_j = \Lambda(c_j)c_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, d$ , we obtain the desired family. The condition that the points

$$\xi^{(j)} = ((\Lambda(b_j))^{-1} \Lambda(t_1 b_j), \dots, (\Lambda(b_j))^{-1} \Lambda(t_n b_j)) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad j = 1, \dots, d$$

be distinct can be verified but its dependence of the choice of the basis  $\{c_1, \dots, c_d\}$  is not completely explicit.

# Again about Square Positive Functionals

Let us remark that every *spf*  $\Lambda : \mathcal{P}_{2m} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  satisfies the

*Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:*

$$|\Lambda(pq)|^2 \leq \Lambda(|p|^2)\Lambda(|q|^2), \quad p, q \in \mathcal{P}_m. \quad (1)$$

Setting

$$\mathcal{I}_\Lambda = \{p \in \mathcal{P}_m; \Lambda(|p|^2) = 0\},$$

the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality shows that  $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda$  is a vector subspace.

# Basic Associated Hilbert Space

The quotient space

$$\mathcal{H}_\Lambda = \mathcal{P}_m / \mathcal{I}_\Lambda$$

is a Hilbert space, whose scalar product is given by

$$\langle p + \mathcal{I}_\Lambda, q + \mathcal{I}_\Lambda \rangle = \Lambda(p\bar{q}), \quad p, q \in \mathcal{P}_m. \quad (2)$$

The symbol  $\mathcal{RH}_\Lambda$  designate the space  $\{\hat{p} \in \mathcal{H}_\Lambda; p \in \mathcal{RP}_m\}$ , which is a real Hilbert space. Fixing an element  $\hat{p} \in \mathcal{RH}_\Lambda$ , we always suppose that its representative  $p$  is in  $\mathcal{RP}_m$ . Finally, let us remark that two elements  $\hat{p}, \hat{q} \in \mathcal{H}_\Lambda$  are orthogonal if and only if  $\Lambda(p\bar{q}) = 0$ .

# Idempotents with Respect to a *SPF*

**Definition 1** An element  $\hat{p} \in \mathcal{RH}_\Lambda$  is called  $\Lambda$ -*idempotent* (or simply *idempotent* when  $\Lambda$  is fixed) if it is a solution of the equation

$$\|\hat{p}\|^2 = \langle \hat{p}, \hat{1} \rangle. \quad (3)$$

**Remark 1** Note that  $\hat{p} \in \mathcal{RH}_\Lambda$  is an idempotent if and only if  $\Lambda(p^2) = \Lambda(p)$ .

Put

$$ID(\Lambda) = \{\hat{p} \in \mathcal{RH}_\Lambda; \|\hat{p}\|^2 = \langle \hat{p}, \hat{1} \rangle \neq 0\}, \quad (4)$$

which is a nonempty family because  $\hat{1} \in ID(\Lambda)$ .

## Two Lemmas

**Lemma 1** (1) If  $\hat{p}, \hat{q}, \hat{p} - \hat{q} \in \mathcal{ID}(\Lambda)$ , then  $\hat{q}$  and  $\hat{p} - \hat{q}$  are orthogonal.

(2) If  $\hat{q} \in \mathcal{ID}(\Lambda)$ ,  $\hat{q} \neq \hat{1}$ , then  $\hat{1} - \hat{q} \in \mathcal{ID}(\Lambda)$ , and  $\hat{q}$ ,  $\hat{1} - \hat{q}$  are orthogonal.

(3) If  $\{\hat{p}_1, \dots, \hat{p}_d\} \subset \mathcal{ID}(\Lambda)$  are mutually orthogonal, then  $\sum_{j=1}^d \hat{p}_j \in \mathcal{ID}(\Lambda)$ .

**Lemma 2** Let  $\{\hat{b}_1, \dots, \hat{b}_d\} \subset \mathcal{ID}(\Lambda)$ , be a family of mutually orthogonal elements. This family is maximal with respect to the inclusion if and only if  $\hat{b}_1 + \dots + \hat{b}_d = \hat{1}$ .

# Special Orthogonal Bases

Let  $\mathcal{B}_\Lambda = \{\hat{v} \in \mathcal{RH}_\Lambda; \|\hat{v}\| = 1\}$ , and  $\mathcal{B}_\Lambda^1 = \{\hat{v} \in \mathcal{B}_\Lambda; \langle \hat{v}, \hat{1} \rangle \neq 0\}$ . The existence of orthogonal bases consisting of idempotents with respect to a fixed *spf*  $\Lambda$  is given by the following.

**Proposition 1** We have the following properties:

(1)  $\mathcal{ID}(\Lambda) = \{\langle \hat{v}, \hat{1} \rangle \hat{v}; \hat{v} \in \mathcal{B}_\Lambda, \langle \hat{v}, \hat{1} \rangle \neq 0\} = \{\Lambda(v)\hat{v}; \hat{v} \in \mathcal{B}_\Lambda, \Lambda(v) \neq 0\}$ .

(2) The map

$$\mathcal{B}_\Lambda^1 \ni \hat{v} \mapsto \langle \hat{v}, \hat{1} \rangle \hat{v} \in \mathcal{ID}(\Lambda) \quad (5)$$

is bijective.

(3) If  $\{\hat{v}_1, \dots, \hat{v}_d\} \subset \mathcal{B}_\Lambda$  is an orthonormal basis in  $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda$  with  $\langle \hat{v}_j, \hat{1} \rangle \neq 0, j = 1, \dots, d$ , then  $\{\langle \hat{v}_1, \hat{1} \rangle \hat{v}_1, \dots, \langle \hat{v}_d, \hat{1} \rangle \hat{v}_d\}$  is an orthogonal basis in  $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda$  consisting of idempotents. Moreover,

$$\langle \hat{v}_1, \hat{1} \rangle \hat{v}_1 + \dots + \langle \hat{v}_d, \hat{1} \rangle \hat{v}_d = \hat{1}.$$



**Theorem 2**

For every *spf*  $\Lambda : \mathcal{P}_{2m} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ , the space  $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda$  has orthogonal bases consisting of idempotents.

**Corollary 1** Let  $\Lambda : \mathcal{P}_{2m} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  a *spf*. There exist functions  $b_1, \dots, b_d \in \mathcal{RP}_m$  such that  $\Lambda(b_j^2) = \Lambda(b_j) > 0$ ,  $\Lambda(b_j b_k) = 0$  for all  $j, k = 1, \dots, d$ ,  $j \neq k$ , and every  $p \in \mathcal{P}_m$  has a unique representation of the form

$$p = \sum_{j=1}^d \Lambda(b_j)^{-1} \Lambda(p b_j) b_j + p_0,$$

with  $p_0 \in \mathcal{I}_\Lambda$  and  $d = \dim \mathcal{H}_\Lambda$ .

## $C^*$ -Algebra Structures

Given a *spf*  $\Lambda : \mathcal{P}_{2m} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ , according to Theorem 2 we can choose an orthogonal basis  $\mathcal{B} = \{\hat{b}_1, \dots, \hat{b}_d\} \subset \mathcal{ID}(\Lambda)$ . With respect to the basis  $\mathcal{B}$ , we can define on  $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda$  a structure of a unital commutative  $C^*$ -algebra.

If  $\hat{p} = \sum_{j=1}^d \alpha_j \hat{b}_j$ ,  $\hat{q} = \sum_{j=1}^d \beta_j \hat{b}_j$ , are from  $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B}$ , we put

$$\hat{p} \cdot \hat{q} = \sum_{j=1}^d \alpha_j \beta_j \hat{b}_j.$$

The involution and norm are given respectively by

$$\hat{p}^* = \sum_{j=1}^d \overline{\alpha_j} \hat{b}_j, \quad \|\hat{p}\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq j \leq d} |\alpha_j|.$$

To obtain the assertion, we also use the equality  $\hat{1} = \sum_{j=1}^d \hat{b}_j$ .

The  $C^*$ -algebra structure of  $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda$  associated to the orthogonal basis  $\mathcal{B}$  is referred to as the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda$  induced by  $\mathcal{B}$ .

The space of characters of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda$  induced by  $\mathcal{B}$ , say  $\Delta = \{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_d\}$ , coincides with the dual basis of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Using also the Hilbert space structure of  $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda$ , we obtain

$$\delta_j(\hat{\rho}) = \Lambda(b_j)^{-1} \langle \hat{\rho}, \hat{b}_j \rangle, \hat{\rho} \in \mathcal{H}_\Lambda, j = 1, \dots, d.$$

# Integral Representations

**Proposition 2** Let  $\Lambda : \mathcal{P}_{2m} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  be a *spf*, and assume that the space  $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda$  is endowed with the  $C^*$ -algebra structure induced by an orthogonal basis consisting of idempotents. Also let  $\mathcal{H}_C$  be the sub- $C^*$ -algebra generated by the set  $\mathcal{C} = \{\hat{1}, \hat{t}_1, \dots, \hat{t}_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda$ . Then there exists a subset  $\Xi$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , whose cardinal is  $\leq \dim \mathcal{H}_\Lambda$ , and a linear map  $\mathcal{S}_C \ni u \mapsto u^\# \in C(\Xi)$ , whose kernel is  $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda$ , such that

$$\Lambda(u) = \int_{\Xi} u^\#(\xi) d\mu(\xi), \quad u \in \mathcal{S}_C,$$

where  $\mathcal{S}_C = \{u \in \mathcal{P}_m; \hat{u} \in \mathcal{H}_C\}$  and  $\mu$  is a probability measure on  $\Xi$ .

**Proposition 3** With the conditions of the previous proposition, assume the equality  $\mathcal{H}_C = \mathcal{H}_\Lambda$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}_C = \mathcal{P}_m$  and the map  $\mathcal{P}_m \ni u \mapsto u^\# \in C(\Xi)$  induces a  $*$ -isomorphism between the  $C^*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda$  and  $C(\Xi)$ .

If  $r(\hat{t}_1, \dots, \hat{t}_n) = 0$  for every  $r \in \mathcal{I}_\Lambda$ , then  $u^\# = u|_\Xi$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{P}_m$ .

**Definition 2** Let  $\Lambda : \mathcal{P}_{2m} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  a spf, and let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\hat{b}_1, \dots, \hat{b}_d\}$  an orthogonal basis of the space  $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda$  consisting of idempotents. We say that the basis  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $\Lambda$ -multiplicative if

$$\Lambda(t^\alpha b_j)\Lambda(t^\beta b_j) = \Lambda(b_j)\Lambda(t^{\alpha+\beta} b_j) \quad (6)$$

whenever  $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq m, j = 1, \dots, d$ .

### Theorem 3

The spf  $\Lambda : \mathcal{P}_{2m} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  has a representing measure in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $d := \dim \mathcal{H}_\Lambda$  atoms if and only if there exists a  $\Lambda$ -multiplicative basis of the space  $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda$ .

Under an explicit form, the previous theorem asserts the following:

**Corollary 2** The *spf*  $\Lambda : \mathcal{P}_{2m} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  has a representing measure in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $d := \dim \mathcal{H}_\Lambda$  atoms if and only if there exists a family of polynomials  $\{b_1, \dots, b_d\} \subset \mathcal{RP}_m$  with the following properties:

- (i)  $\Lambda(b_j^2) = \Lambda(b_j) > 0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, d$ ;
- (ii)  $\Lambda(b_j b_k) = 0$ ,  $j, k = 1, \dots, d$ ,  $j \neq k$ ;
- (iii)

$$\Lambda(t^\alpha b_j) \Lambda(t^\beta b_j) = \Lambda(b_j) \Lambda(t^{\alpha+\beta} b_j)$$

whenever  $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq m$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, d$ .

**Corollary 3** Let  $\Lambda : \mathcal{P}_{2m} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  be a *spf* with  $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda = \{0\}$ .  $\Lambda$  has a representing measure in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  having  $d = \dim \mathcal{P}_m$  atoms if and only if there exists a family of orthogonal idempotents  $\{b_1, \dots, b_d\}$  in  $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda = \mathcal{P}_m$  such that

$$p = p(\xi^{(1)})b_1 + \dots + p(\xi^{(d)})b_d, \quad p \in \mathcal{P}_m,$$

where

$$\xi^{(j)} = (\Lambda(b_1)^{-1}\Lambda(t_1 b_j), \dots, \Lambda(b_d)^{-1}\Lambda(t_n b_j)) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad j = 1, \dots, d.$$



## Theorem 4

Let  $\Lambda : \mathcal{P}_{2m} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  be a *spf* with  $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda = \{0\}$ . Also let  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_d\} \subset \mathcal{H}_\Lambda = \mathcal{P}_m$  ( $d = \dim \mathcal{P}_m$ ) an orthogonal basis consisting of idempotents, which induces a  $C^*$ -algebra structure on  $\mathcal{P}_m$ .

The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $\Lambda$ -multiplicative.
- (ii) The polynomials  $\{1, t_1, \dots, t_n\}$  generate the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{P}_m$ .
- (iii) The points

$$\xi^{(j)} = (\Lambda(b_j)^{-1} \Lambda(t_1 b_j), \dots, \Lambda(b_j)^{-1} \Lambda(t_n b_j)) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad j = 1, \dots, d,$$

are distinct.

# Special Case

Theorem 4 implies the fact that every *spf*  $\Lambda : \mathcal{P}_2 \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  has a representing measure in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $d = \dim \mathcal{H}_\Lambda$  atoms. Indeed, the condition from Definition 2 is automatically fulfilled when  $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 1, j = 1, \dots, d$ .

In this case, the support of the representing measure, say  $\Xi = \{\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(d)}\}$ , is given by the equalities

$$\xi^{(j)} = (\Lambda(b_1)^{-1} \Lambda(t_1 b_j), \dots, \Lambda(b_d)^{-1} \Lambda(t_n b_j)) \in \mathbb{R}^n, j = 1, \dots, d,$$

and the corresponding weights are  $\Lambda(b_1), \dots, \Lambda(b_d)$ .

## Special Case

Theorem 4 implies the fact that every *spf*  $\Lambda : \mathcal{P}_2 \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  has a representing measure in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $d = \dim \mathcal{H}_\Lambda$  atoms. Indeed, the condition from Definition 2 is automatically fulfilled when  $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 1, j = 1, \dots, d$ .

In this case, the support of the representing measure, say  $\Xi = \{\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(d)}\}$ , is given by the equalities

$$\xi^{(j)} = (\Lambda(b_1)^{-1} \Lambda(t_1 b_j), \dots, \Lambda(b_d)^{-1} \Lambda(t_n b_j)) \in \mathbb{R}^n, j = 1, \dots, d,$$

and the corresponding weights are  $\Lambda(b_1), \dots, \Lambda(b_d)$ .

# Continuous Point Evaluations

Let  $\Lambda : \mathcal{P}_{2m} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  be a *spf*. For every point  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we denote by  $\delta_\xi$  the point evaluation at  $\xi$ , that is,  $\delta_\xi(p) = p(\xi)$ , for every polynomial  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ .

Recall that  $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda = \{p \in \mathcal{P}_m; \Lambda(|p|^2) = 0\}$ , while  $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda$  is the finite dimensional Hilbert space  $\mathcal{P}_m/\mathcal{I}_\Lambda$ .

**Definition 3** The point evaluation  $\delta_\xi$  is said to be  $\Lambda$ -*continuous* if there exists a constant  $c_\xi > 0$  such that

$$|\delta_\xi(p)| \leq c_\xi \Lambda(|p|^2)^{1/2}, \quad p \in \mathcal{P}_m.$$

# Continuous Point Evaluations

Let  $\Lambda : \mathcal{P}_{2m} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  be a *spf*. For every point  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we denote by  $\delta_\xi$  the point evaluation at  $\xi$ , that is,  $\delta_\xi(p) = p(\xi)$ , for every polynomial  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ .

Recall that  $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda = \{p \in \mathcal{P}_m; \Lambda(|p|^2) = 0\}$ , while  $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda$  is the finite dimensional Hilbert space  $\mathcal{P}_m/\mathcal{I}_\Lambda$ .

**Definition 3** The point evaluation  $\delta_\xi$  is said to be  $\Lambda$ -*continuous* if there exists a constant  $c_\xi > 0$  such that

$$|\delta_\xi(p)| \leq c_\xi \Lambda(|p|^2)^{1/2}, \quad p \in \mathcal{P}_m.$$

Let  $\mathcal{Z}_\Lambda$  be the subset of those points  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\delta_\xi$  is  $\Lambda$ -continuous. For every polynomial  $p$  let us denote by  $\mathcal{Z}(p)$  the set of its zeros.

**Lemma 3** We have the equality

$$\mathcal{Z}_\Lambda = \bigcap_{p \in \mathcal{I}_\Lambda} \mathcal{Z}(p).$$

**Remark** The previous lemma shows that the set  $\mathcal{Z}_\Lambda$  coincides with the algebraic variety of the moment sequence associated to  $\Lambda$  (as defined by Curto and Fialkow).

**Lemma 4** (Curto & Fialkow) Suppose that the *spf*  $\Lambda : \mathcal{P}_{2m} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  has an atomic representing measure  $\mu$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $\text{supp}(\mu) \subset \mathcal{Z}_\Lambda$ .

**Remark** It follows from the previous lemma that a necessary condition for the existence of a representing measure for  $\Lambda$  is  $\mathcal{Z}_\Lambda \neq \emptyset$ .

**Lemma 4** (Curto & Fialkow) Suppose that the *spf*  $\Lambda : \mathcal{P}_{2m} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  has an atomic representing measure  $\mu$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $\text{supp}(\mu) \subset \mathcal{Z}_\Lambda$ .

**Remark** It follows from the previous lemma that a necessary condition for the existence of a representing measure for  $\Lambda$  is  $\mathcal{Z}_\Lambda \neq \emptyset$ .



Let  $\Lambda : \mathcal{P}_{2m} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  ( $m \geq 1$ ) be a *spf* with the property  $\mathcal{Z}_\Lambda \neq \emptyset$ , and let  $\delta_\xi^\Lambda$  the linear functional induced by  $\delta_\xi$  in the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda$ . Then for every  $\xi \in \mathcal{Z}_\Lambda$  there exists a vector  $\hat{v}_\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\Lambda$  such that

$$\delta_\xi^\Lambda(\hat{p}) = \langle \hat{p}, \hat{v}_\xi \rangle = \Lambda(pv_\xi) = p(\xi), \forall p \in \mathcal{P}_m.$$

Since  $m \geq 1$ , the assignment  $\xi \mapsto \hat{v}_\xi$  is injective. In addition, we may assume that  $v_\xi \in \mathcal{R}\mathcal{P}_m$ , so  $\hat{v}_\xi \in \mathcal{R}\mathcal{H}_\Lambda$ .

Let  $\mathcal{V}_\Lambda = \{\hat{v}_\xi; \xi \in \mathcal{Z}_\Lambda\}$ .

The next result is an approach to truncated moment problems when the number of the atoms of the representing measures is not necessarily equal to the maximal cardinal of a family of orthogonal idempotents. The basic elements are in this case projections of idempotents.

## Theorem 5

Let  $\Lambda : \mathcal{P}_{2m} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  ( $m \geq 1$ ) a *spf* with  $\mathcal{Z}_\Lambda$  nonempty.  $\Lambda$  has a representing measure in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  consisting of  $d$ -atoms, where  $d \geq \dim \mathcal{H}_\Lambda$ , if and only if there exist a family  $\{\hat{v}_1, \dots, \hat{v}_d\} \subset \mathcal{RH}_\Lambda$  such that

$$\Lambda(v_j) > 0, \quad \hat{v}_j/\Lambda(v_j) \in \mathcal{V}_\Lambda, \quad j = 1, \dots, d, \quad (7)$$

$$\hat{p} = \Lambda(v_1)^{-1}\Lambda(pv_1)\hat{v}_1 + \dots + \Lambda(v_d)^{-1}\Lambda(pv_d)\hat{v}_d, \quad p \in \mathcal{P}_m, \quad (8)$$

and

$$\Lambda(v_k v_l) = \sum_{j=1}^d \Lambda(v_j)^{-1} \Lambda(v_j v_k) \Lambda(v_j v_l), \quad k, l = 1, \dots, d. \quad (9)$$

Vă mulțumesc pentru atenție !  
  
(Thank you for your attention !)